interested parties against submitting personal information such as Social Security numbers and birthdates.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For press inquiries: Ms. Laura McGinnis, Office of Public Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S–1028, 200 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–4672; email Mcginnis.Laura@DOL.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Advisory Board will meet via teleconference: Tuesday, May 10, 2022, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern time; and Wednesday, May 11, 2022, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern time. The teleconference number and other details for participating remotely will be posted on the Advisory Board’s website, http://www.dol.gov/owcp/energy/regs/compliance/AdvisoryBoard.htm, 72 hours prior to the commencement of the first meeting date. Advisory Board meetings are open to the public.

Public comment session: Tuesday, May 10, 2022, from 4:15 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern time. Please note that the public comment session ends at the time indicated or following the last call for comments, whichever is earlier. Members of the public who wish to provide public comments should plan to call in to the public comment session at the start time listed. The Advisory Board is mandated by Section 3687 of EEOICPA. The Secretary of Labor established the Board under this authority and Executive Order 13699 (June 26, 2015). The purpose of the Advisory Board is to advise the Secretary with respect to: (1) The Site Exposure Matrices (SEM) of the Department of Labor; (2) medical guidance for claims examiners for claims under the EEOICPA program, with respect to the weighing of the medical evidence of claimants; (3) evidentiary requirements for claims under Part B of EEOICPA related to lung disease; (4) the work of industrial hygienists and staff physicians and consulting physicians of the Department of Labor and reports of such hygienists and physicians to ensure quality, objectivity, and consistency; (5) the claims adjudication process generally, including review of procedure manual changes prior to incorporation into the manual and claims for medical benefits; and (6) such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate. The Advisory Board sunsets on December 19, 2024.

The Advisory Board operates in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C. App. 2) and its implementing regulations (41 CFR part 102–3).

Agenda: The tentative agenda for the Advisory Board meeting includes:
• Review and follow-up on Advisory Board’s previous recommendations, data requests, and action items;
• Discussion of resources requested;
• Review responses to Board questions;
• Review of claims by Board members;
• Follow up on prior Board recommendations;
• Review of Board tasks, structure and work agenda;
• Consideration of any new issues; and
• Public comments.

OWCP transcribes and prepares detailed minutes of Advisory Board meetings. OWCP posts the transcripts and minutes on the Advisory Board web page, http://www.dol.gov/owcp/energy/regs/compliance/AdvisoryBoard.htm, along with written comments, speaker presentations, and other materials submitted to the Advisory Board or presented at Advisory Board meetings.

Public Participation, Submissions and Access to Public Record

Advisory Board meetings: All Advisory Board meetings are open to the public. Information on how to participate in the meeting remotely will be posted on the Advisory Board’s website.

Submission of comments: You may submit comments using one of the methods listed in the SUMMARY section. Your submission must include the Agency name (OWCP) and date for this Notice of Inquiry. This Notice of Inquiry may include the Advisory Board name (OWCP) and date for this Notice of Inquiry. Your comments may be made available to the public on the OWCP website and provide your submissions to Advisory Board members.

Because of security-related procedures, receipt of submissions by regular mail may experience significant delays.

Requests to speak and speaker presentations: If you want to address the Advisory Board at the meeting you must submit a request to speak, as well as any written or electronic presentation, by May 3, 2022, using one of the methods listed in the SUMMARY section. Your request may include:
• The amount of time requested to speak;
• The interest you represent (e.g., business, organization, affiliation), if any; and
• A brief outline of the presentation.

PowerPoint presentations and other electronic materials must be compatible with PowerPoint 2010 and other Microsoft Office 2010 formats. The Advisory Board Chair may grant requests to address the Board as time and circumstances permit.

Electronic copies of this Federal Register notice are available at http://www.regulations.gov. This notice, as well as news releases and other relevant information, are also available on the Advisory Board’s web page at http://www.dol.gov/owcp/energy/regs/compliance/AdvisoryBoard.htm.

For further information regarding this meeting, you may contact Michael Chance, Designated Federal Officer, at chance.michael@dol.gov, or Carrie Rhoads, Alternate Designated Federal Officer, at rhoads.carrie@dol.gov, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Suite S–3524, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 343–5580. This is not a toll-free number.

Signed at Washington, DC.

Christopher Godfrey,
Director, Office of Workers’ Compensation Programs.

[FR Doc. 2022–08685 Filed 4–26–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–CR–P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Office

[Docket Number 2022–2]

Standard Technical Measures and Section 512

AGENCY: Library of Congress, U.S. Copyright Office.

ACTION: Notification of Inquiry.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Copyright Office is gathering information on the development and use of standard technical measures for the protection and identification of copyrighted works. The Office seeks public comment on this topic to enhance the public record and to advise Congress. This Notice of Inquiry on standard technical measures is separate from the Office’s consultations on voluntarily deployed technical measures for identifying or protecting copyrighted works online, announced in the Federal Register on December 22, 2021, with the opening plenary session held on February 22, 2022.

DATES: Written comments must be received no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 27, 2022. If the Office determines that an additional round of written comments is needed, it will issue a separate notice.

ADDRESSES: For reasons of governmental efficiency, the Copyright Office is using the regulations.gov system for the submission and posting of public comments in this proceeding. All
and technology. You know, when the DMCA was passed, there wasn’t even peer-to-peer technology. So I think the context just changes so rapidly that it’s made it more difficult.”

11 U.S. Copyright Off., Section 512 of Title 17, at 177 (2020) (“Section 512 Report”).

12 Id.


14 Id. at 2.

15 The panel discussions were held on September 22, 23, and 29, 2020. More information is available at https://www.copyright.gov/events/stm-discussion.


September 2020 event highlighted a lack of consensus among stakeholders and raised more questions than answers. In June 2021, Senators Tillis and Leahy again wrote to the Copyright Office expressing concern about the lack of progress on achieving the DMCA’s goal of encouraging stakeholder collaboration in the development of STMs. The Senators asked the Office to look into the deployment of technical measures to identify and protect copyrighted works online generally and to explore the identification and implementation of STMs under section 512(i).

The Office’s Notice of Inquiry from December 2021 addresses the Senators’ first request concerning the voluntary development of technical measures to identify and protect copyrighted works online generally. Today’s Notice of Inquiry addresses the second request by examining issues surrounding STMs as defined in the current statutory framework and seeking input on alternatives.

In the Section 512 Report and a subsequent letter to Congress, the Office described several hurdles to identifying and adopting STMs under section 512(i), including ambiguities in the statutory language that potentially restrict or discourage their use, the limited application and availability of specific technologies to certain subsets of stakeholders, and practical challenges impeding the Office from either facilitating the development of STMs or playing a direct role in their development or use.

To provide Congress with a better understanding of how these issues might be addressed, the Office requests comments on the following questions. In your response, please identify which question(s) you are answering.

Questions About Existing Technologies as STMs

1. Are there existing technologies that meet the current statutory definition of STMs in section 512(i)? If yes, please identify. If no, what aspects of the statutory definition do existing technologies fail to meet?
2. What has hindered the adoption of existing technologies as STMs? Are there solutions that could address those hindrances?

Questions About Section 512(i)

3. Process under the current statute:
   (a) Formal Process: Does section 512(i) explicitly require a formal process for adoption of an STM? If so, what are the requirements for such a process, and what should such a process entail?
   (b) Informal Process: If the statute does not require a formal process, is an informal process appropriate or necessary? What type of informal process would facilitate the identification and adoption of an STM, and what should such a process entail?
   (c) Entities: What entity or entities would be best positioned to convene the process, whether formal or informal? What, if anything, is needed to authorize such an entity to convene the process? Is there any role under section 512(i) for third parties, such as regulatory agencies or private standard-setting bodies, to determine whether a particular technology qualifies as an STM? If so, what is the nature of that role? How would the third party determine that a particular technology qualifies as an STM? What would be the effect of such a determination?
   (d) Courts: What role, if any, do or should courts play in determining whether a particular technology qualifies as an STM under section 512(i)? How would a court determine that a particular technology qualifies as an STM? What would be the effect of such a determination? For example, would such a determination be binding or advisory? Would it bind non-parties or apply outside of the court’s jurisdiction? What would be the effect of pending appeals or inconsistent determinations across jurisdictions?
4. International Organizations: Could technology developed or used by international organizations or entities become STMs for purposes of section 512(i)? If so, through what process?
5. Consensus: Under section 512(i)(2)(A), a measure can qualify as an STM if it has been “developed pursuant to a broad consensus of copyright owners and service providers in an open, fair, voluntary, multi-industry standards process.”
   (a) What level of agreement constitutes a “broad consensus”? (b) What groupings qualify as “multi-industry”?
6. Availability:
   (a) Under section 512(i)(2)(B), an STM must also be “available to any person on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms.” Is this a threshold requirement for a technology to qualify as an STM or an obligation to make a technology available on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms once it is designated as an STM?
   (b) How has concern over the potential availability and accessibility of a technology affected the adoption of STMs? What terms would be reasonable and nondiscriminatory for STMs? In what ways would it be possible to enforce these terms?

7. Costs and burdens: Under section 512(i)(2)(C), an STM must not “impose substantial costs on service providers or substantial burdens on their systems or networks.” How should the substantiality of costs and burdens on internet service providers be evaluated? Should this evaluation differ based on variations in providers’ sizes and functions?

8. Internet service provider responsibilities: Section 512(i)(1)(B) states that an internet service provider must “accommodate[] and [] not interfere” with STMs to qualify for the statutory safe harbor. What actions does this standard require service providers to take or to affirmatively avoid taking? Must all internet service providers have the same obligations for every STM? What obstacles might prevent service providers from accommodating STMs? What could ameliorate such obstacles?

Questions About Potential Changes to Section 512

9. Definition: How could the existing definition of STMs in section 512 of Title 17 be improved?
10. Obligations: Currently, section 512(f)(1) conditions the safe harbors established in section 512(f) on internet service provider accommodating and not interfering with STMs.
   (a) Is the loss of the section 512 safe harbors an appropriate remedy for interfering with or failing to accommodate STMs? If not, what would be an appropriate remedy?
   (b) Are there other obligations concerning STMs that ought to be required of internet service providers?
   (c) What obligations should rightsholders have regarding the use of STMs?
11. Adoption through rulemaking:
(a) What role could a rulemaking play in identifying STMs for adoption under 512(i)?
(b) What entity or entities would be best positioned to administer such a rulemaking?
(c) What factors should be considered when conducting such a rulemaking, and how should they be weighted?
(d) What should be the frequency of such a rulemaking?
(e) What would be the benefits of such a rulemaking? What would be the drawbacks of such a rulemaking?

12. Alternatives: Are there alternative approaches that could better achieve Congress’s original goals in enacting section 512(i)?

Other Issues

13. Please identify and describe any pertinent issues not referenced above that the Copyright Office should consider.

Shira Perlmutter,
Register of Copyrights and Director of the U.S. Copyright Office.

[FR Doc. 2022–08946 Filed 4–26–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

The National Science Board hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a teleconference of the Committee on Strategy for the transaction of National Science Board business pursuant to the NSF Act and the Government in the Sunshine Act.

TIME AND DATE: Friday, April 29, 2022, from 10:00–10:30 a.m. EDT.

PLACE: This meeting will be held by teleconference organized through the National Science Foundation.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The agenda is: Committee Chair’s Opening Remarks; Approval of Prior Meeting Minutes; Update on NSF’s FY 2022 Current Plan.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Point of contact for this meeting is: Chris Blair, cblair@nsf.gov, 703/292–7000. Meeting information updates are available from the NSF website at https://www.nsf.gov/nsb/meetings/index.jsp#up.

Chris Blair,
Executive Assistant to the National Science Board Office.

[FR Doc. 2022–09037 Filed 4–25–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

The National Science Board’s (NSB) Committee on External Engagement hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a teleconference for the transaction of National Science Board business pursuant to the National Science Foundation Act and the Government in the Sunshine Act.

TIME AND DATE: Friday, April 29, 2022, from 12:00–2:30 p.m. EDT.

PLACE: This meeting will be held by teleconference through the National Science Foundation.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The agenda of the teleconference is: Committee Chair’s Opening Remarks; Schedule of Future Information, Context, and Action Items; Approval of Prior Minutes; Context Item: Inclusion of Leadership-Class Computing Facility in a Future MREFC Budget; Context Item: NOIRLab Operations & Maintenance Award; Context Item: Mag Lab Operations & Maintenance Award; Written Context Item: Regional Class Research Vessel Management Reserve.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Point of contact for this meeting is: Michelle McCrackin, mmccrack@nsf.gov, 703/292–7000. Meeting