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of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 12211 (66 FR 48275, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, this action does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13176 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

B. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

C. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 21, 2022. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action correcting the California SIP to reflect the vacatur of EPA’s June 25, 2020 final rule may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: April 18, 2022.

Deborah Jordan, Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

§52.220 [Amended]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart F—California

§52.220 [Amended]

2. Section 52.220 is amended by removing and reserving paragraphs (c)(514)(ii)(A)(6) and (c)(532)(ii)(A)(2).

§52.248 [Amended]

3. Section 52.248 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (j).
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GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Parts 300–3, 301–10, 301–51, and 302–16

[FTR Case 2020–301–1; Docket No. GSA–FTR–2021–0017, Sequence No. 2]

RIN 3090–AK45

Federal Travel Regulation; Rental Car Policy Updates and Clarifications

AGENCY: Office of Government-wide Policy (OGP), General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule clarifies that agencies may reimburse relocating employees rental car fees when their privately owned vehicle (POV) suffers a shipping delay when arriving at or returning from a foreign or non-foreign area outside the continental United States (OCONUS). The rule also defines the terms: OCONUS and fuel. It also clarifies when collision damage waiver(s) and theft insurance are reimbursable during car rentals.

DATES: Effective May 23, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ed Davis, Program Analyst, Office of Government-wide Policy, at 202–669–1653 or travelpolicy@gsa.gov for clarification of content. For information pertaining to status or publication dates, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202–501–4755 or GSARegSec@gsa.gov. Please cite “FTR Case 2020–301–1.”

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

OGP published a proposed rule at 86 FR 50863 on September 13, 2021, to provide clarifications to rental car use policies and definitions. Agencies are authorized to provide eligible employees a miscellaneous expenses allowance (MEA) to defray some of the costs incurred while relocating. A non-exhaustive list of examples of MEAs can be found at FTR §302–16.2. While not specifically mentioned as an example of an MEA, agencies are allowed to provide reimbursement for relocating employees for rental car use while awaiting arrival of their privately owned vehicle (POV) due to shipment delay from or to OCONUS. The lack of specific mention of this type of miscellaneous expense in the FTR has caused agency confusion surrounding its authorization for reimbursement.

The reimbursement of the cost of collision damage waiver (CDW) or theft insurance when renting a vehicle for
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

OGP published a proposed rule at 86 FR 50863 on September 13, 2021, to provide clarifications to rental car use policies and definitions. Agencies are authorized to provide eligible employees a miscellaneous expenses allowance (MEA) to defray some of the costs incurred while relocating. A non-exhaustive list of examples of MEAs can be found at FTR §302–16.2. While not specifically mentioned as an example of an MEA, agencies are allowed to provide reimbursement for relocating employees for rental car use while awaiting arrival of their privately owned vehicle (POV) due to shipment delay from or to OCONUS. The lack of specific mention of this type of miscellaneous expense in the FTR has caused agency confusion surrounding its authorization for reimbursement.

The reimbursement of the cost of collision damage waiver (CDW) or theft insurance when renting a vehicle for
official travel was not allowed (FTR § 301–10.451(b)). Employees required to travel OCONUS could be reimbursed for the cost of CDW or theft insurance, but not both.

This was done in error when transliterating the FTR into plain language. It is only relevant to a few rentals in foreign areas where both types of insurance are required by law.

The definition of types of vehicular power sources needed updating to replace “gas” and “gasoline” with the term “fuel,” and further defines fuel to account for other types of vehicular power sources, such as hydrogen, propane, and electricity.

II. Discussion and Analysis

GSA reviewed the public comments in discussion of the final rule. A discussion of the comments and changes made to the rule as a result of those comments are provided as follow:

A. Summary of Changes

This final rule makes the following changes from the proposed rule:

- Updates the list of MEA examples in FTR § 302–16.2 to explicitly include discretionary rental car reimbursement due to OCONUS shipping delays and adds the caveat that such expense may only be authorized for up to 10 days.
- The 10 days exclude reimbursement for the days after a POV is delivered or a new POV is purchased at the location. Adds a new paragraph (f) under FTR § 301–10.450 to clarify that a rental car is to be used for official purposes only and provides examples.
- Updates FTR § 301–10.451 (b) to reflect that collision damage waiver (CDW) and theft insurance may be reimbursed when deemed necessary.
- Clarifies the definition of OCONUS and adds it to the glossary of terms. OCONUS consists of foreign areas and non-foreign areas (unless otherwise qualified as “non-foreign OCONUS” or “foreign OCONUS.”)
- Removes “Trust Territories of the Pacific Islands” from the definitions of “Foreign area” and “Non-foreign area.” The Trust Territories of the Pacific Islands no longer exist.
- Removes the terms “gas” and “gasoline,” where appropriate, and replaces it with the term “fuel,” and further defines fuel to account for other types of vehicle power sources, such as hydrogen, propane, and electricity.

B. Analysis of Public Comments

GSA received comments from six commenters through the public comment process.

1. One commenter suggested that GSA clarify that a POV must actually be shipped to claim rental expenses under the MEA and that shipment applies to both foreign area OCONUS and non-foreign area OCONUS. GSA modified the language in FTR part 302–16 to emphasize that a POV must be shipped and clarified that the allowance applies to both foreign area OCONUS and non-foreign area OCONUS.

2. One commenter stated that an employee should get reimbursed for a rental car as well as fuel. The FTR currently permits reimbursement for authorized use of a rental car under FTR 301–2.5(g). Fuel for rental vehicles is also an allowable transportation expense when incurred while on temporary duty travel.

3. One commenter expressed general support for the rule and another commenter expressed support specifically for replacing the words “gasoline” and “gas” with the term “fuel,” where appropriate. GSA concurs.

4. One commenter had four suggestions: Amend the FTR to (1) allow employees to rent larger vehicles if needed for family size or larger amounts of cargo, (2) allow for use of a Government vehicle by authorized dependents, (3) include purchase of a POV as a reason to end rental car reimbursement, and (4) increase the size of the MEA or exclude rental car fees from the MEA expense limit. In response, GSA notes that: (1) The FTR currently allows agencies to authorize use of a larger class of rental vehicle when certain exceptions are met under FTR § 301–10.450, (2) the commenter’s suggested change to the Government vehicle regulations is outside the scope of this rule and will not be implemented, (3) GSA concurs with the suggestion and has made conforming changes to the rule in FTR § 302–16.2, and (4) MEA limitations regarding basic pay are done under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 5738 and cannot be changed without further regulatory amendment. No changes to the rule will be made based on this suggestion.

5. One commenter wanted clarification of employees returning from an OCONUS location would also be allowed a rental car reimbursement when their OCONUS shipments are delayed. GSA concurs that this was the intent of the change and the language in FTR part 302–16 has been clarified accordingly. The commenter also asked a procedural question unrelated to the regulation change, and which is answered in existing regulations.

II. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. OIRA has determined that this is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993.

III. Congressional Review Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2). Subtitle E of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (codified at 5 U.S.C. 801–808), also known as the Congressional Review Act or CRA, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. OIRA has determined that this final rule is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. This final rule is also exempt from the Administrative Procedure Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2) because it applies to agency management or personnel. Therefore, an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis was not performed.

V. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the FTR do not impose recordkeeping or information collection requirements, or the collection of information from offerors, contractors, or members of the public that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

List of Subjects in 41 CFR Parts 300–3, 301–10, 301–51, and 302–16

Government employees, Travel and transportation expenses.

Robin Carnahan, Administrator of General Services.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, GSA is amending 41 CFR
The additions read as follows:

PART 300–3—GLOSSARY OF TERMS

1. The authority citation for part 300–3 continues to read as follows:


2. Amend §300–3.1 by:
   a. Removing the definition of “Foreign area”;
   b. Adding, in alphabetical order, the definition of “Fuel”;
   c. Removing the definition of “Non-foreign area”;
   and
   d. Adding, in alphabetical order, the definition of “Outside the Continental United States”.

§300–3.1 What do the following terms mean?

* * * * *

Fuel—The energy source needed to power a vehicle. Examples include, but are not limited to, petroleum, hydrogen, propane, and electricity.

Outside the Continental United States (OCONUS)—Any area beyond the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia, i.e., CONUS. OCONUS is further divided into foreign areas and non-foreign areas:

(1) Foreign area—Any area situated beyond both the CONUS and the non-foreign areas.

(2) Non-foreign area—The states of Alaska and Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Marianas Islands, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the territories and possessions of the United States.

PART 301–10—TRANSPORTATION EXPENSES

3. The authority citation for part 301–10 continues to read as follows:


§301–10.304 [AMENDED]

4. Revise the table in §301–10.304 to read as follows:

§301–10.304 What expenses are allowable in addition to the POV mileage rate allowances?

(Official purposes, which include: Travel? * * * * *

§301–10.401 [Amended]

5. Amend §301–10.401 by removing from paragraph (a) the word “Gasoline” and adding “Fuel” in its place.

§301–10.451 [AMENDED]

6. Amend §301–10.451 by revising paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§301–10.451 May I be reimbursed for the cost of collision damage waiver (CDW) or theft insurance?

* * * * *

(f) Exception. You will be reimbursed for CDW or theft insurance, or both, when you travel OCONUS and such insurance is necessary because the rental or leasing agency requirements, foreign statute, or legal procedures could cause extreme difficulty for an employee involved in an accident.

PART 301–51—PAYING TRAVEL EXPENSES

§301–51.200 [Amended]

7. Amend §301–51.200 to read as follows:

PART 302–16—Allowance for Miscellaneous Expenses

8. The authority citation for part 302–16 continues to read as follows:


9. In §302–16 modify paragraph (a)(3) by removing the word “Gasoline” and adding “Fuel” in its place.

PART 302–16—Allowance for Miscellaneous Expenses

10. The authority citation for part 302–16 continues to read as follows:


§302–16.2 What are miscellaneous expenses?

* * * * *

(a) Costs associated with relocating that are not covered by other relocation benefits detailed in chapter 302, but are covered by the MEA.

(b) * *

Rental Car ............ Rental car fees while awaiting a delayed POV shipment to/from OCONUS. Reimbursement shall not exceed 10 days and does not include the days after the POV is delivered or a new POV is purchased at location.
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
50 CFR Part 648
[Doct No. 210723–0150; RTID 0648–XB923]
Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Common Pool Fishery and Other Measures for Fishing Year 2022

SUMMARY: This action implements measures for the Northeast multispecies common pool fishery and other measures under Regional Administrator authority for the 2022 fishing year. This action is necessary to ensure that the Northeast multispecies common pool fishery may achieve the optimum yield for the relevant stocks, while preventing early trimester closures, and preventing catch from exceeding the 2022 fishing year sub-ACLs.

Common Pool Trip Limits

Regulations at § 648.86(e) allow the Regional Administrator to implement or adjust a per-Day-at-Sea (DAS) possession limit and/or a maximum trip limit in order to prevent exceeding the common pool sub-annual catch limit (sub-ACL) in that fishing year. The possession and trip limits implemented for the start of the 2022 fishing year are included in Tables 1 and 2 below. These possession and trip limits were developed based on the common pool sub-ACLs set by Framework Adjustment 63 to the Northeast Multispecies FMP (86 FR 40353, July 27, 2021) that will be in effect on May 1, 2022. We considered preliminary 2022 sector rosters, expected common pool participation, and common pool fishing activity in previous fishing years. Additionally, during its December 2021 meeting, the New England Fishery Management Council adopted Framework Adjustment 63 to the Northeast Multispecies FMP, which, if approved, would modify the common pool sub-ACLs for several stocks. We are working to publish a proposed rule to request comment on Framework Adjustment 63. When developing the trip limits in this action, we took into account Council recommended sub-ACLs that may be implemented in Framework 63 to put in place trip limits on May 1, 2022, that would not result in the common pool exceeding any sub-ACLs or trimester total allowable catch (TAC). Based on this information, we project that these adjustments will facilitate optimized harvest of the common pool quotas, while preventing early trimester closures, and preventing catch from exceeding the 2022 fishing year sub-ACLs.

For Handgear A and Handgear B vessels, possession and trip limits for Georges Bank (GB) and Gulf of Maine (GOM) cod are tied to the possession and trip limits for groundfish DAS vessels. The default cod trip limit is 300 lb (136 kg) for Handgear A vessels and 75 lb (34 kg) for Handgear B vessels. If the GOM or GB cod limit for vessels fishing on a groundfish DAS drops below 300 lb (136 kg), then the respective Handgear A cod trip limit must be reduced to the same limit. Similarly, the Handgear B trip limit must be adjusted proportionally to the DAS limit (rounded up to the nearest 25 lb (11 kg)). In accordance with this process, the Handgear A and Handgear B possession and trip limits for GB and GOM cod are as listed below in Table 2.

Vessels with a Small Vessel category permit can possess up to 300 lb (136 kg) of cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder, combined, per trip. Additionally, for these vessels, the trip limit for all stocks is equal to the landing limits per DAS applicable to multispecies DAS vessels. This is necessary to ensure that the trip limit applicable to the Small Vessel category permit is consistent with the trip limits for other common pool vessels, as described above.

Weekly quota monitoring reports for the common pool fishery can be found on our website at: https://www.greateratlantic.fisheries.noaa.gov/ro/fso/reports/h/nemultispecies.html.

We will continue to monitor common pool catch through vessel trip reports, dealer-reported landings, vessel monitoring system catch reports, and other available information and, if necessary, we will make additional adjustments to common pool management measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock</th>
<th>2022 Trip limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GB Cod (outside Eastern U.S./Canada Area)</td>
<td>100 lb (45.4 kg) per DAS, up to 200 lb (90.7 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Cod (inside Eastern U.S./Canada Area)</td>
<td>500 lb (226.8 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Cod ([Closed Area II Yellowtail Flounder/Haddock Special Access Program for common pool vessels to target yellowtail flounder, and the closure of the Regular B Days-at-Sea Program.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOM Cod</td>
<td>200 lb (90.7 kg) per DAS, up to 400 lb (181.4 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOM Haddock</td>
<td>100,000 lb (45,359.2 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOM Yellowtail Flounder</td>
<td>2,000 lb (907.2 kg) per DAS, up to 4,000 lb (1,814.4 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic (SNE/MA) Yellowtail Flounder</td>
<td>100 lb (45.4 kg) per DAS, up to 200 lb (90.7 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Cod (CC)/GOM Yellowtail Flounder</td>
<td>1,000 lb (453.6 kg) per DAS, up to 2,000 lb (907.2 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American plaice</td>
<td>2,000 lb (907.2 kg) per DAS, up to 4,000 lb (1,814.4 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witch Flounder</td>
<td>1,500 lb (680.4 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Winter Flounder</td>
<td>250 lb (113.4 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOM Winter Flounder</td>
<td>1,000 lb (453.6 kg) per trip.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>