email at regulation_comments@nara.gov, or by telephone at 301.837.3151. Contact rmstandards@nara.gov with any questions on electronic records management.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Regulatory Analysis

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and Executive Order 13563, Improving Regulation and Regulation Review

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has reviewed this rulemaking and determined it is not “significant” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. It is not significant because it involves agency internal procedures and is minor and administrative in nature and the changes are being made to align with the executive order. There is also not a public comment period on this revision, for good cause.

Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.)

This review requires an agency to prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis and publish it when the agency publishes the proposed rule. This requirement does not apply if the agency certifies that the rulemaking will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (5 U.S.C. 603). We certify, after review and analysis, that this rulemaking will not have a significant adverse economic impact on small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.)

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.) requires that agencies consider the impact of paperwork and other information collection burdens imposed on the public and, under the provisions of PRA section 3507(d), obtain approval from OMB for each collection of information we conduct, sponsor, or require through regulations. This rulemaking does not impose additional information collection requirements on the public that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

Executive Order 13132 requires agencies to ensure state and local officials have the opportunity for meaningful and timely input when developing regulatory policies that may have a substantial, direct effect on the states, on the relationship between the Federal Government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. If the effects of the rule on state and local governments are sufficiently substantial, the agency must prepare a Federal assessment to assist senior policy makers. This rulemaking will not have any effects on state and local governments within the meaning of the E.O. Therefore, no federalism assessment is required.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (Sec. 202, Pub. L. 104–4; 2 U.S.C. 1532)

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act requires that agencies determine whether any Federal mandate in the rulemaking may result in state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or the private sector, expending $100 million in any one year. NARA certifies that this rulemaking does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in such an expenditure.

List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 1213

Administrative practice and procedure.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, NARA amends 36 CFR part 1213 as follows:

PART 1213—AGENCY GUIDANCE PROCEDURES

1. The authority citation for part 1213 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 2104(a).

§ 1213.4 [Amended]

1. Amend § 1213.4 by:
   a. Removing paragraph (b)(2)(iv) and redesignating paragraphs (b)(2)(vi) and (vi)(i) as paragraphs (b)(2)(v) and (vi);
   b. Adding the word “and” at the end of newly redesignated paragraph (b)(2)(v);
   c. In paragraph (b)(3), removing “,” and “and” and adding a period in its place;
   d. Removing paragraph (b)(4); and
   e. In paragraph (f), removing “§ 1213.6(a)” and adding “§ 1213.6” in its place.

§ 1213.6 [Amended]

2. Amend § 1213.6 by:

3. Amend § 1213.6 by:


§ 1213.14 [Removed]


David S. Ferriero,
Archivist of the United States.
[FR Doc. 2022–07580 Filed 4–8–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Air Plan Approval; South Dakota; Revisions to South Dakota Codified Law and Administrative Rules of South Dakota

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: With this direct final rule, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the “Agency”) is promulgating approval of South Dakota’s submittal requesting that EPA recognize the merger of South Dakota’s Department of Agriculture (DOA) with the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) to form the new Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources (DANR) and incorporate corresponding non-substantive revisions to the South Dakota Codified Law (SDCL) and the Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD) into South Dakota’s Implementation Plan. Accordingly, EPA is taking this final action in accordance with Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: This direct final rule is effective on June 10, 2022 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse written comments on or before May 11, 2022. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2021–0807. All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically in www.regulations.gov. To reduce the risk of COVID–19 transmission, for this action we do not
plan to offer hard copy review of the docket. Please email or call the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section if you need to make alternative arrangements for access to the docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Gregory, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mail Code 8P–ARD–QP, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, telephone number: (303) 312–6175, email address: gregory.kate@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” means EPA.

I. Why is EPA using a direct final rule?

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the Proposed Rules section of this issue of the Federal Register publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve revisions to both the SDCL and the ARSD. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that this direct final rule will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

II. Background

On April 16, 2021, South Dakota’s Office of Attorney General submitted a letter notifying EPA of the establishment of the South Dakota DANR. The letter stated that on January 19, 2021, South Dakota Governor, Kristi Noem, executed Executive Order 2021–03, which provided for the merger of the South Dakota DOA and the South Dakota DENR into one department—the DANR. According to the South Dakota Constitution, executive reorganization orders become effective “within ninety days after submission” of the executive order to the South Dakota Legislature (Legislature) unless one of the two houses of the Legislature disapproves of the executive reorganization (S.D. Constitution, Article IV, Section 8). During the 2021 session, neither house of the Legislature passed a resolution of disapproval of Governor Noem’s Executive Order 2021–03 and the Order became effective April 19, 2021. In the letter submitted by the South Dakota Office of Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General Steven R. Blair stated that all State programs previously authorized to carry out EPA programs would continue to function in the same manner and all current environmental protection activities conducted under existing EPA approved or delegated programs under the DOA and/or the DENR would continue intact under the newly established DANR. Further, Mr. Blair stated that the merger caused no substantive budgetary or personnel changes, that the new DANR has all the authorities, powers, and duties of the previous DOA and DENR, and that the laws in effect at the time EPA approved or delegated authority to DOA and/or DENR continue to be fully effective and enforceable. Mr. Blair explained that the merger did not require any substantive changes to state law or administrative rules; the statutes and rules were merely updated to reflect the name of the new department.

III. State Submittal

On January 21, 2022, pursuant to 40 CFR part 51, South Dakota submitted a request that EPA recognize the merger of South Dakota’s DOA with the DENR to form the new DANR and incorporate corresponding revisions to the SDCL and the ARSD into South Dakota’s Implementation Plan at 40 CFR 52.2170. The January 21, 2022 submittal included a letter from the Secretary of the ARSD, Hunter Roberts, as the Governor’s designee. Secretary Roberts stated that the SDCL and ARSD were automatically updated with DANR’s new name during the merger process. Additionally, Secretary Roberts stated that South Dakota’s Board of Minerals and Environment approved the DANR’s request to ask EPA to recognize the department’s new name in South Dakota’s State Implementation Plan (SIP) at 40 CFR 52.2170 during a public hearing on December 16, 2021. Secretary Roberts further confirmed that the merger did not cause a substantive change to state law or administrative rules and that DANR maintains the same authorities, powers, and duties covered and implemented under the previous department name.

South Dakota’s submittal included clean and redlined copies of the revised SDCL and ARSD, which are available in the docket for this action. The non-substantive revisions became effective on April 19, 2021. The submittal also included evidence that public notice of the State’s proposed submittal ran in eleven South Dakota newspapers and a public hearing was held on December 16, 2021, demonstrating compliance with 40 CFR 51.102. The State received no public comments.

The SDCL and ARSD approved into South Dakota’s SIP as revised are listed in Table 1 below.

**TABLE 1—Revisions to South Dakota South Dakota Codified Law (SDCL) and Administrative Rules of South Dakota (ARSD) Air Pollution Control Rules**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDCL:</th>
<th>34A–1–2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34A–1–58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34A–1–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34A–1–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARSD:</td>
<td>74:36:01:01(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74:36:01:01(55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Final Action

South Dakota submitted the necessary information for EPA to review the non-substantive revisions to South Dakota’s statutes and administrative rules to reflect the merger of South Dakota’s DOA with the DENR to form the new DANR. With the exception of 74:37:01:08, which is part of South Dakota’s approved CAA title V program rather than part of South Dakota’s approved SIP, EPA is now acting to approve the non-substantive revisions to the SDCL and ARSD air pollution control rules into the SIP at 40 CFR 52.2170.

V. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, EPA is finalizing regulatory text in an EPA final rule that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with the requirements of 1 CFR 5.15, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the regulations described in section III of...
this preamble and as set forth in the amendments to 40 CFR 52.2170 below. EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through https://www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 8 Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rule of EPA’s approval, and will be incorporated by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in the next update to the SIP compilation.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the proposed rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States, EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 10, 2022. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Greenhouse gases, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: April 2, 2022.

KC Becker,
Regional Administrator, Region 8.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart QQ—South Dakota

2. In §52.2170:

a. The table in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entry “74:36:01:01”.

b. The table in paragraph (e) is amended by adding the entry “XXVII. South Dakota Codified Laws, 34A–1–2, 34A–1–58.1, 34A–1–60 and 34A–1–63” in numerical order.

The revision and addition read as follows:

§52.2170 Identification of plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule No.</th>
<th>Rule title</th>
<th>State effective date</th>
<th>EPA effective date</th>
<th>Final rule citation, date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) * * *
Illinois-Indiana-Wisconsin Area to Portion of the Chicago-Naperville, Redesignation of the Wisconsin Air Plan Approval; Wisconsin;

**AGENCY**

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:**

Final rule.

**SUMMARY:**

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finds that the Chicago-Naperville, IL-IN-WI area (Chicago area) is attaining the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS or standard) and, in response to a request from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (Wisconsin or the State), is redesignating the Wisconsin portion of the area to attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, because the State has met the statutory requirements for redesignation under the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA is approving, as a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP), the State’s plan for maintaining the 2008 ozone NAAQS through 2035 for the Wisconsin portion of the Chicago area. EPA finds adequate and is approving Wisconsin’s 2030 and 2035 volatile organic compound (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NOx) Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets (Budgets) for the Wisconsin portion. Finally, pursuant to section 110 and part D of the CAA, EPA is approving the enhanced Inspection/Maintenance (I/M) program certification included in Wisconsin’s December 3, 2021 submittal, because it satisfies the serious enhanced I/M requirements for the Wisconsin portion. EPA proposed to approve this action on February 7, 2022, and received no comments.

**DATES:**

This final rule is effective on April 11, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:**

EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2021–0885. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either through www.regulations.gov or at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID–19. We recommend that you telephone Michael Leslie, Environmental Engineer at (312) 353–6680 before visiting the Region 5 office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Leslie, Environmental Engineer, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR18), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–6680, leslie.michael@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

**I. Background Information**

On February 7, 2022 (87 FR 6006), EPA proposed to find that the Chicago area is attaining the 2008 ozone NAAQS, in response to a request from the Wisconsin, and is redesignating the Wisconsin portion of the area to attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, because the State has met the statutory requirements for redesignation under the CAA. The Wisconsin portion of the Chicago 2008 ozone area consists of the portion of Kenosha County bounded by the I–94 corridor and the area east to Lake Michigan (Wisconsin portion). Wisconsin submitted this request on December 3, 2021. EPA proposed to approve, as a revision to the Wisconsin SIP, the State’s plan for maintaining the 2008 ozone NAAQS through 2035 for the Wisconsin portion. EPA also proposed to approve and find adequate Wisconsin’s 2030 and 2035 VOC and NOx Budgets for the Wisconsin portion. Finally, pursuant to section 110 and part D of the CAA, EPA proposed to approve the enhanced I/M certification, because it satisfies the serious enhanced I/M requirements for the Wisconsin portion. The public comment period for this proposed rule ended on March 9, 2022. EPA received no comments on the proposal.