can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202–566–1744. For additional information about EPA’s public docket, visit http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

Abstract: This Information Collection Request (ICR) renews the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Program ICR and consolidates the information collection burden and costs associated with activities previously reported in 18 of the NPDES program or NPDES-related ICRs. It calculates the information collection burden and costs associated with the NPDES program, identifies the types of activities regulated under the NPDES program, describes the roles and responsibilities of state governments and the Agency, and presents the program areas that address the various types of regulated activities. This renewal documents the addition of the burden and costs for the four existing NPDES ICRs listed below. Once this renewal ICR is approved, the following ICRs will be discontinued: Public Notification Requirements for Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) in the Great Lakes Basin (OMB control no. 2040–0293, EPA ICR 2562.03, expiration date 04/30/24); Effluent Limitation Guidelines and Standards for the Dental Intake Structure (CWIS) facilities, as described in Section 402 of the CWA; the 1987 Water Quality Standards (WQS) for the Great Lakes Basin; the 1987 Water Quality Standards (WQS) for the Great Lakes Basin; and the Great Lakes Critical Programs Act (GPCA).

Estimated number of respondents: 827,180 (total). (Includes 637 States/Tribes/Territories).

Frequency of response: Varies depending on the specific response activity and can range from ongoing and monthly to once every five years.

Total estimated burden: 31,143,503 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: $1,732,287,018 (per year), includes $22,999,181 annualized capital or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the estimates: The majority of the burden hour increase occurred as a result of an increase in EPA’s estimates of permittee respondents, which is largely attributed to improvements in the NPDES Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS–NPDES) database, implementation of the Electronic Reporting Rule–Phase 2 Extension (OMB Control No.: 2020–0037, EPA ICR No. 2617.02, expiration 12/31/2023).

The Clean Water Act (CWA) provides that NPDES permits are required for the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States. The CWA requires EPA to develop and implement the NPDES permit program. CWA section 402(b) allows states to acquire authority to administer the NPDES program, enabling them to issue NPDES permits for discharges within the state. At present, 47 states and the U.S. Virgin Islands are authorized to administer the NPDES permit program. In states that do not have authority for these programs, the Agency administers the program and issues NPDES permits. Because some permit applications are processed by states and some by EPA, this ICR calculates government burden and cost for both authorized states and EPA. See Appendix F.1 for a copy of the authorizing regulation.

Form Numbers: EPA Form 3510–1; EPA Form 3510–2A; EPA Form 3510–2B; EPA Form 3510–2C; EPA Form 3510–2D; EPA Form 3510–2E; EPA Form 3510–2F; EPA Form 3510–2S.

Respondent/affected entities: Any point source discharger of pollutants, publicly owned and privately owned treatment works (POTWs and PrOTWs), industrial disposers to POTWs and PrOTWs, industrial and commercial dischargers to water of the United States, sewage sludge management and disposal operations, large vessels, dischargers of stormwater, construction sites, municipalities, pesticide applicators, local and state governments.

Respondent’s obligation to respond: Mandatory. Sections 301, 302, 304, 306, 307, 308, 316(b), 401, 402, 403, 405, and 510 of the CWA; the 1987 Water Quality Act (WQA) revisions to CWA section 402(p); 40 CFR parts 122, 123, 124, and 125 (and parts 501 and 503 for Biosolids); and the Great Lakes Critical Programs Act (GPCA).

For general questions on the registration review program, contact: Melanie Biscoe, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 305–7106; email address: biscoe.melanie@epa.gov.

For pesticide specific information contact: The Chemical Review Manager for the pesticide of interest identified in Table 1 in Unit IV.

I. General Information
A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the
chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the Chemical Review Manager identified in Table 1 in Unit IV.

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD–ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for preparing your comments. When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

3. Environmental justice. EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low-income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

II. Background

Registration review is EPA’s periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. As part of the registration review process, the Agency has completed comprehensive draft human health and/or ecological risk assessments for all pesticides listed in Table 1 in Unit IV. After reviewing comments received during the public comment period, EPA may issue a revised risk assessment, explain any changes to the draft risk assessment, and respond to comments and may request public input on risk mitigation before completing a proposed registration review decision for the pesticides listed in Table 1 in Unit IV. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide’s registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

III. Authority

EPA is conducting its registration review of the chemicals listed in Table 1 in Unit IV pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(5)). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment; that is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

IV. What action is the Agency taking?

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.58, this notice announces the availability of EPA’s human health and/or ecological risk assessments for the pesticides shown in Table 1 and opens a 60-day public comment period on the risk assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1—DRAFT RISK ASSESSMENTS BEING MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registration review case name and No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Propanediamine, N-(3-aminopropyl)-N-dodecyl- (1,3 PAD) Case 5109.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.53(c), EPA is providing an opportunity, through this notice of availability, for interested parties to provide comments and input concerning the Agency’s draft human health and/or ecological risk assessments for the pesticides listed in Table 1 in Unit IV. The Agency will consider all comments received during the public comment period and make changes, as appropriate, to a draft human health and/or ecological risk assessment. EPA may then issue a revised risk assessment, explain any changes to the draft risk assessment, and respond to comments.

Information submission requirements. Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide’s registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:

- To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.
- The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audio- graphic or video- graphic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
- Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
- Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide’s registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision
As EPA works to implement the law, EPA has asked the LGAC for their input on the following:

- How can we ensure that investments in water infrastructure promote sustainable and healthy communities?
- Are there specific recommendations for how EPA can prioritize equity, environmental justice, and the lived experience of those most impacted by water pollution?
- Are there technical resources or assistance that EPA can provide to help local governments upgrade their water and wastewater infrastructure?
- How can EPA make funding more accessible to local governments and more adaptable to the unique needs a community faces—particularly underserved communities?
- Are there specific recommendations for how EPA can include workforce development as part of the implementation of this bill?
- Do you have specific recommendations for how EPA can encourage consideration of climate impacts (e.g., GHG mitigation, adaptation, resilience) in the projects funded?
- Is there specific technical assistance that EPA should offer local governments to ensure they plan for, develop and build infrastructure that supports multiple community goals, including improving environmental and economic outcomes, supporting equity and environmental justice, and increasing communities’ abilities to create climate resilience?
- Is there specific input you have for EPA as it develops the Clean School Bus program in BIL?

During this meeting the LGAC will present, finalize and formally adopt final recommendations for charge questions noted above.

Prior to the LGAC meeting, the SCAS will convene to discuss and provide input from their perspective on the LGAC’s draft recommendations for implementation of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL).

All interested persons are invited to attend and participate. The SCAS will hear comments from the public from 12:45–1:00 p.m. (EST). The LGAC will hear comments from the public from 3:15–3:30 p.m. (EST). Individuals or organizations wishing to address the Committee or Subcommittee will be allowed a maximum of five (5) minutes to present their point of view. Also, written comments should be submitted electronically to LGAC@epa.gov for the LGAC and should be submitted to the DFO at the email listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT to schedule a time on the agenda by February 14, 2022. Time will be allotted on a first-come first-served basis, and the total period for comments may be extended if the number of requests for appearances requires it.

Registration: The meeting will be held virtually through an online audio and video platform. Members of the public who wish to participate should register by contacting the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) at LGAC@epa.gov by February 11, 2022. The agenda and other supportive meeting materials will be available online at https://www.epa.gov/ocir/local-government-advisory-committee-lgac and can be obtained by written request to the DFO. In the event of cancellation for unforeseen circumstances, please contact the DFO or check the website above for reschedule information.

Julian Bowles,
Director, State and Local Relations, Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paige Lieberman, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), at LGAC@epa.gov or 202–564–9957.

Information on Accessibility: For information on access or services for individuals requiring accessibility accommodations, please contact Paige Lieberman by email at LGAC@epa.gov. To request accommodation, please do so five (5) business days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 20–10; Petition No. P1–20]

Investigation Into Conditions Created by Canadian Ballast Water Regulations in the U.S./Canada Great Lakes Trade

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: Because of developments within Canada and the United States, the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) is seeking additional public comments on a petition filed by the Lake Carriers’ Association (Petitioner) alleging that conditions created by the Government of Canada (Canada) are unfavorable to shipping in the United States/Canada trade.

DATES: Submit comments on or before March 7, 2022.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. 20–10, by the following method:

- Email: secretary@fmc.gov. For comments, include in the subject line: “Docket No. 20–10, Comments on Conditions Created by Canadian Ballast Water Regulations in the U.S./Canada Great Lakes Trade.” Comments should be attached to the email as a Microsoft Word or text searchable PDF document. Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or public comments received, go to the Commission’s Electronic Reading Room.