

How should the Commission’s recent *Third Further Notice* and any subsequent order inform or impact our reevaluation of the small voice service provider extension? How close are small voice service providers to “full participation,” and what steps, if any, could the Commission take to promote that goal?

*Extension for Voice Service Providers That Cannot Obtain a SPC Token.* We seek comment on the Commission’s extension for voice service providers that cannot obtain the Service Provider Code (SPC) token necessary to participate in STIR/SHAKEN. The Commission granted voice service providers that are incapable of obtaining a SPC token due to Governance Authority policy an extension until they are capable of obtaining said token. The Commission granted this extension because “it is impossible for a voice service provider to participate in STIR/SHAKEN without access to [a SPC token] and because some voice service providers are unable to obtain [one] at this time.”

In May, the Governance Authority revised the STI–GA Token Access Policy to enable token access by some voice service providers previously unable to receive a token. How has this change impacted the barrier presented by an inability to access a SPC token? Has it resolved the token access barrier? Does this change affect the need for the implementation extension and, if so, how? Does the extension remain necessary? Conversely, is this extension

no longer necessary and should we recommend it be terminated or revised by the Commission going forward? If so, why? Finally, how does token access affect the TRACED Act goal of full participation in caller ID authentication? Are there steps the Commission could take regarding token access to better promote full participation?

*Extension for Services Scheduled for Section 214 Discontinuance.* We seek comment on the Commission’s extension for services scheduled for section 214 discontinuance. The Commission granted an extension to services which are subject to a pending application for permanent discontinuance of service filed as of June 30, 2021 for one year, until June 30, 2022. Under this extension, a voice service provider has until June 30, 2022, to either discontinue the service subject to the application or, alternatively, implement STIR/SHAKEN on that service. The Commission granted this extension to “obviate the need to upgrade components of a voice service provider’s network that will be sunset.” Is there any reason we should lengthen this extension and give affected voice service providers additional time, beyond June 30, 2022, to either discontinue the service or implement STIR/SHAKEN? Is it reasonable for a voice service provider to take longer than one year to complete discontinuance and, if so, how much additional time is appropriate? Alternatively, is a protracted

discontinuance evidence of “bad faith” and should we decline to grant any additional time before a voice service provider is obligated to choose between discontinuance and STIR/SHAKEN implementation? To account for bad faith filers while acknowledging potential reasonable delays, should we lengthen the extension but limit the scope of entities entitled to any further extension? Do services scheduled for 214 discontinuance meaningfully impact the goal of full participation in caller ID authentication?

Federal Communications Commission.  
**Pamela Arluk,**  
*Chief, Competition Policy Division.*  
 [FR Doc. 2021–22106 Filed 10–8–21; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

[FR ID 52205]

**Deletion of Items From September 30, 2021 Open Meeting**

September 30, 2021.

The following items have been adopted by the Commission and deleted from the list of items scheduled for consideration at the Thursday, September 30, 2021, Open Meeting. These items were previously listed in the Commission’s Notice of Thursday, September 23, 2021.

|         |                                     |   |
|---------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 3 ..... | OFFICE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY. | TITLE: Authorizing 6 GHz Band Automated Frequency Coordination Systems (ET Docket No. 21–352).<br>SUMMARY: The Commission will consider a Public Notice beginning the process for authorizing Automated Frequency Coordination Systems to govern the operation of standard-power devices in the 6 GHz band (5.925–7.125 GHz). |
| 4 ..... | OFFICE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY. | TITLE: Spectrum Requirements for the Internet of Things (ET Docket No. 21–353)<br>SUMMARY: The Commission will consider a Notice of Inquiry seeking comment on current and future spectrum needs to enable better connectivity relating to the Internet of Things (IoT).  |

\* \* \* \* \*

The meeting will be webcast with open captioning at: [www.fcc.gov/live](http://www.fcc.gov/live). Open captioning will be provided as well as a text only version on the FCC website. Other reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities are available upon request. In your request, include a description of the accommodation you will need and a way we can contact you if we need more information. Last minute requests will be accepted but may be impossible to fill. Send an email to: [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Office of Media Relations, (202) 418–0500. Audio/Video coverage of the meeting will be broadcast live with open captioning over the internet from the FCC Live web page at [www.fcc.gov/live](http://www.fcc.gov/live).

**Marlene Dortch,**  
*Secretary.*  
 [FR Doc. 2021–22110 Filed 10–8–21; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

[OMB 3060–0719; FR ID 52030]

**Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction