

EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0654. The docket office can be reached by email at: *a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov* or FAX: 202-566-9744.

For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Lorraine Reddick at *reddick.lorraine@epa.gov*, preferably at least 7 days prior to the meeting to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: September 28, 2021.

**Jonathan Lubetsky,**

*Group Leader, Office of Air Policy and Program Support, Environmental Protection Agency.*

[FR Doc. 2021-21478 Filed 10-1-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0085; FRL-8946-01-OAR]

### Proposed Information Collection Request; Comment Request; NESHAP for Radionuclides (40 CFR Part 61, Subparts B, K, R and W) and NESHAP for Radon Emissions From Operating Mill Tailings (40 CFR Part 61, Subpart W) (Renewal)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is planning to submit an information collection request (ICR), “NESHAP for Radionuclides (40 CFR part 61, subparts B, K, R and W) (Renewal)” (EPA ICR No. 1100.16, OMB Control No. 2060-0191) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. Before doing so, the EPA is soliciting public comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below. In addition to being a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through May 31, 2022, the Agency seeks to consolidate this ICR with EPA ICR Number 2464.03, OMB Control Number 2060-0706, which was established to address the information collection requirements created by the revision to NESHAP subpart W in 2017. All information collection required under 40 CFR part 61, subpart W would then be included in a single ICR, together with the information collection requirements of subparts B, K, and R. An Agency may not conduct, or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before December 3, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0085, online using *www.regulations.gov* (our preferred method), by email to [*a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov*], or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460.

EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jonathan P. Walsh, Radiation Protection Division, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air, Mail Code 6608T, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202-343-9238; fax number: 202-343-2304; email address: *walsh.jonathan@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Supporting documents which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at *www.regulations.gov* or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202-566-1744. Please visit *http://www.epa.gov/dockets* for more information.

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act, EPA is soliciting comments and information to enable it to: (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR

as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB.

**Abstract:** On December 15, 1989, pursuant to Section 112 of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977 (42 U.S.C. 1857), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) regulations to control radionuclide emissions from several source categories. The regulations are codified at 40 CFR part 61. Of the eight subparts (B, H, I, K, Q, R, T and W) included in the 1989 rule, as currently amended, four apply to privately-operated facilities. In addition to requiring operational practices that limit emissions, subparts B, K, R, and W impose radionuclide dose and/or emission limits, respectively, to underground uranium mines, elemental phosphorous plants, phosphogypsum stacks, and uranium mill tailings impoundments. Facilities must inspect impoundments, measure radionuclide emissions, perform analyses or calculations per EPA procedures, and report the results to the EPA.

Information collected is used by the EPA to ensure that public health and the environment continue to be protected from the hazards of airborne radionuclides by compliance with these standards. Compliance is demonstrated through emissions testing and dose calculation when appropriate.

**Form Numbers:** None.

**Respondents/affected entities:** The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes of facilities associated with the activity of the respondents are: (1) Elemental Phosphorous—325180, (2) Phosphogypsum Stacks—212392, (3) Underground Uranium Mines—212291, and (4) Uranium Mill Tailings—212291.

**Respondent’s obligation to respond:** mandatory (CAA, Sec. 112; 40 CFR part 61).

**Estimated number of respondents:** 25 (total).

**Frequency of response:** Monthly, annual, or one-time depending on the source category and respondent activity.

**Total estimated burden:** 4,146 hours (per year). Burden is defined as 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

**Total estimated cost:** \$632,392 (per year), which includes \$338,600 annualized capital or operation and maintenance costs.

**Changes in Estimates:** Total estimated respondent hours increased from 1,898 hours in the previous approved version

of this ICR to 4146, primarily as a result of consolidating this ICR with ICR 2060–0706. No Subpart B facilities were reporting at the time of the last renewal in 2018, however, the Agency identified two respondents that are likely to submit annual reports in 2021, and two responses were added to the ICR, adding 460 hours of labor and \$10,600 of non-labor cost to the burden that was approved in 2019. For Subparts K, R, and W, there were no changes to the number of respondents, the annual time burden, or the annual non-labor cost compared to the most recent renewals of these ICRs.<sup>1</sup> The requested burden reflects the sum of the two ICRs that are being consolidated.

**Lee Ann Veal,**

*Director, Radiation Protection Division.*

[FR Doc. 2021–21533 Filed 10–1–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[EPA–R09–OAR–2021–0135; FRL–8835–01–R9]

**Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets in Submitted 8-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan for San Diego; California**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or “Agency”) is notifying the public that the Agency has found motor vehicle emissions budgets (“budgets”) adequate in a California state implementation plan (SIP) submittal for San Diego County. Specifically, our finding relates to budgets in the area’s “2020 Plan for Attaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone in San Diego County (October 2020)” (“2020 San Diego Ozone Plan” or “plan”). We find that these budgets are adequate for transportation conformity purposes for the 2008 and 2015 ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). Upon the effective date of this notice of adequacy, prior budgets for the 2008 ozone NAAQS previously found adequate by the EPA will no longer be applicable for transportation conformity purposes, and the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) and the U.S. Department of Transportation must use these adequate budgets in future transportation conformity determinations.

<sup>1</sup> For the most recent renewal of ICR 2060–0706, see 86 FR 1965, January 11, 2021.

**DATES:** This finding is effective October 19, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Kelly, EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR–2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901; (415) 947–4151 or *kelly.johnj@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) submitted the plan to the EPA on January 12, 2021, as a revision to the California SIP. The plan contains budgets for both the 2008 and the 2015 ozone NAAQS. These budgets are used for the 2008 ozone NAAQS reasonable further progress (RFP) milestone years 2020 and 2023 and for the attainment year 2026. For the 2015 ozone NAAQS, these budgets are used for RFP milestone years 2023, 2026, and 2029, and for the attainment year 2032.

The EPA sent a letter to CARB dated September 21, 2021 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted 2020 San Diego Ozone Plan are adequate for transportation conformity purposes.<sup>1</sup> The finding is available at the EPA’s conformity website.<sup>2</sup> We announced availability of the plan and related budgets on the EPA’s transportation conformity website on June 4, 2021, requesting comments by July 6, 2021. We received no comments in response to the adequacy review posting. The adequate budgets are provided in the following tables:

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS FOR 2008 OZONE NAAQS**

Budget year	Volatile organic compounds (tons per average summer day)	Nitrogen oxides (tons per average summer day)
2020 .....	16.3	28.1
2023 .....	13.6	19.3
2026 .....	12.1	17.3

<sup>1</sup> See letter dated September 21, 2021 from Elizabeth J. Adams, Director, Air and Radiation Division, EPA Region IX, to Richard Corey, Executive Officer, CARB.

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.epa.gov/state-and-local-transportation/state-implementation-plans-sip-submissions-epa-has-found-adequate-or>.

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS FOR 2015 OZONE NAAQS**

Budget year	Volatile organic compounds (tons per average summer day)	Nitrogen oxides (tons per average summer day)
2023 .....	13.6	19.3
2026 .....	12.1	17.3
2029 .....	11.0	15.9
2032 .....	10.0	15.1

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). The EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and transportation projects conform to a state’s air quality SIP and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the NAAQS.

The criteria we use to determine whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4), promulgated on August 15, 1997.<sup>3</sup> We have further described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our final rule dated July 1, 2004, and we used the information in these resources in making our adequacy determination.<sup>4</sup> Please note that an adequacy review is separate from the EPA’s completeness review and should not be used to prejudge the EPA’s ultimate action on the SIP submittal. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP submittal could later be disapproved.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 93.104(e), within two years of the effective date of this notice, SANDAG and the U.S. Department of Transportation will need to demonstrate conformity to the new budgets if the demonstration has not already been made.<sup>5</sup> For demonstrating conformity to the budgets in this plan, the on-road motor vehicle emissions from implementation of the transportation plan or program should be projected consistently with the budgets in this plan, *i.e.*, by taking the county’s emissions results derived from CARB’s EMFAC model (short for Emission FACTor) and then rounding

<sup>3</sup> See 62 FR 43780, 43781–43783 (August 15, 1997).

<sup>4</sup> See 69 FR 40004, 40038–40047 (July 1, 2004).

<sup>5</sup> See 73 FR 4420 (January 24, 2008).