investigation was suspended.” In this case, Commerce and representatives of the Mexican tomato producers/exporters accounting for substantially all imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico signed the 2019 Agreement, which suspended the underlying antidumping duty investigation, on September 19, 2019. Pursuant to the 2019 Agreement, the Mexican signatories agreed that the subject merchandise would be subject to minimum reference prices and that at least 85 percent of the dumping from the original investigation would be eliminated. The Mexican signatories also agreed to other conditions, including quarterly audits, near-the-border inspections by the U.S. Department of Agriculture on all Round Roma tomatoes and certain other types of tomatoes beginning on April 4, 2020, and limits to adjustments to the sales price due to certain changes in condition and quality after shipment.

After reviewing the information received to date from the respondent companies in their questionnaire and supplemental questionnaire responses, we preliminarily determine that the respondents have adhered to the terms of the 2019 Agreement, except for certain instances of inadvertent and/or inconsequential noncompliance, and that the 2019 Agreement is functioning as intended. Further, we preliminarily determine that the 2019 Agreement continues to meet the statutory requirements under sections 734(c) and (d) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. Commerce is addressing proprietary issues concerning each of the respondents in separate memorandum which we incorporate into the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.\[^{15}\]

**Verification**

As provided in section 782(i)(3)(a) of the Act, Commerce intends to verify the information relied upon in making its final results. Normally, Commerce verifies information using standard procedures, including an on-site examination of original accounting, financial, and sales documentation. While we consider the possibility of conducting an on-site verification for some of the information submitted by the respondents, we may also need to verify the information relied upon in making the final results through alternative means in lieu of an on-site verification. Commerce intends to notify parties of its verification procedures.

**Public Comment**

Interested parties will be notified of the timeline for the submission of case briefs and written comments at a later date. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than seven days after the deadline date for case briefs.

Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to sections 751(b)(3)(A) of the Act, unless extended.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

---

\[^{11}\] See 2019 Agreement, 84 FR at 49990, at Price Undertaking.

\[^{12}\] See 2019 Agreement, 84 FR at 49991, at Compliance Monitoring.

\[^{13}\] Id. at Inspection of Subject Merchandise. See also Memorandum, “Frequently Asked Questions Regarding Inspections,” dated March 17, 2020.

\[^{14}\] See 2019 Agreement, 84 FR 49996 at Appendix D.

\[^{15}\] See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 6 and footnote 47.

\[^{16}\] See Temporary Rule, 85 FR 17006; see also Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19: Extension of Effective Period, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

\[^{17}\] See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

---

\[^{1}\] See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, India Republic of Korea and the People’s Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order, 81 FR 48387 (July 25, 2016) (Order).

\[^{2}\] See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 86 FR 29239 (June 1, 2021).
19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as domestic producers of CORE. On July 1, 2021, Commerce received a complete substantive response for the review from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).4

On July 22, 2021, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.5 As a result, pursuant to 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(i)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the CVD order on CORE from Korea.

Scope of the Order
The products covered by the Order are CORE. For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.6

Analysis of Comments Received
A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, is provided in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html.

Final Results of Sunset Review
Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the Order would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the rates listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer/exporter</th>
<th>Subsidy rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd./ Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Steel Manufacturing Co. Ltd/Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd</td>
<td>*0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(de minimis)*

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties
We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: September 27, 2021.

Christian Marsh, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Issues Addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. History of the Order
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Rates That Are Likely To Prevail
3. Nature of the Subsidies
VII. Final Results of Review
VIII. Recommendation
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BILLING CODE 3510-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A-533-843]

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part; and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India, covering the period of review (POR), September 1, 2019, through August 31, 2020. We preliminarily find that Navneet Education Ltd. (Navneet) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable October 1, 2021.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 28, 2006, Commerce published the Order in the Federal Register.1 On October 30, 2020, pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the Order.2 On May 24, 2021, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results to September 30, 2021.3

Commerce initiated this administrative review covering the following seventeen companies: Cellpage Ventures Private Limited

