

and purchase of services to provide information.

At the end of the comment period, the comments and recommendations received will be analyzed to determine the extent to which the Board should modify the proposal.

Proposal Under OMB Delegated Authority To Extend for Three Years, Without Revision, the Following Information Collection

Report title: Recordkeeping Provisions Associated with the Guidance on Sound Incentive Compensation Policies.

Agency form number: FR 4027.

OMB control number: 7100-0327.

Frequency: As needed.

Respondents: U.S. bank holding companies, savings and loan holding companies, state member banks, Edge Act and agreement corporations, and the U.S. operations of foreign banks with a branch, agency, or commercial lending company subsidiary in the United States (collectively, banking organizations).

Estimated number of respondents: One-time implementation, large institutions: 1; one-time implementation, small institutions: 1; ongoing maintenance: 5,259.

Estimated average hours per response: One-time implementation, large institutions: 480; one-time implementation, small institutions: 80; ongoing maintenance: 40.

Estimated annual burden hours: One-time implementation, large institutions: 480; one-time implementation, small institutions: 80; ongoing maintenance: 210,360.

General description of report: The Guidance on Sound Incentive Compensation Policies (the Guidance) is an interagency publication promulgated by the Board, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) that is intended to assist banking organizations in designing and implementing incentive compensation arrangements that do not encourage imprudent risk-taking and that are consistent with the safety and soundness of the organization. The Guidance contains voluntary recordkeeping activities.

The Guidance is based on three key principles. These principles provide that incentive compensation arrangements at a banking organization should:

1. Provide employees incentives that appropriately balance risk and reward;
2. Be compatible with effective controls and risk-management; and
3. Be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and

effective oversight by the organization's board of directors.

The recordkeeping provisions of the Guidance are contained within principles 2 and 3.

Principle 2—Compatibility With Effective Controls and Risk Management

Pursuant to Principle 2 of the Guidance, a banking organization's risk-management processes and internal controls should reinforce and support the development and maintenance of balanced incentive compensation arrangements. Principle 2 states that banking organizations should create and maintain sufficient documentation to permit an audit of the organization's processes for establishing, modifying, and monitoring incentive compensation arrangements.

Additionally, global systemically important bank holding companies and banking organizations subject to Category II-IV enhanced prudential standards under Regulation YY and foreign banking organizations required to form an intermediate holding company under Regulation YY should maintain policies and procedures that (1) identify and describe the role(s) of the personnel, business units, and control units authorized to be involved in the design, implementation, and monitoring of incentive compensation arrangements, (2) identify the source of significant risk-related inputs into these processes and establish appropriate controls governing the development and approval of these inputs to help ensure their integrity, and (3) identify the individual(s) and control unit(s) whose approval is necessary for the establishment of new incentive compensation arrangements or modification of existing arrangements.

Principle 3—Strong Corporate Governance

Pursuant to Principle 3 of the Guidance, banking organizations should have strong and effective corporate governance to help ensure sound compensation practices. Principle 3 states that a banking organization's board of directors should approve and document any material exceptions or adjustments to the organization's incentive compensation arrangements established for senior executives.

Legal authorization and confidentiality: The recordkeeping provisions of the Guidance are authorized pursuant to the Board's examination and reporting authorities, located in sections 9, 11(a), 25, and 25A of the Federal Reserve Act, section 5 of the Bank Holding Company Act, section 10(b) of the Home Owners' Loan Act, and section 7(c) of the International Banking Act, and by section 39 of the

Federal Deposit Insurance Act, which authorizes the Board to prescribe compensation standards.

Because the recordkeeping provisions are contained within guidance, which is nonbinding, they are voluntary. There are no reporting forms associated with this information collection.

Because the incentive compensation records would be maintained at each banking organization, the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) would only be implicated if the Board obtained such records as part of the examination or supervision of a banking organization. In the event the records are obtained by the Board as part of an examination or supervision of a banking organization, this information may be considered confidential pursuant to exemption 8 of the FOIA, which protects information contained in "examination, operating, or condition reports" obtained in the bank supervisory process. In addition, the information may also constitute nonpublic commercial or financial information, which is both customarily and actually treated as private by the respondent, and thus may be kept confidential by the Board pursuant to exemption 4 of the FOIA.

Consultation outside the agency: The Board has consulted with the FDIC and OCC and confirmed that there will be no revisions to the guidance.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 26, 2021.

Michele Taylor Fennell,

Deputy Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2021-18834 Filed 8-31-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Head Start REACH: Strengthening Outreach, Recruitment, and Engagement Approaches With Families (New Collection)

AGENCY: Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, HHS.

ACTION: Request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Administration for Children and Families (ACF) within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is proposing to collect data on different approaches that Head Start programs use for the recruitment, selection, enrollment, and retention (RSER) of families facing adversities and the community organizations with

which it partners to support these activities. This study aims to present an internally valid description of RSER approaches used by six purposively selected programs, not to promote statistical generalization to different sites or service populations.

DATES: *Comments due within 30 days of publication.* OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description: The Head Start REACH:

Strengthening Outreach, Recruitment and Engagement Approaches with Families project is proposing to conduct qualitative case studies to examine the approaches used by Head Start programs to recruit, select, enroll, and retain families experiencing adversities and the implementation of these approaches, including supporting factors and barriers. Adversities is a broad term that refers to a wide range of circumstances or events that pose a threat to a child or caregiver’s physical or psychological well-being. The adversities that families experience are often intertwined with poverty, may co-occur, and are affected by systematic factors, such as structural racism. Common examples include (but are not limited to) families experiencing homelessness; involvement in child welfare, including foster care; and affected by substance use, mental health issues, and domestic violence.

We will collect information from six sites; each site will include (1) a Head Start program that has demonstrated success in the RSER of families

experiencing adversities and (2) up to four of its community partner organizations that serve families experiencing adversities.

We will collect information on how programs determine which adversities to focus on for their RSER efforts; RSER approaches programs use, focusing specifically on families experiencing adversities; RSER-related training and support that Head Start staff receive; partnerships that programs form with organizations in the community to support these activities; and supporting factors and barriers to participation of enrolled and non-enrolled families who face adversities.

Respondents: Head Start program directors, Head Start staff conducting eligibility, recruitment, selection, enrollment, attendance (ERSEA) activities, staff from community organizations with which Head Start programs partner for ERSEA activities, Head Start-eligible parents enrolled in Head Start, and those not enrolled in Head Start.

Annual Burden Estimates

Instrument	Number of respondents (total over request period)	Number of responses per respondent (total over request period)	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total/annual burden (in hours)
Program director recruitment call protocol (Instrument 1)	6	1	0.50	3.0
Program staff interview protocol: Program director (Instrument 2) ^a	6	1	1.0	6.0
Program staff interview protocol ERSEA staff (Instrument 2) ^a	24	1	1.5	36
Head Start program study activities and focus group coordination ^b	6	1	8.0	48
Head Start enrolled families focus group guide (Instrument 3)	60	1	1.5	90
Community partner recruitment call protocol (Instrument 4)	24	1	0.17	4.0
Community partner staff interview protocol (Instrument 5)	24	1	0.75	18
Community partner focus group coordination ^b	6	1	3.0	18
Families not enrolled in Head Start focus group guide (Instrument 6) ^c	60	1	1.5	90

^a There is one interview protocol for both the program director and the ERSEA staff and the interviewer will tailor it to the respondent(s).

^b There is no instrument, only a document of duties associated with this activity.

^c If needed, we will offer the option of a 45-minute one-on-one interview; however, as we do not expect to have to use the interview option often, the table reflects a 90-minute burden for all families not enrolled in Head Start.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 313.

Authority: Head Start Act Section 640 [42 U.S.C. 9835]

Mary B. Jones,

ACF/OPRE Certifying Officer.

[FR Doc. 2021-18917 Filed 8-31-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2021-N-0860]

Psychopharmacologic Drugs Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting; Establishment of a Public Docket; Request for Comments

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice; establishment of a public docket; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announces a forthcoming public advisory committee

meeting of the Psychopharmacologic Drugs Advisory Committee. The general function of the committee is to provide advice and recommendations to FDA on regulatory issues. The meeting will be open to the public. FDA is establishing a docket for public comment on this document.

DATES: The meeting will be held on November 4, 2021, from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. Eastern Time.

ADDRESSES: Please note that due to the impact of this COVID-19 pandemic, all meeting participants will be joining this advisory committee meeting via an online teleconferencing platform. Answers to commonly asked questions about FDA advisory committee meetings