

terms of clean technologies broadly, or in terms of specific technologies therein. To the extent commenters choose to respond to the specific questions asked, responses may be formatted as the commenter prefers.

Questions

Scope

1. Is there an established methodology for designating particular technologies as clean technologies or additional factors that the Government should consider for purposes of scoping this strategy?

2. What clean technologies offer the most significant immediate opportunities for U.S. exports of associated goods and services?

3. What clean technologies do not currently offer significant immediate opportunities for U.S. exports of associated goods and services but may offer such opportunities within the next five to ten years?

4. What types of services offer the most significant immediate or future opportunities for U.S. clean technologies exports? How do the needs of clean technologies services exporters differ from exporters of manufactured products?

Challenges

5. For sectors or technologies in which the United States currently has a competitive domestic industry, what are the main factors (*i.e.*, economic, technical, regulatory, etc.) that could pose a significant risk to the U.S. industry's competitive position?

6. For sectors or technologies in which the United States does not currently have a competitive domestic industry, what are the main factors (*i.e.*, economic, technical, regulatory, etc.) inhibiting U.S. industry competitiveness?

7. What issues related to intellectual property, standards, or measurement science pose a challenge to U.S. clean technologies export competitiveness?

8. When pursuing opportunities in foreign markets, what are the main risks or barriers (*i.e.*, economic, financial, regulatory, technical, trade policy, etc.) facing U.S. businesses seeking to export clean technologies goods and services, whether generally or in specific foreign markets?

Solutions

9. What are the most impactful existing tools or resources offered by the Government to reduce or remove challenges, risks, and barriers in order to help position U.S. clean technologies industries for competitiveness in the global market?

10. How can existing tools or resources offered by the Government to reduce or remove challenges, risks, and barriers be improved to increase their effectiveness or make them more accessible to U.S. clean technologies companies?

11. What are the most impactful new actions the Government could take domestically to reduce or remove challenges, risks, and barriers in order to help position U.S. clean technologies industries for competitiveness in the global market?

12. What are the most impactful new actions the Government could take through engagement with foreign countries to reduce or remove challenges, risks, and barriers in order to help position U.S. clean technologies industries for competitiveness in the global market?

13. Which foreign countries or regions present the greatest market opportunities for U.S. exports of clean technologies and/or should be prioritized for engagement by the Government?

14. What objectives should the Government set for a U.S. Clean Technologies Export Competitiveness Strategy in the first 6-months, 12-months, 2-years, and 5-years, and what metrics should the Government use to measure these objectives?

Trade Policy

15. How do U.S. trade policies impact the development and deployment of clean technologies in the United States and abroad?

Other

16. Are there additional relevant issues impacting U.S. clean technologies export competitiveness not addressed by these questions, and what are the most impactful actions the Government could take to address these issues?

Dated: August 25, 2021.

Man Cho,

Deputy Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.

[FR Doc. 2021-18637 Filed 8-27-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-863]

Forged Steel Fittings From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019-2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that sales of forged steel fittings from Taiwan were made at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), September 1, 2019, through August 31, 2020. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable August 30, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Ayache or Samuel Glickstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2623 or (202) 482-5307, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 24, 2018, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on forged steel fittings from Taiwan.¹ On October 30, 2020, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order*.² This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Both-Well Steel Fittings Co., Ltd (Bothwell). On April 22, 2021, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review by 86 days, until August 27, 2021, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).³ For a detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁴

¹ See *Forged Steel Fittings from Taiwan: Antidumping Duty Order*, 83 FR 48280 (September 24, 2018) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 68840 (October 30, 2020).

³ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated April 22, 2021.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Forged Steel Fittings from Taiwan; 2019-2020," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the scope of this *Order* are carbon and alloy forged steel fittings, whether unfinished (commonly known as blanks or rough forgings) or finished. Such fittings are made in a variety of shapes including, but not limited to, elbows, tees, crosses, laterals, couplings, reducers, caps, plugs, bushings, unions, and outlets. Forged steel fittings are covered regardless of end finish, whether threaded, socket-weld or other end connections. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under item numbers 7307.99.1000, 7307.99.3000, 7307.99.5045, and 7307.99.5060 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.⁵

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for Bothwell for the period September 1, 2019, through August 31, 2020:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Both-Well Steel Fittings Co., Ltd	5.57

⁵ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁶ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.⁷ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS and must be served on interested parties.⁸ Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.⁹

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹⁰ Hearing requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a date and time to be determined.¹¹ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).
⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 17006, 17007 (March 26, 2020).
⁸ See 19 CFR 351.303.
⁹ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).
¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

publication of this notice, unless otherwise extended.¹²

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), upon completion of the final results, Commerce will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer/customer, we will calculate a per-unit assessment rate by aggregating the antidumping duties due for all U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).¹³ To determine whether the duty assessment rates are *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculate importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the estimated entered value. Where either a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹⁴

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each individually examined respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we

¹² See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act; and 19 CFR 351.213(h).
¹³ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).
¹⁴ See 19 CFR 352.106(c)(2); see also *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹⁵

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Bothwell will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent, and therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 116.17 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁶ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results are being issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: August 24, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-18594 Filed 8-27-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Board of Overseers of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Board of Overseers of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (Board) will meet in open session on Thursday, December 9, 2021. The purpose of this meeting is to review and discuss the work of the private sector contractor, which assists the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in administering the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (Award), and information received from NIST and from the Chair of the Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award in order to make such suggestions for the improvement of the Award process as the Board deems necessary. Details on the agenda are noted in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Thursday, December 9, 2021, from 11:00 a.m. Eastern time until 4:00 p.m. Eastern time. The meeting will be open to the public.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held virtually using Microsoft Teams. Please note admittance instructions under the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Fangmeyer, Director, Baldrige Performance Excellence Program,

National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 1020, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-1020, telephone number (301) 975-2361, or by email at robert.fangmeyer@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 3711a(d)(2)(B) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. app.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. app., notice is hereby given that the Board will meet in open session on Thursday, December 9, 2020, from 11:00 a.m. Eastern time until 4:00 p.m. Eastern time. The Board is currently composed of eleven members selected for their preeminence in the field of organizational performance excellence and appointed by the Secretary of Commerce. The Board consists of a balanced representation from U.S. service, manufacturing, small business, nonprofit, education, and health care industries. The Board includes members familiar with the quality, performance improvement operations, and competitiveness issues of manufacturing companies, service companies, small businesses, nonprofits, health care providers, and educational institutions. The purpose of this meeting is to review and discuss the work of the private sector contractor, which assists the NIST Director in administering the Award, and information received from NIST and from the Chair of the Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award in order to make such suggestions for the improvement of the Award process as the Board deems necessary. The Board shall make an annual report on the results of Award activities to the Director of NIST, along with its recommendations for the improvement of the Award process. The agenda will include: Report from the Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award, Baldrige Program Business Plan Status Report, Baldrige Foundation Fundraising Update, Products and Services Update, and Recommendations for the NIST Director. The agenda may change to accommodate Board business. The final agenda will be posted on the NIST Baldrige Performance Excellence website at <http://www.nist.gov/baldrige/community/overseers.cfm>. The meeting will be open to the public.

Individuals and representatives of organizations who would like to offer comments and suggestions related to the Board's affairs are invited to request a place on the agenda. On December 9, 2021, approximately one-half hour will be reserved in the afternoon for public

¹⁵ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹⁶ See *Order*, 83 FR at 48281.