COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Notice of Public Meeting of the New York Advisory Committee

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the New York Advisory Committee (Committee) will hold meetings via WebEx on Friday, September 3, 2021; from 1:00–2:15 p.m. ET, and Friday, September 17, 2021; from 1:00–2:15 p.m. ET, for the purpose of debriefing testimony heard related to the Committee’s project on potential racial discrimination in eviction policies and enforcement in New York.

DATES: The meetings will be held on Friday, September 3, 2021; from 1:00 p.m.–2:15 p.m. ET and Friday, September 17, 2021; from 1:00 p.m.–2:15 p.m. ET Access details for both meetings:

- To join by web conference please click the link below; password is USCCR:
mtrachtenberg@usccr.gov
- To join by phone only, dial: 1–800–360–9505; Access Code: 199 963 9326#

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mallory Trachtenberg, DFO, at mtrachtenberg@usccr.gov or 202–809–9618.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Members of the public can listen to the discussion. This meeting is available to the public through the following toll-free call-in number. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. The conference operator will ask callers to identify themselves, the organizations they are affiliated with (if any), and an email address prior to placing callers into the conference call. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over landline connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number. To request additional accommodations, please email mtrachtenberg@usccr.gov at least 7 days prior to the meeting for which accommodations are requested.

Members of the public are also entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office within 30 days following the meeting. Written comments may be emailed to Mallory Trachtenberg at mtrachtenberg@usccr.gov in the Regional Programs Unit Office/Advisory Committee Management Unit. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Regional Programs Unit at 202–809–9618.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Regional Programs Unit Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Records of the meeting will be available at www.facadatase.gov under the Commission on Civil Rights, New York Advisory Committee. Persons interested in the work of this Committee are also directed to the Commission’s website, www.usccr.gov; persons may also contact the Regional Programs Unit office at the above email or phone number.

Agenda

I. Welcome and Roll Call
II. Announcements and Updates
III. Approval of Minutes
IV. Discussion: Committee’s Project on Eviction Policy and Enforcement in New York
V. Public Comment
VI. Review Next Steps
VII. Adjournment

Dated: August 20, 2021.

David Mussatt,
Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–588–874]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Nippon Steel Corporation (NSC) and Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Tokyo Steel), producers and exporters of hot-rolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from Japan, sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019. In addition, Commerce determines that Honda Trading Canada, Inc. (Honda), Panasonic Corporation (Panasonic), and Mitsui & Co., Ltd. (Mitsui) had no shipments during the POR.

DATES: Applicable August 26, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun Jack Zhao or Myrna Lobo, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1396 or (202) 482–2371, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 23, 2021, Commerce published the Preliminary Results of this review in the Federal Register.1 We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. Between March 25 and April 1, 2021, Commerce received timely filed briefs and rebuttal briefs from the petitioners2 and NSC.3 On March 25, 2021, Commerce received a hearing request from NSC.4 On July 2, 2021, NSC withdrew its hearing request.5 On April 14, 2021, we extended the deadline for the final results.6 The deadline for the final results of this review is August 20, 2021. These final results cover 26 producers and exporters of subject merchandise.7 Based on an analysis of the comments received, we did not make changes to the weighted-average dumping margins

1 See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019, 86 FR 10920 (February 23, 2021) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).
2 The petitioners consist of AK Steel Corporation; ArcelorMittal USA LLC; Nucor Corporation; SSAB International, LLC; Steel Dynamic, Inc.; and United States Steel Corporation.
7 See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 84 FR 67712 (December 11, 2019).
identified in Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review and analysis of the comments received from parties, we did not make changes to the margin calculations. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and Commerce’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to the Act to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or de minimis margins, and any margins determined entirely [on the basis of facts available].”

For these final results, we calculated weighted-average dumping margins that are not zero, de minimis, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available for NSC and Tokyo Steel. Accordingly, Commerce has assigned to the companies not individually examined a margin of 10.95 percent, which is the weighted-average (using the publicly ranged U.S. value) of NSC’s and Tokyo Steel’s calculated weighted-average dumping margins for those companies.

Final Results of Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period:

October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producers/exporters</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nippon Steel Corporation/Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd./Nippon Steel Trading Corporation</td>
<td>11.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Average Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hanwa Co., Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higuchi Manufacturing America, LLC</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higuchi Seisakusho, Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitachi Metals, Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFE Steel Corporation/JFE Shoji Trade Corporation</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFE Steel Corporation/Hitachi Metals, Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanematsu Corporation</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kobe Steel, Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal One Corporation</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyama Industry Co., Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakagawa Special Steel Inc.</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nippon Steel &amp; Sumikin Logistics Co., Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okaya &amp; Co., Ltd</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint-Gobain K.K</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shintosho Corporation</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumitomo Corporation</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzukaku Corporation</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota Tsusho Corporation</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagoya</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment

Consistent with its recent notice, Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the Federal Register. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will apply.

Commerce received a comment from the National Association of Imports-Related Businesses (NAIRB) that NSC and Tokyo Steel should be treated as a single entity for purposes of this administrative review. Commerce is treating companies in the order in which they made shipments during the POR.

Commerce has assigned to this class an average rate of 10.95 percent, the weighted-average of NSC’s and Tokyo Steel’s calculated weighted-average dumping margins for those companies.

Commerce found in a changed circumstances review that NSC, Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd./Nippon Steel Trading Corporation, and Nippon Steel & Sumikin Lo- gistics Co., Ltd. are affiliated companies that should be treated as a single entity and as the successor-in-interest to Nippon Steel & Sumikin Ruskian Corporation (NSSRC), respectively. See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 84 FR 46713 (September 5, 2019). We have continued to treat NSC, Nippon Nisshin, and NSSRC as a single entity for purposes of this administrative review.

Commerce found in a changed circumstances review that NSC, Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd., and Kawasaki Steel Corporation were treated as a single entity in the underlying investigation. See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value, 80 FR 37594 (June 17, 2015). Commerce has assigned to the companies not individually examined a margin of 10.95 percent, which is the weighted-average (using the publicly ranged U.S. value) of NSC’s and Kawasaki Steel’s calculated weighted-average dumping margins for those companies.

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period:

October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producers/exporters</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nippon Steel Corporation/Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd./Nippon Steel Trading Corporation</td>
<td>11.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer—(or customer-) specific ad valorem rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer). Where Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, Commerce will direct CBP to assess importer—(or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates. Where an importer—(or customer-) specific ad valorem or per-unit rate is greater than de minimis (i.e., 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation. Where an importer—(or customer-) specific ad valorem or per-unit rate is zero or de minimis, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the “Rates for Non-Examined Companies” section, above.

Consistent with Commerce’s assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by NSC, Tokyo Steel, or the non-examined companies for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the companies listed in these final results will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.58 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(u)(1) and 777T(f)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5) of Commerce’s regulations.


DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–552–802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 8, 2021, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam). For these final results, Commerce continues to find that Camimex Group Joint Stock Company is the successor-in-interest (SII) to Camau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation, in the context of the AD order on shrimp from Vietnam.

DATES: August 20, 2021.

Ryan Majerus,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Application of Partial Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
V. Final Determination of No Shipments
VI. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
VII. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Deduct Section 232 Duties From U.S. Price
Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available to NSC’s Home Market Sales Made to Certain Affiliated Customers
Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Apply Differential Pricing Methodology With Zeroing Negative Margins for Sales That Pass Commerce’s Differential Pricing Test
Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Include Certain Separately Invoiced U.S. Revenue Fields in Calculating the Net U.S. Price
Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Make Certain Adjustments to NSC’s Reported G&A Expenses

VIII. Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–552–802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 8, 2021, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam). For these final results, Commerce continues to find that Camimex Group Joint Stock Company is the successor-in-interest (SII) to Camau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation, in the context of the AD order on shrimp from Vietnam.

DATES: August 20, 2021.

Ryan Majerus,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Application of Partial Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
V. Final Determination of No Shipments
VI. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
VII. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Deduct Section 232 Duties From U.S. Price
Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available to NSC’s Home Market Sales Made to Certain Affiliated Customers
Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Apply Differential Pricing Methodology With Zeroing Negative Margins for Sales That Pass Commerce’s Differential Pricing Test
Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Include Certain Separately Invoiced U.S. Revenue Fields in Calculating the Net U.S. Price
Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Make Certain Adjustments to NSC’s Reported G&A Expenses

VIII. Recommendation
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