

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS**Notice of Public Meeting of the New York Advisory Committee**

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the New York Advisory Committee (Committee) will hold meetings via WebEx on Friday, September 3, 2021; from 1:00–2:15 p.m. ET, and Friday, September 17, 2021; from 1:00–2:15 p.m. ET, for the purpose of debriefing testimony heard related to the Committee's project on potential racial discrimination in eviction policies and enforcement in New York.

DATES: The meetings will be held on Friday, September 3, 2021; from 1:00 p.m.–2:15 p.m. ET and Friday, September 17, 2021; from 1:00 p.m.–2:15 p.m. ET. Access details for both meetings:

- To join by web conference please click the link below; password is USCCR: <https://bit.ly/3mcmZtw>.
- To join by phone only, dial: 1–800–360–9505; Access Code: 199 963 9326#.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mallory Trachtenberg, DFO, at mtrachtenberg@usccr.gov or 202–809–9618.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Members of the public can listen to the discussion. This meeting is available to the public through the following toll-free call-in number. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. The conference operator will ask callers to identify themselves, the organizations they are affiliated with (if any), and an email address prior to placing callers into the conference call. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number. To request additional accommodations, please email mtrachtenberg@usccr.gov at least 7 days prior to the meeting for which accommodations are requested.

Members of the public are also entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office within 30 days following the meeting. Written comments may be emailed to Mallory Trachtenberg at mtrachtenberg@usccr.gov in the Regional Programs Unit Office/Advisory Committee Management Unit. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Regional Programs Unit at 202–809–9618.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Regional Programs Unit Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Records of the meeting will be available at www.facadatase.gov under the Commission on Civil Rights, New York Advisory Committee. Persons interested in the work of this Committee are also directed to the Commission's website, www.usccr.gov; persons may also contact the Regional Programs Unit office at the above email or phone number.

Agenda

- I. Welcome and Roll Call
- II. Announcements and Updates
- III. Approval of Minutes
- IV. Discussion: Committee's Project on Eviction Policy and Enforcement in New York
- V. Public Comment
- VI. Review Next Steps
- VII. Adjournment

Dated: August 20, 2021.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2021–18353 Filed 8–25–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A–588–874]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Nippon Steel Corporation (NSC) and Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Tokyo Steel), producers and exporters of hot-rolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from Japan, sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019. In

addition, Commerce determines that Honda Trading Canada, Inc. (Honda), Panasonic Corporation (Panasonic), and Mitsui & CO., Ltd. (Mitsui) had no shipments during the POR.

DATES: Applicable August 26, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun Jack Zhao or Myrna Lobo, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1396 or (202) 482–2371, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On February 23, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review in the **Federal Register**.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Between March 25 and April 1, 2021, Commerce received timely filed briefs and rebuttal briefs from the petitioners² and NSC.³ On March 25, 2021, Commerce received a hearing request from NSC.⁴ On July 2, 2021, NSC withdrew its hearing request.⁵

On April 14, 2021, we extended the deadline for the final results.⁶ The deadline for the final results of this review is August 20, 2021.

These final results cover 26 producers and exporters of subject merchandise.⁷ Based on an analysis of the comments received, we did not make changes to the weighted-average dumping margins

¹ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*; 86 FR 10920 (February 23, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² The petitioners consist of AK Steel Corporation; ArcelorMittal USA LLC; Nucor Corporation; SSAB Enterprises, LLC; Steel Dynamic, Inc.; and United States Steel Corporation.

³ See Petitioners' Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Case Brief," dated March 25, 2021; see also NSC's Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: NSC's Case Brief," dated March 25, 2021; Petitioners' Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Petitioner's Rebuttal Brief," dated April 1, 2021; NSC's Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: NSC's Rebuttal Brief," dated April 1, 2021.

⁴ See NSC's Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: NSC's Hearing Request," dated March 25, 2021.

⁵ See NSC's Letter, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Withdrawal of NSC's Hearing Request," July 2, 2021.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019," dated April 14, 2021.

⁷ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 67712 (December 11, 2019).

determined for the respondents. The weighted-average dumping margins are listed in the “Final Results of Review” section, below. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁸

The merchandise covered by the Order is certain hot-rolled steel flat products. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the Preliminary Results, Commerce preliminarily determined that Honda, Panasonic, and Mitsui had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) subsequently confirmed that these three companies had no shipments.¹⁰ As no party has identified any record evidence which would call into question these preliminary findings with respect to these three companies, we continue to find they made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Accordingly, consistent with our practice, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate any existing entries of subject merchandise produced by Honda, Panasonic, and Mitsui, but exported by other parties without their own rate, at the all-others rate.¹¹

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted with this notice. The issues are

⁸ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia, Brazil, Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Australia, the Republic of Korea, and the Republic of Turkey and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 67962 (October 3, 2016) (Order).

⁹ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan; 2018–2019,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

¹⁰ See Memorandum, “Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan; No Shipment Inquiry for Honda Trading Canada, Inc. during the period 10/01/2018 through 09/30/2019,” dated February 19, 2021; “Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan; No Shipment Inquiries for Mitsui & Co., Ltd. and the Panasonic Corporation During the Period 10/01/2018 through 09/30/2019,” dated February 24, 2021.

¹¹ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

identified in Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review and analysis of the comments received from parties, we did not make changes to the margin calculations. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and Commerce’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

For these final results, we calculated weighted-average dumping margins that are not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available for NSC and Tokyo Steel. Accordingly, Commerce has assigned to the companies not individually examined a margin of 10.95 percent, which is the weighted-average (using the publicly ranged U.S. value) of NSC’s and Tokyo Steel’s calculated weighted-average dumping margins for these final results.

Final Results of Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period October 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019:

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Nippon Steel Corporation/ Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd./Nippon Steel Trading Corporation ¹²	11.70
Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd	6.80
Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies	
Hanwa Co., Ltd	10.95
Higuchi Manufacturing Amer- ica, LLC	10.95
Higuchi Seisakusho Co., Ltd Hitachi Metals, Ltd	10.95
JFE Steel Corporation/JFE Shoji Trade Corporation ¹³	10.95
JFE Shoji Trade America	10.95
Kanematsu Corporation	10.95
Kobe Steel, Ltd	10.95
Metal One Corporation	10.95
Miyama Industry Co., Ltd	10.95
Nakagawa Special Steel Inc Nippon Steel & Sumikin Lo- gistics Co., Ltd	10.95
Okaya & Co. Ltd	10.95
Saint-Gobain K.K	10.95
Shinsho Corporation	10.95
Sumitomo Corporation	10.95
Suzukaku Corporation	10.95
Toyota Tsusho Corporation Nagoya	10.95

Assessment

Consistent with its recent notice,¹⁴ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will

¹² Commerce found in a changed circumstances review that NSC, Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd. (Nippon Nisshin), and Nippon Steel Trading Corporation (NSTC) are affiliated companies that should be treated as a single entity and as the successor-in-interest to Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation (NSSMC), Nisshin Steel Co., Ltd. (Nisshin Steel), and Nippon Steel & Sumikin Bussan Corporation (NSSBC), respectively. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 84 FR 46713 (September 5, 2019). We have continued to treat NSC, Nippon Nisshin, and NSTC as a single entity for purposes of this administrative review.

¹³ We collapsed JFE Shoji Trade Corporation with JFE Steel Corporation in the underlying investigation. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 81 FR 15222 (March 22, 2016), and accompanying PDM at 8–9. We have continued to treat these companies as a single entity for purposes of this administrative review.

¹⁴ See *Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer—(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).¹⁵ Where Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, Commerce will direct CBP to assess importer—(or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.¹⁶ Where an importer—(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.¹⁷ Where an importer—(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹⁸

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the “Rates for Non-Examined Companies” section, above.

Consistent with Commerce’s assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by NSC, Tokyo Steel, or the non-examined companies for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁹

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided

for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the companies listed in these final results will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.58 percent,²⁰ the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5) of Commerce’s regulations.

²⁰ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 81 FR 53409 (August 12, 2016).

Dated: August 20, 2021.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Application of Partial Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
- V. Final Determination of No Shipments
- VI. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- VII. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Deduct Section 232 Duties From U.S. Price
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available to NSC’s Home Market Sales Made to Certain Affiliated Customers
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Apply Differential Pricing Methodology With Zeroing Negative Margins for Sales That Pass Commerce’s Differential Pricing Test
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Include Certain Separately Invoiced U.S. Revenue Fields in Calculating the Net U.S. Price
 - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Make Certain Adjustments to NSC’s Reported G&A Expenses
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–18414 Filed 8–25–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 8, 2021, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam). For these final results, Commerce continues to find that Camimex Group Joint Stock Company is the successor-in-interest (SII) to Camau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation, in the context of the AD order on shrimp from Vietnam.

DATES: Applicable August 26, 2021.

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁶ *Id.*

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹⁹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).