unlikely to pose a plant pest risk and, therefore, should not be regulated under APHIS’ regulations in 7 CFR part 340. According to our process 2 for soliciting public comment when considering petitions for determination of nonregulated status of organisms developed using genetic engineering, APHIS accepts written comments regarding a petition once APHIS deems the petition complete. On May 28, 2020, APHIS announced in the Federal Register 4 (85 FR 32004–32005, Docket No. APHIS–2020–0023) the availability of the BASF petition for public comment. APHIS solicited comments on the petition for 60 days ending July 27, 2020.

APHIS received nine comments during the comment period. They were from the agricultural and private sectors. Five comments generally supported BASF’s petition, while four expressed objections to crops developed or modified through genetic engineering.

After public comments are received on a completed petition, APHIS evaluates those comments and then provides a second opportunity for public involvement in our decision-making process. According to our public review process (see footnote 2), the second opportunity for public involvement follows one of two approaches, as described below.

If APHIS decides, based on its review of the petition and its evaluation and analysis of comments received during the 60-day public comment period on the petition, that the petition involves an organism that raises no substantive new issues, APHIS will follow Approach 1 for public involvement.

Under Approach 1, APHIS prepares and announces in the Federal Register the availability of APHIS’ preliminary regulatory determination along with its draft EA, preliminary finding of no significant impact (FONSI), and its draft plant pest risk assessment (PPRA) for a 30-day public review period. APHIS will evaluate any information received related to the petition and its supporting documents during the 30-day public review period. If APHIS determines that no substantive information has been received that would warrant APHIS altering its preliminary regulatory determination or FONSI, or substantially change the analysis of impacts in the EA, our preliminary regulatory determination will become final and effective upon notification of the public through an announcement on our website. No further Federal Register notice will be published announcing the final regulatory determination.

Under Approach 2, if APHIS decides, based on its review of the petition and its evaluation and analysis of comments received during the 60-day public comment period on the petition, that the petition involves an organism that raises substantive new issues, APHIS first solicits written comments from the public on a draft EA and draft PPRA for a 30-day comment period through the publication of a Federal Register notice. Then, after reviewing and evaluating the comments on the draft EA and draft PPRA and other information, APHIS will revise the draft PPRA as necessary. It will then prepare a final EA, and based on the final EA, a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) decision document (either a FONSI or a notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement).

For this petition, we will be following Approach 2. As part of our decision-making process regarding an organism’s regulatory status, APHIS prepared a PPRA to assess the plant pest risk of the organism, and an EA to evaluate potential impacts on the human environment. This will provide the Agency and the public with a review and analysis of any potential environmental impacts that may result if the petition request is approved.

APHIS’ draft PPRA compared the pest risk posed by soybean event GMB151 with that of the unmodified variety from which it was derived. The draft PPRA concluded that soybean event GMB151 is unlikely to pose an increased plant pest risk compared to the unmodified soybean.

The draft EA was prepared in accordance with (1) NEPA, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS’ NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

We are making available for a 30-day review period our draft EA and draft PPRA. These documents are available as indicated under ADDRESSES and FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT above. Copies of these documents may also be obtained from the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

After the 30-day review period closes, APHIS will review and evaluate any information received during the 30-day review period.


Done in Washington, DC, this 11th day of August 2021.

Michael Watson, Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 2021–17558 Filed 8–16–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Notice of Public Meetings of the Minnesota Advisory Committee

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the Minnesota Advisory Committee (Committee) will hold a meeting via the online platform WebEx on Tuesday, August 24, 2021 at 12:00 p.m. Central Time. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss a memorandum on civil rights concerns in the state.

DATES: The meeting will be held on:

- Tuesday, August 24, 2021, at 12:00 p.m. Central Time

Web link: https://civilrights.webex.com/civilrights/j.php?MTID= m16213078bd3f943a55c68fe 7491c75ad

Join by phone: 800–360–9505 USA Toll Free

Access code: 199 660 9075

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Barreras, Designated Federal Officer, at dbarreras@uscrr.gov or (202) 656–8937.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Members of the public may listen to this discussion through the above call-in number. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. Callers can expect to incur regular charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, according to their wireless plan. The Commission will not refund any incurred charges. An individual who is deaf, deafblind, and hard of hearing may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office within 30 days following the meeting. Written comments may be emailed to David Barreras at dbarreras@uscrr.gov.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Regional Programs Unit Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Records of the meeting will be available via www.facadatabase.gov under the Commission on Civil Rights, Minnesota Advisory Committee link. Persons interested in the work of this Committee are directed to the Commission’s website, http://www.uscrr.gov, or may contact the Regional Programs Unit at the above email address.

Agenda
I. Welcome & Roll Call
II. Chair’s Comments
III. Committee Discussion
IV. Public Comment
VI. Adjournment

Dated: August 11, 2021.

David Mussatt,
Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2021–17547 Filed 8–16–21; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–489–501]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable August 17, 2021.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon steel standard pipe and tube products (welded pipe and tube) from Turkey. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2019, through April 30, 2020. Commerce published the notice of initiation of this administrative review on July 10, 2020.1 The preliminary results are listed below in the section titled “Preliminary Results of Review.”

This review covers 20 companies. The sole mandatory respondent in this administrative review is Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Borusan Mannesmann) and Borusannstikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Istikbal) (collectively, Borusan).2 On March 25, 2021, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results by 120 days to July 30, 2021.3 For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.4 A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is circular welded carbon steel standard pipe and tube products. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Between June 3, and August 14, 2021, 14 companies timely submitted letters to Commerce certifying that they had no sales, shipments, or entries of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.5

With respect to Istikbal, one of the companies that certified no shipment during the POR, we continue to find Istikbal to be part of the single entity, Borusan, and we find no record evidence that warrants altering this treatment. Therefore, because we find that Borusan had shipments during this POR, we have not made a preliminary determination of no shipments with respect to Istikbal.

With respect to the remaining 13 companies that certified no shipment, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) did not have any information to contradict these claims of no shipment.
