

1. Akulurak LLC, Seattle, WA;
2. Alaskan Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
3. Alaskan Leader Seafoods LLC, Lynden, WA;
4. Alaskan Leader Vessel LLC, Lynden, WA;
5. Aleutian Longline, LLC, Seattle, WA;
6. Aleutian Spray Fisheries, Inc., Seattle, WA;
7. Beauty Bay Washington, LLC, Bothell, WA;
8. Bering Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
9. Bristol Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
10. Bristol Wave Seafoods, LLC, Seattle, WA;
11. Coastal Alaska Premier Seafoods, LLC, Anchorage, AK;
12. Coastal Villages Longline LLC, Anchorage, AK;
13. Deep Sea Fisheries, Inc., Everett, WA;
14. Gulf Mist, Inc., Everett, WA;
15. Gulf Prowler, LLC, Juneau, AK;
16. Kodiak Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
17. Northern Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
18. Romanzof Fishing Company, L.L.C., Seattle, WA;
19. Shelford's Boat, Ltd., Mill Creek, WA;
20. Siu Alaska Corporation, Anchorage, AK;
21. Starfish Reverse, LLC, Seattle, WA;
22. Tatoosh Seafoods, LLC, Kingston, WA.

Dated: August 6, 2021.

**Joseph Flynn,**

Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc. 2021-17146 Filed 8-10-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-909]

**Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Scope Ruling and Notice of Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 3, 2021, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Simpson*

*Strong-Tie Company v. United States*, Court No. 18-00062, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s remand redetermination pertaining to a scope ruling in which Commerce found Simpson Strong-Tie Company's (Simpson's) crimp drive anchors to be outside the scope of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain steel nails (nails) from the People's Republic of China (China). Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's scope ruling, and that Commerce is amending the scope ruling to find that crimp drive anchors are not covered by the order.

**DATES:** Applicable August 13, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kelsie Hohenberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2517.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On March 6, 2018, Commerce found Simpson's crimp drive anchors, which consist of a one-piece expansion anchor, to be within the scope of the AD order on nails from China.<sup>1</sup>

Simpson appealed Commerce's *Final Scope Ruling*. On June 12, 2019, and July 22, 2019, the CIT stayed the case pending a final and conclusive determination from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in a case involving a similar product. On August 28, 2020, the CAFC issued a final ruling that case.<sup>2</sup> In light of the CAFC's decision, Commerce requested that the CIT remand this matter for further consideration. On November 18, 2020, the CIT remanded the *Final Scope Ruling* to Commerce.<sup>3</sup>

In its final remand redetermination, issued in February 2021, Commerce found Simpson's crimp drive anchors to be outside the scope of the AD order on nails from China.<sup>4</sup> The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Final Scope Ruling on Simpson Strong-Tie Company's "Crimp Drive" Anchors," dated March 6, 2018 (*Final Scope Ruling*).

<sup>2</sup> See *OMG, Inc. v. United States*, 972 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2020).

<sup>3</sup> See *Simpson Strong-Tie Co. v. United States*, Court No. 18-00062, ECF No. 45 (CIT November 18, 2020).

<sup>4</sup> See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to *Simpson Strong-Tie Co. v. United States*, Court No. 18-00062, ECF No. 45 (CIT November 18, 2020), dated February 16, 2021.

<sup>5</sup> See *Simpson Strong-Tie Co. v. United States*, Slip Op. 21-96, Court No. 18-00062 (CIT 2021).

**Timken Notice**

In its decision in *Timken*,<sup>6</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,<sup>7</sup> the CAFC held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's August 3, 2021, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Scope Ruling*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

**Amended Final Scope Ruling**

In accordance with the CIT's August 3, 2021, final judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Scope Ruling* and finds that the scope of the AD order on nails from China does not cover the products addressed in the *Final Scope Ruling*.

**Liquidation of Suspended Entries**

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that, pending any appeals, Simpson's crimp drive anchors will not be subject to a cash deposit requirement. In the event that the CIT's final judgment is not appealed or is upheld on appeal, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate entries of Simpson's crimp drive anchors without regard to antidumping duties and to lift suspension of liquidation of such entries.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Act.

Dated: August 5, 2021.

**Christian Marsh,**

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021-17096 Filed 8-10-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

<sup>6</sup> See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

<sup>7</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).