II. Final Action

EPA is approving most elements of a submission from Ohio certifying that its current SIP is sufficient to meet the infrastructure requirements in CAA sections 110(a)(1) and (2) with respect to the 2015 ozone NAAQS. EPA’s final actions for the state’s satisfaction of infrastructure SIP requirements, by element of section 110(a)(2) are contained in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>2015 ozone NAAQS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

I. Background Information

On March 9, 2021, EPA proposed to approve elements of a SIP submission from Ohio regarding the infrastructure requirements of CAA section 110 for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The infrastructure requirements are designed to ensure that the structural components of each state’s air quality management program are adequate to meet the state’s responsibilities under the CAA. An explanation of the CAA requirements, and EPA’s reasons for proposing approval were provided in the notice of proposed rulemaking and will not be restated here.

The public comment period for this proposed rule ended on April 9, 2021. During the comment period, EPA received 3 comments that covered a variety of topics including: Support of the proposed action and questions regarding how EPA ensures that states are complying with the approved SIP; what other measures beyond the SIP states must follow; how EPA determines if emissions from one state impact air quality in another state; and how EPA informs the public of adverse air quality conditions. All of the comments received are included in the docket for this action.

We do not consider these comments to be germane or relevant to the specifics of this action and therefore not adverse to this action. The comments lack the required specificity to the proposed SIP revision and the relevant requirements of CAA section 110. Moreover, none of the comments address a specific regulation or provision in question, or recommend a different action on the SIP submission from what EPA proposed. Therefore, we are finalizing our action as proposed.
(C)1—Program for enforcement of control measures ................................. ................................. A
(C)2—Prevention of Significant Deterioration ................................................. ................................. A
(D)1—I Prong 1: Interstate transport—significant contribution ........................ NA
(D)2—II Prong 2: Interstate transport—interfere with maintenance ................. NA
(D)3—II Prong 3: Interstate transport—prevention of significant deterioration .... A
(D)4—II Prong 4: Interstate transport—protect visibility ................................. A
(D)5—Interstate and international pollution abatement ................................. A
(E)1—Adequate resources ........................................................................... A
(E)2—State board requirements ................................................................... A
(F)1—Monitoring/Testing Source Emissions .................................................. A
(F)2—Periodic Source Emissions Reports ...................................................... A
(F)3—Correlation and Public Availability of Source Emissions Reports and Data . A
(G)—Emergency power .............................................................................. A
(H)—Future SIP revisions ............................................................................ *
(I)—Nonattainment planning requirements of part D ..................................... A
(J)1—Consultation with government officials ................................................ A
(J)2—Public notification .............................................................................. A
(J)3—PSD .................................................................................................... A
(J)4—Visibility protection ............................................................................ *
(K)—Air quality modeling/data ...................................................................... A
(L)—Permitting fees .................................................................................... A
(M)—Consultation and participation by affected local entities ...................... A

In the above table, the key is as follows:
A—Approve.
NA—No Action/Separate Rulemaking.
*N—Not germane to infrastructure SIPs.

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
• Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
• Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 12, 2021. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Dated: July 30, 2021.

Cheryl Newton,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA amends title 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:
   Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

2. In § 52.1870, the table in paragraph (e) is amended under the heading “Infrastructure Requirements” by adding an entry for “Section 110(a)(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2015 ozone NAAQS” immediately after the entry for “Section 110(a)(2) infrastructure requirements for the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS” to read as follows:

§ 52.1870 Identification of plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Section 110(a)(2)(D) infra-
structure requirements for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. |
| Statewide ......................... |
| Approved CAA elements: 110(a)(2)(A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M). We are not taking action on (D)(i)(II), prongs one and two. |

ADDRESSES: The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2020–0113, is available at http://www.regulations.gov or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805.

Due to the public health emergency, the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC) and Reading Room is closed to visitors with limited exceptions. The staff continues to provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. For the latest status information on EPA/DC services and docket access, visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marietta Echeverria, Acting Director, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (703) 305–7090; email address: RDFRNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

• Crop production (NAICS code 111).
• Animal production (NAICS code 112).
• Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
• Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?