ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below is being forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comments. Comments are invited on whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

A Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following information collection was published on April 7, 2021.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 8, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Request for Waiver of Service Obligation, Request for Deferment of Services Obligation, Application for Review.

OMB Control Number: 2133–0510.

Type of Request: Renewal of a Previously Approved Information Collection.

Background: This information collection is essential for determining if a student or graduate of the United States Merchant Marine Academy (USMMA) or subsidized student or graduate of a State maritime academy has a waivable situation preventing them from fulfilling the requirements of a service obligation contract signed at the time of their enrollment in a Federal maritime training program. It also permits the Maritime Administration (MARAD) to determine if a graduate, who wishes to defer the service obligation to attend graduate school, is eligible to receive a deferral. Their service obligation is required by law.

Respondents: U.S. Merchant Marine Academy students and and graduates and subsidized students and graduates.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Total Estimated Number of Responses: 11.

Frequency of Collection: Annually.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 5.30.


By Order of the Acting Maritime Administrator;

T. Mitchell Hudson, Jr.,
Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 2021–16946 Filed 8–6–21; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2021–0036]

Denial of Motor Vehicle Defect Petition, DP21–001

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Denial of a petition for a defect investigation.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the reasons for the denial of a petition, DP21–001, submitted by Mr. Derek Colvin to NHTSA (the “agency”) by a letter dated November 20, 2020. The petition requests that the agency initiate a safety defect investigation into an alleged defect in the reversing light system experienced in certain Model Year (MY) 2013 Porsche 911 Carrera manual transmission vehicles (the “subject vehicles”). As the driver shifts the gear into reverse, the reversing light fails to illuminate. After conducting a technical review of: (1) The consumer complaint submitted by the petitioner; (2) consumer complaint information in NHTSA’s database; and (3) information provided by Porsche in response to our Information Request letter regarding reversing light failure to illuminate and complaints received by Porsche, NHTSA’s Office of Defect Investigations (ODI) has concluded that no further investigation of the issue raised by the petition is warranted and the agency, accordingly, has denied the petition.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Lemeola Kamany, Vehicle Defect Division A, Office of Defects Investigation, NHTSA 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: 202–366–6065. Email: lemeola.kamany@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Introduction

Interested persons may petition NHTSA requesting that the agency initiate an investigation to determine whether a motor vehicle or item of replacement equipment does not comply with an applicable motor vehicle motor vehicle safety standard or contains a defect that relates to motor vehicle safety. 49 U.S.C. 30162(a)(2); 49 CFR 552.1. Upon receipt of a properly filed petition, the agency conducts a technical review of the petition, material submitted with the petition and any additional information. 49 U.S.C. 30162(a)(2); 49 CFR 552.6. The technical review may consist solely of a review of information already in the possession of the agency or it may include the collection of information from the motor vehicle manufacturer and/or other sources. After conducting the technical review and considering appropriate factors, which may include, but are not limited to, the nature of the complaint, allocation of agency resources, agency priorities, the likelihood of uncovering sufficient evidence to establish the existence of a defect and the likelihood of success in any necessary enforcement litigation, the agency will grant or deny the petition. See 49 U.S.C. 30162(a)(2); 49 CFR 552.8.

2. Defect Petition Background Information

In a letter dated November 20, 2020, Mr. Derek Colvin (the petitioner) requested that NHTSA initiate a safety defect investigation into reversing light failure experienced by operators of model year (MY) 2013 Porsche 911 Carrera manual transmission vehicles as the driver engages the reverse gear. Mr. Colvin based his request on his own experience. NHTSA has based its decision on a review of the material cited by the petitioner, information submitted by Porsche in response to our Information Request letter and other pertinent information in NHTSA’s databases.

3. Summary of the Petition

The petitioner reported that his MY 2013 Porsche 911 Carrera vehicle equipped with the ZF-sourced seven-speed manual transmission experienced...
failure of the reverse lights to illuminate when shifting the vehicle into reverse. The petitioner described the fault as detachment of the actuator inside the manual transmission. This led to a failure of the actuator to make contact with the reversing light switch which is threaded into the transmission from the outside.

4. Office of Defects Investigation Analysis

The reversing lights provide a warning to other drivers and pedestrians when the vehicle is reversing. The reversing lights also provide some illumination for the driver when reversing at night. Failure of the reversing lights can cause an increased hazard to the vehicle, its occupants, surrounding vehicles and nearby pedestrians.

As of April 14, 2021, NHTSA has identified only one consumer complaint alleging a failure of the reversing lights in NHTSA’s database. This complaint was received from the petitioner. Neither NHTSA nor Porsche have received any allegations of crashes or injuries associated with the failure of the reversing lights to illuminate.

Porsche determined that the reversing lights on the MY 2012–2015 Porsche Carrera vehicles were becoming inoperative due to the failure of the transmission side actuation mechanism. Porsche determined the weld between the shift rod and the stopper plate was below manufacturing specifications in some vehicles due to production weld process variations. Porsche modified production weld specifications to improve the strength and consistency of the weld joint between the shift rod and stopper plate.

In January 2021, Porsche initiated a Service Action (228/20) on MY 2012–2015 Porsche Carrera vehicles with active notification of potentially affected customers to remedy the reversing light failure in the affected vehicles. Warranty coverage on the affected components has been extended to 15 years from the new vehicle delivery date with unlimited mileage. Porsche will replace the transmission in the affected vehicles with a new transmission with the modified weld specifications for the shift rod-stopper plate joint. NHTSA believes there is a high likelihood that many affected vehicles will be remedied with this action.

After thoroughly assessing the material submitted by the petitioner, information already in NHTSA’s possession, information submitted by Porsche in response to an Information Request letter and the potential risks to safety implicated by the petitioner’s allegation, as well as Service Action (228/20) initiated by Porsche offering extended warranty coverage, NHTSA does not believe that a formal investigation is warranted. After full consideration of appropriate factors, the petition is denied.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30162(d); delegations of authority at CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Joseph Kolly.
Acting, Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[DOCKET No. NHTSA–2019–0105]

Denial of Motor Vehicle Defect Petition, DP19–004

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Denial of petition for a defect investigation.

SUMMARY: On September 19, 2019, Mr. Roger Hogan (the petitioner) submitted a defect petition to NHTSA’s Office of Defects Investigation (ODI). The petition requested that the Agency investigate and recall certain Toyota Prius, Prius PHV, Camry Hybrid and Avalon Hybrid vehicles for a brake actuator solenoid valve wear condition that is currently covered under Toyota Customer Support Programs (CSPs) ZJB and ZKK (subject CSPs). NHTSA opened Defect Petition DP19–004 to evaluate the petitioner’s request. Subsequently the petitioner informed NHTSA that he was withdrawing the petition. Since the petitioner has withdrawn the petition, we are denying the petition as moot.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By letter dated September 19, 2019, Mr. Roger Hogan (the petitioner) submitted a petition requesting that the Agency investigate and recall certain Toyota Prius, Prius PHV, Camry Hybrid and Avalon Hybrid vehicles for a brake actuator solenoid valve wear condition that is currently covered under Toyota Customer Support Programs ZJB and ZKK (subject CSPs). Interested persons may petition NHTSA requesting that the agency initiate an investigation to determine whether a motor vehicle or item of replacement equipment does not comply with an applicable motor vehicle safety standard or contains a defect that relates to motor vehicle safety (49 U.S.C. 30162(a)(2); 49 CFR 552.1). Upon receipt of a properly filed petition, the Agency conducts a technical review of the petition, material submitted with the petition and any additional information (49 CFR 552.6). After conducting the technical review and considering appropriate factors, which may include, but are not limited to, the nature of the complaint, allocation of Agency resources, Agency priorities, the likelihood of uncovering sufficient evidence to establish the existence of a defect, and the likelihood of success in any necessary enforcement litigation, the Agency will grant or deny the petition. See 49 CFR 552.8.

The petition alleged that Toyota conducted safety recalls D0H and K0L (NHTSA recalls 13V–235 and 19V–544) to remedy safety defects in the same component covered by the subject CSPs and that Toyota should also recall the subject vehicles. In support, the petition identified 117 NHTSA complaints (subject complaints) allegedly related to the subject CSP condition, including 60 reported crashes.

On September 30, 2019, ODI opened Defect Petition DP19–004 to evaluate the petitioner’s request for an investigation. The petitioner subsequently notified the Agency that he was withdrawing his petition. Because the petitioner has withdrawn the petition, we are denying the petition as moot.

NHTSA is authorized to issue an order requiring notification and remedy of a defect if the Agency’s investigation shows a defect in the design, construction, or performance of a motor vehicle that presents an unreasonable risk to safety. 49 U.S.C. 30102(a)(9), 30118. Because the petitioner has withdrawn the petition, the petition is denied as moot. This action does not constitute a finding by NHTSA that a safety-related defect does not exist. The Agency notes that it is not required to receive a defect petition prior to opening a defect investigation and will take further action if warranted by future circumstances.

1 Because the petition is moot, ODI has not confirmed that the complaints identified in the petition relate to the CSP condition as alleged by the petitioner.