required regarding: whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments regarding this information collection received by September 7, 2021 will be considered. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Farm Service Agency

Title: Assignments of Payments and Joint Payment Authorizations; Request for Waiver.

OMB Control Number: 0560–0183.

Summary of Collection: The Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act (16 U.S.C. 590h(g)) authorizes producers to assign, in writing, Farm Service Agency (FSA) conservation program payments. The statute requires that any such assignment be signed and witnessed. The Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended, extends that authority to Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) programs, including rice, feed grains, cotton, and wheat. When the recipient of an FSA, NRCS, or CCC payment chooses to assign a payment to another party or have the payment made jointly with another party, the other party must be identified. All federal nontax payments must be made by EFT, unless a waiver applies which requires certain criteria to be granted. FSA will collect information using forms CCC–36, CCC–251, CCC–252 and FPAC–FM–12.

Need and Use of the Information: The information collected on the forms will be used by FSA and NRCS employees in order to record the payment or contract being assigned, the amount of the assignment, the date of the assignment, and the name and address of the assignee and the assignor. This is to enable FSA employee to pay the proper party when payments become due. FSA will also use the information to issue program payments jointly at the request of the producer and also terminate joint payments at the request of both the producer and joint payee.


Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Office

Federal Register

[FR Doc. 2021–16833 Filed 8–5–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS–2020–0030]

State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Determination of Nonregulated Status for Blight-Tolerant Darling 58 American Chestnut (Castanea Dentata) Developed Using Genetic Engineering

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Agriculture (USDA).

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: We are announcing to the public that the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service intends to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) evaluating the impacts that may result from the approval of a petition for nonregulated status for blight-tolerant Darling 58 American chestnut (Castanea dentata) from the State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry. The trees have been developed using genetic engineering to express an oxalate oxidase enzyme from wheat as a defense against the fungal pathogen Cryphonectria parasitica, making Darling 58 American chestnut tolerant to chestnut blight. Issues to be addressed in the EIS include the potential environmental impacts to managed natural and non-agricultural lands, the physical environment, biological resources, human health, socioeconomics, federally listed threatened or endangered species, and cultural or historic resources. We are requesting public comments to further delineate the scope of the alternatives and environmental and interrelated economic issues and impacts to be considered in the EIS.

DATES: APHIS will consider all comments received on or before September 7, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by either of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to www.regulations.gov. Enter APHIS–2020–0030 in the Search field. Select the Documents tab, then select the Comment button in the list of documents.

• Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery: Send your comment to Docket No. APHIS–2020–0030, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3A–03.8, 4700 River Road, Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238.

The petition and any comments we receive on this docket may be viewed at www.regulations.gov or in our reading room, which is located in room 1620 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 799–7039 before coming.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Cindy Eck, Biotechnology Regulatory Services, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 147, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238; (301) 851–3892, email: cynthia.a.eck@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

Under the authority of the plant pest provisions of the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 7701 et seq.), the regulations in 7 CFR part 340, “Movement of Organisms Modified or Produced Through Genetic Engineering,” regulate, among other things, the importation, interstate movement, or release into the environment of organisms modified or produced through genetic engineering that are plant pests or pose a plausible plant pest risk.

The petition for nonregulated status described in this notice is being evaluated under the version of the regulations effective at the time that it was received. The Animal and Plant
Health Inspection Service (APHIS) issued a final rule, published in the Federal Register on May 18, 2020 (85 FR 29790—29838, Docket No. APHIS—2018—0034). This rule, at 7 CFR part 340, however, the final rule is being implemented in phases. The new Regulatory Status Review (RSR) process, which replaces the determination of nonregulated status petition process, became effective on April 5, 2021 for corn, soybean, cotton, potato, tomato, and alfalfa. The RSR process is effective for all crops as of October 1, 2021. However, “[u]ntil RSR is available for a particular crop , ... APHIS will continue to receive petitions for determination of nonregulated status for the crop in accordance with the [legacy] regulations at 7 CFR 340.6.” (85 FR 29815.) This petition for a determination of nonregulated status is being evaluated in accordance with the regulations at 7 CFR 340.6 (2020) as it was received by APHIS on January 21, 2020.

APHIS received a petition from the State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry (ESF) (APHIS Petition Number 19–309–01p) seeking a determination of nonregulated status for blight-tolerant Darling 58 American chestnut (Castanea dentata). The petition states that Darling 58 American chestnut is unlikely to pose a plant pest risk and, therefore, should not be regulated under APHIS’ regulations in 7 CFR part 340.

According to our process 3 for soliciting public comment when considering petitions for determination of nonregulated status of regulated organisms, APHIS accepts written comments regarding a petition once APHIS deems it complete. On August 19, 2020, we announced in the Federal Register (85 FR 51008–51009, Docket No. APHIS–2020–0030) the availability of the blight-tolerant chestnut petition for public comment. 4 We solicited comments on the petition for 60 days to help us identify potential environmental and interrelated economic issues and impacts that APHIS should consider in evaluation of the petition. We received 4,320 comments on the petition from the academic sector, farmers, non-governmental organizations, nonprofit organizations, industry, Tribes, and unaffiliated individuals.

Comments in favor of the petition emphasized the positive environmental and socio-economic benefits of restoring American chestnut throughout its pre-blight range. Issues raised in the opposing comments included environmental impacts of the unconfined release of a forest tree developed using genetic engineering, impacts to native communities, human health and safety impacts of using a wheat gene, the need for long term studies, the potential for chestnut to be more susceptible to chestnut blight as well as other diseases, the potential for impacts to organic producers, impacts to trade, and general anti-biotech sentiments. APHIS evaluated all comments received on the petition. A full record of comments received is available online at www.regulations.gov (see footnote 4). As part of our evaluation of the petition and consideration of public comments, APHIS has determined that this proposed action has potential to significantly affect the quality of the human environment. 5 As such, APHIS is deciding to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) in order to conduct the level of detailed and rigorous environmental analysis required to make an informed decision about the proposed deregulation of Darling 58 American chestnut. The EIS is being prepared in accordance with: (1) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), (2) the Council on Environmental Quality’s (CEQ) NEPA-implementing regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA’s NEPA-implementing regulations (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS’ NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

Proposed Action and Alternative the EIS Will Consider

The EIS will analyze the preferred alternative, approval of ESF’s petition for a determination of nonregulated status for Darling 58 American chestnut, as well as the no action alternative, denial of the petition for nonregulated status. Both alternatives will receive APHIS’ full consideration. APHIS has developed a list of topics for consideration in the EIS based on public comments on the petition, prior environmental assessments (EAs)/EISs for plants developed using genetic engineering, public comments submitted for other EAs/EISs evaluating petitions for nonregulated status, scientific literature on biotechnology, and issues identified by APHIS specific to American chestnut and other Castanea species. The following topics were identified as relevant to the scope of analysis: Action Area (Historic, Present, and Potential Future Range of American Chestnut); Physical Environment (Soil Quality, Water Resources, Air Quality and Climate Change); Biological Resources (Animal Communities, Plant Communities, Gene Flow and Weediness, Microorganisms, and Biodiversity); Human Health Considerations; Animal Health and Welfare; and Socioeconomic Considerations (Domestic Economic Environment, International Trade). In addition, potential impacts on threatened and endangered species, as well as adherence of the Agency’s decision to Executive Orders, and environmental laws and regulations to which the action may be subject will also be examined.

Summary of Potential Impacts

APHIS anticipates the potential impacts of the proposed action could include impacts on the physical environment, biological resources, and socioeconomic impacts.

Anticipated Permits and Authorizations

Darling 58 American chestnut, if deregulated, could be cultivated to produce food or animal feed, subject to any Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) and/or U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) requirements under the Coordinated Framework. 6 For example, any human food or animal feed derived from Darling 58 American chestnut would be subject to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA; 21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) and FDA requirements. ESF may voluntarily consult with the FDA to ensure compliance with the FFDCA.

1. To view the final rule, go to www.regulations.gov and enter APHIS–2018–0034 in the Search field.
4. To view the notice, supporting documents, and the comments that we received, go to www.regulations.gov and enter APHIS–2020–0030 in the Search field.
5. Human environment means comprehensively the natural and physical environment and the relationship of present and future generations of Americans with that environment. Impacts/effects include ecological (such as effects on natural resources, and on the components, structures, and functioning of affected ecosystems), aesthetic, historic, cultural, economic (such as the effects on employment), social, or health effects (see 40 CFR 1508.4).
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Public Scoping Process

As previously discussed, APHIS seeks public comment on petitions deemed complete through notices published in the Federal Register. In accordance with our process, on August 19, 2020, APHIS solicited comments on the petition for 60 days and received 4,320 comments from the academic sector, farmers, non-governmental organizations, nonprofit organizations, industry, Tribes, and unaffiliated individuals.

APHIS is seeking additional public comment on this notice of intent to prepare an EIS to help identify potential alternatives, as well as relevant information, studies, and/or analyses that we should consider in evaluating the potential impacts of the proposed action on the quality of the human environment. Those who have already submitted comments on the ESF petition need not resubmit—we will consider these comments in development of the EIS. To promote informed NEPA analysis and decisionmaking, comments should be as specific as possible and explain why the issues raised are important for consideration in the EIS. Comments should include, where possible, references and data sources supporting the information provided in the comment. We encourage the submission of data, studies, or research to support your comments.

APHIS will accept written comments for a period of 30 days from the date of this notice. The petition is available for public review, and copies are available as indicated under ADDRESSES and FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT above.

Schedule for the Decision-Making Process

As part of the decision-making process regarding the petition, we are preparing a plant pest risk assessment (PPRA) and the EIS that is the subject of this notice. We plan to complete the PPRA within 6 months, and the EIS and record of decision (ROD) within 2 years of the date of this notice. This schedule is tentative and subject to extension.

Once we have reviewed the comments received in response to this notice, we will prepare and make available a draft EIS for a review and comment for a period of 45 days. A notice for public comment on the draft EIS will be provided in the Federal Register, and the draft EIS and associated documents will be made available on www.regulations.gov.

The commenting and review process on the draft EIS will be conducted in accordance with CEQ’s NEPA regulations. Comments will be invited from State, Tribal, and local governments and agencies, industry, environmental organizations, academia, and the public. APHIS will review all comments received on the draft EIS, provide responses to substantive comments, and incorporate relevant issues raised in the comments into development of a final EIS.

We will announce the availability of the final EIS in the Federal Register and file the final EIS together with Office of Federal Activities, consistent with EPA’s procedures and CEQ’s filing requirements. The EPA will publish a notice in the Federal Register announcing the final EIS. APHIS will issue a ROD on the final EIS and petition 30 days after the EPA notifies the public that the final EIS has been completed and submitted. If necessary, APHIS may extend these timeframes.


Done in Washington, DC, this 2nd day of August 2021.

Michael Watson,
Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 2021–16771 Filed 8–5–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

U.S. Codex Office

Codex Alimentarius Commission: Meeting of the Codex Committee on Fats and Oils

AGENCY: U.S. Codex Office, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Codex Office is sponsoring a public meeting on September 20, 2021. The objective of the public meeting is to provide information and receive public comments on agenda items and draft United States (U.S.) positions to be discussed at the 27th Session of the Codex Committee on Fats and Oils (CCFO) of the Codex Alimentarius Commission, which will convene virtually, October 18–26, 2021. The U.S. Manager for Codex Alimentarius and the Acting Deputy Under Secretary for Trade and Foreign Agricultural Affairs recognize the importance of providing interested parties the opportunity to obtain background information on the 27th Session of the CCFO and to address items on the agenda.

DATES: The public meeting is scheduled for September 20, 2021, from 2:00–4:00 p.m. EDT.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will take place via Video Teleconference only. Documents related to the 27th Session of the CCFO will be accessible via the internet at the following address: http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/meetings/detail/en/?meetings=CCFO&session=27. Dr. Paul South, U.S. Delegate to the 27th Session of the CCFO, invites U.S. interested parties to submit their comments electronically to the following email address: paul.south@fda.hhs.gov.

REGISTRATION: Attendees must register to attend the public meeting here: https://www.zoomgov.com/meeting/register/vJItdeCspjoIEdPA0lHBseYMMHn9UDDhdY. After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information about the 27th Session of the CCFO, contact U.S. Delegate, Dr. Paul South, paul.south@fda.hhs.gov, +1 (340) 402–1640.

For further information about the public meeting contact: U.S. Codex Office, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Room 4861, South Agriculture Building, Washington, DC 20250. Phone (202) 720–7760, Fax: (202) 720–3157, Email: uscodex@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Codex was established in 1963 by two United Nations organizations, the Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Health Organization. Through adoption of food standards, codes of practice, and other guidelines developed by its committees, and by promoting their adoption and implementation by governments, Codex seeks to protect the health of consumers and ensure fair practices in the food trade.

The Terms of Reference of the Codex Committee on Fats and Oils (CCFO) are: (a) To elaborate worldwide standards for fats and oils of animal, vegetable and marine origin including margarine and olive oil. The CCFO is hosted by Malaysia. The United States attends the CCFO as a member country of Codex.

Issues To Be Discussed at the Public Meeting

The following items on the Agenda for the 27th Session of the CCFO will be discussed during the public meeting:

• Adoption of the Agenda.