and past work or plans for providing such capabilities described in the proposal and the extent to which they would contribute to the fields of data science, AI, engineering, or best practices relevant to the services to be provided by NTIS and its JVPs as described in the General Scope section of this announcement.

(3) Organizational Qualifications and Resource Availability (0–35 points).

The likelihood that the professional accomplishments, data services and solutions, integration delivery experience, skills, certifications, and training of the personnel proposed to provide the technical capabilities and perform the work proposed in the proposal, including all individuals whose innovative technical capabilities are critical to the development or execution of joint venture projects in a substantive and measurable way as identified in the proposal, will contribute to the successful execution of projects; and the extent to which the proposer has access to the necessary equipment, tools, facilities, technologies, and overall support and resources to accomplish proposed objectives and work jointly with NTIS to accomplish project goals.

Evaluation and Selection Process

All proposals received before the end date set forth in the DATES section of this notice will be reviewed to determine whether they are submitted by a private-sector organization (eligible), contain all required technical, business and administrative information (complete), and are responsive to this notice. Proposals determined to be ineligible, incomplete, and/or non-responsive based on the initial screening will be eliminated from further review.

However, NTIS, in its sole discretion, may continue the review process for a proposal that is missing non-substantive information that can easily be rectified or cured.

All proposals that are determined to be eligible, complete, and responsive will proceed for full reviews in accordance with the review and selection process set forth below.

At least three (3) objective individuals, knowledgeable about the particular technical areas described in the proposal, will review the merits of each proposal based on the evaluation criteria. The reviewers may discuss the proposals with each other, but scores will be determined on an individual basis, not as a consensus. NTIS may solicit oral presentations from some or all proposers.

The Selecting Official, who is the NTIS Deputy Director or designee, will make final proposal selections, taking into consideration the results of the reviewers’ evaluations, relevance to the scope and objectives described in this notice, the distribution of proposals across technical areas, and the distribution of proposers among a diverse set of qualified organizations. A diverse set of qualified organizations would include large, medium, and small organizations that may be for-profit or non-profit and that have both unique and discrete data science capabilities and specialized expertise and experience in integrating such capabilities for holistic, complete solutions.

Notification of Results

Unsuccessful proposers will be notified in writing. Proposers whose proposals are selected will be notified and will be provided with a standard NTIS joint venture partnership agreement for execution. Each joint venture partnership agreement entered into between a selected proposer and NTIS will incorporate the selected proposer’s proposal by reference. NTIS will not be responsible for any costs incurred by any proposer prior to execution of a joint venture partnership agreement.

Dated: July 30, 2021.
Gregory Capella,
Deputy Director, National Technical Information Service.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

[Docket No. PTO-C–2021–0036]

Performance Review Board (PRB)


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In conformance with the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, the United States Patent and Trademark Office announces the appointment of persons to serve as members of its Performance Review Board.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The membership of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Performance Review Board is as follows:

Coke M. Stewart, Chair, Performing the Functions and Duties of the Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office
Frederick W. Steckler, Vice Chair, Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Andrew I. Faile, Acting Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office
David S. Gooder, Commissioner for Trademarks, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Dennis J. Hoffman, Chief Financial Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Henry J. Holcombe, Chief Information Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office
David L. Berdan, General Counsel, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Mary Crittharis, Chief Policy Officer and Director for International Affairs, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Gerard F. Rogers, Chief Administrative Trademark Judge, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Scott B. Boullick, Chief Administrative Patent Judge, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Bismark Myrick, Director of the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Cara Duckworth, Acting Chief Communications Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Alternates:
Richard Seidel, Deputy Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Greg Dodson, Deputy Commissioner for Trademark Administration, United States Patent and Trademark Office
Andrew Hirshfield, Commissioner for Patents, Performing the Functions and Duties of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

Publication of FY 2018 Service Contract Inventory

AGENCY: Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.