

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
Remifentanil	9739	II
Sufentanil	9740	II
Carfentanil	9743	II
Tapentadol	9780	II
Fentanyl	9801	II

The company plans to bulk manufacture the listed controlled substances for the internal use intermediates or for sale to its customers. In reference to drug codes 7360 (Marihuana), and 7370 (Tetrahydrocannabinols), the company plans to bulk manufacture these drugs as synthetic. No other activities for these drug codes are authorized for this registration.

William T. McDermott,
Assistant Administrator.
 [FR Doc. 2021-16499 Filed 8-2-21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On July 23, 2021, the United States lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Chains and Links, Inc. et al., Case No. 3:18-cv-50268* (N.D. Ill.). The proposed consent decree, if approved by the Court after public comment, will fully resolve claims of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) against the two remaining defendants named in the complaint, which seeks to recover response costs incurred by EPA in cleaning up a portion of the Bautsch Gray Mine Superfund site (“Site”) near Galena, Illinois. Under a prior consent decree, which was approved by the Court in May, EPA will recover \$1.292 million in response costs over an 18-month period. Under the proposed consent decree, the settling defendants—West Galena Development, Inc. (“WGD”) and the Estate of Lois Jean Wienen (“Estate”)—will reimburse the United States for \$1.25 million in response costs, bringing our total recovery in this action to \$2.542 million.

The United States brought this action in 2016 asserting claims under Sections 106, 107, and 113(g)(2) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9606,

9607(a), and 9613(g)(2). To resolve these claims, WGD and the Estate will not only reimburse the United States for response costs, but also undertake limited activities with respect to a portion of the Site that is jointly owned by WGD and one of the prior settling defendants. WGD and the Estate, for instance, must provide EPA and its contractors with access to the property and must cooperate with the prior-settling defendants in executing an environmental covenant that will give EPA enforcement rights relating to the property. If the property is sold in the future at a price that reflects the value of the property after it has been cleaned up in accordance with the EPA-selected remedy for the Site, EPA will receive 75% of the net proceeds from the sale. Finally, the proposed consent decree resolves a counterclaim asserted by WGD for breach of contract and relief under the Administrative Procedures Act.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Chains and Links, Inc. et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-10235. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this revised notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Acting Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will also provide a paper copy of the proposed consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library,

U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$17.25 (69 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the appendices and signature pages, the cost is \$8.

Patricia McKenna,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2021-16520 Filed 8-2-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meetings; Audit Committee Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 3:00 p.m., Wednesday, August 11, 2021.

PLACE: Via Conference Call.

STATUS: Parts of this meeting will be open to the public. The rest of the meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Audit Committee Meeting.

The General Counsel of the Corporation has certified that in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b (c)(2) and (4) permit closure of the following portion(s) of this meeting:

- Executive Session

Agenda

- I. Call to Order
- II. Executive Session with Chief Audit Executive
- III. Action Item Request to Cancel Internal Audit Project: Tipalti-Third Party Vendor Contract
- IV. Discussion Item Tracking Open Recommendations
 - a. Dependent on Other IT Projects
 - b. Monitoring Identity Access Management (IAM) Development
 - i. ITS Audit and Security Roadmap
- V. Discussion Item Internal Audit Status Reports
 - a. Internal Audit Reports Awaiting Managements Response
 - HPN Launchpad
 - Application and Systems Change Management

- Promotions and Compensation
 - Project Reinvest Wind-Down
 - Grant Appropriations Disbursement
 - b. Internal Audit Performance Scorecard
 - c. FY21 Plan Projects' Activity Summary as of July 13, 2021
 - d. Implementation of Internal Audit Recommendations
- VI. Adjournment

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Lakeyia Thompson, Special Assistant,
(202) 524-9940; Lthompson@nw.org.

Lakeyia Thompson,
Special Assistant.

[FR Doc. 2021-16645 Filed 7-30-21; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7570-02-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-92518; File No. SR-CBOE-2021-042]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Enhance and Clarify its Price Adjust Process for Certain Market-Maker Interest, Specifically Book Only Orders and Bulk Messages Submitted Through Bulk Ports and Modify the Bulk Message Fat Finger Check

July 28, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 16, 2021, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) proposes to enhance and clarify its Price Adjust process and modify the bulk message fat finger

check. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to enhance its Price Adjust (as defined below) process for certain Market-Maker interest—specifically Book Only⁵ orders and bulk messages⁶ submitted through bulk ports⁷—and clarify other parts of that process, as well as modify the bulk message fat finger check.

Rule 5.32(b) describes the Price Adjust process, which applies to an order by default or not designated as Cancel Back.⁸ The System adjusts the

⁵ Rule 5.6(c) defines a “Book Only” order as an order the System ranks and executes pursuant to Rule 5.32, subjects to the Price Adjust process pursuant to Rule 5.32, or cancels, as applicable (in accordance with User instructions), without routing away to another exchange. Users may designate bulk messages as Book Only as set forth in Rule 5.5(c).

⁶ The term “bulk message” means a single electronic message a User submits with an M Capacity (for the account of a Market-Maker) to the Exchange in which the User may enter, modify, or cancel up to an Exchange-specified number of bids and offers. A User may submit a bulk message through a bulk port as set forth in Rule 5.6(c)(3). The System handles a bulk message bid or offer in the same manner as it handles an order or quote unless the Rules specify otherwise. See Rule 1.1.

⁷ A “bulk port” is a dedicated logical port that provides Users with the ability to submit bulk messages, single orders, and auction responses, each subject to certain restrictions. See Rule 5.5(c)(3).

⁸ Rule 5.6(c) defines a “Cancel Back” order as an order (including a bulk message) a User designates to not be subject to the Price Adjust process pursuant to Rule 5.32 that the System cancels or

price (“Price Adjust”) of an order designated as Price Adjust (or an order not designated as Cancel Back) as follows:

(A) If a buy (sell) non-all-or-none (“AON”) order at the time of entry, would lock or cross:

(i) A Protected Quotation of another options exchange or the Exchange, the System ranks and displays the order at one minimum price variation below (above) the current national best offer (“NBO”) (national best bid (“NBB”)); or

(ii) the offer (bid) of a sell (buy) AON order resting on the Book at or better than the Exchange’s best offer (bid), the System ranks the resting AON order one minimum price variation above (below) the bid (offer) of the non-AON order.

(B) Incoming AON Orders. If a buy (sell) AON order, at the time of entry, would:

(i) Cross a Protected Offer (Bid) of another options exchange or a sell (buy) AON order resting on the Book at or better than the Exchange’s best offer (bid), the System ranks the incoming AON order at a price equal to the Protected Offer (Bid) or the offer (bid) of the resting AON order, respectively; or

(ii) lock or cross a Protected Offer (Bid) of the Exchange, the System ranks the incoming AON order at a price one minimum price variation below (above) the Protected Offer (Bid).

This Price Adjust process applies to Book Only orders and bulk messages submitted that are designated as Price Adjust (and not designated as Cancel Back). Separately, a Book Only order or bulk message bid or offer (or unexecuted portion) is rejected if submitted by a Market-Maker with an appointment in the class through a bulk port if it would execute against a resting offer or bid, respectively with a capacity of M. Therefore, if a Book Only bulk message bid of an appointed Market-Maker does not execute upon entry and would rest at the same price as an offer not represented by a capacity of M, that bid price would be adjusted and rest on the book at one minimum price variation below the offer. However, if the offer was represented by a capacity of M, the System would reject the bid since it may not execute against that resting offer.

The proposed rule change amends the Price Adjust process so that an appointed Market-Maker’s Book Only bids and offers submitted through a bulk port may have the opportunity to rest on the book if they are submitted at the same price as the opposite side of the market when represented by Market-Maker interest. Specifically, the proposed rule change adds

rejects (immediately at the time the System receives the order or upon return to the System after being routed away) if displaying the order on the Book would create a violation of Rule 5.67, or if the order cannot otherwise be executed or displayed in the Book at its limit price.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).