consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the company under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters is 2.40 percent. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: July 26, 2021.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

**Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments for SMT/SSFC
V. Comparisons to Normal Value
VI. Date of Sale
VII. Export Price
VIII. Normal Value
IX. Currency Conversion
X. Recommendation
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Department contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia, A–602–809 (1st Review)</td>
<td>Jacky Arrowsmith; (202) 482–5255.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Brazil, A–351–845 (1st Review)</td>
<td>Jacky Arrowsmith; (202) 482–5255.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan, A–568–874 (1st Review)</td>
<td>Jacky Arrowsmith; (202) 482–5255.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from South Korea, A–580–883 (1st Review)</td>
<td>Jacky Arrowsmith; (202) 482–5255.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Turkey, A–489–826 (1st Review)</td>
<td>Jacky Arrowsmith; (202) 482–5255.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Brazil, C–351–846 (1st Review)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from South Korea, C–580–884 (1st Review)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspended Investigations</th>
<th>Department contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Trade Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Review**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**Background**

Every five years, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct reviews to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

**Upcoming Sunset Reviews for September 2021**

Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in September 2021 and will appear in that month’s *Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews* (Sunset Review).

Commerce’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Review are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The *Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review* provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), Commerce will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact Commerce in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if Commerce receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation. Note that Commerce has modified certain of its requirements for serving documents.

---

57 See Notice of Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from Taiwan, 67 FR 44174 (July 1, 2002).
containing business proprietary information, until further notice. This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.


James Maeder,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–900]

Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that certain producers and/or exporters made sales of diamond sawblades and parts thereof (diamond sawblades) at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) November 1, 2019, through October 31, 2020. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 4, 2009, we published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from the People’s Republic of China (China). On November 3, 2020, we published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the Order. On January 6, 2021, based on timely requests for an administrative review, Commerce initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades. The administrative review covers 53 companies, which is inclusive of the two mandatory respondents, Jiansu Fengtai Single Entity (Jiansu Fengtai) and Zhejiang Wanli Tool Group Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang Wanli). The products covered by this Order are diamond sawblades. A full description of the scope of the Order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Six companies that received a separate rate in previous segments of the proceeding and are subject to this review reported that they did not have any exports of subject merchandise during the POR. To date, we have found no evidence calling into question the no-shipment claims made by four of these companies; therefore, we preliminarily find that these four companies had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. For two of the six companies, because CBP data indicated entries during the POR, we requested entry documentation from CBP. Based on information on the record, we preliminarily find that Husqvarna (Hebei) Co., Ltd. (Husqvarna) had entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Therefore, because it did not file a separate rate application or separate rate certification (SRC), we are preliminarily considering Husqvarna to be part of the China-wide entity. We additionally find, based on information on the record, that Weihai Xianguang Mechanical Industrial Co., Ltd. (Weihai Xianguang) did not have entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Therefore, we preliminarily find that Weihai Xianguang had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. For additional information regarding these preliminary determinations, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Separate Rates

Commerce preliminarily determines that one respondent is eligible to receive a separate rate in this review.

Separate Rates for Eligible Non-Selected Respondents

Consistent with our practice, because we preliminarily denied the separate rate eligibility for the two respondents selected for individual examination, Jiansu Fengtai and Zhejiang Wanli, and treated them as part of the China-wide entity, we preliminarily applied to the non-selected respondent the separate rate assigned to eligible respondents in the last completed administrative review, which is 0.00 percent.

China-Wide Entity

Under Commerce’s policy regarding the conditional review of the China-wide entity, the China-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity.

Laser Diamond Tools Co., Ltd. (Laser), and Zhejiang Wanli Tool Group Co., Ltd. (Wuhan), each of which had no entries of subject merchandise during the POR, are part of the China-wide entity.
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2 See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 65 FR 69586 (November 3, 2020).