investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the cash
deposit rate established for the most
completely recent segment of this
proceeding for the producer of the
subject merchandise; and (4) the cash
deposit rate for all other producers or
exporters will continue to be 4.91
percent, the all-others rate established
in the LTFV investigation. 11 These
deposit requirements, when imposed,
shall remain in effect until further
notice.

Notification to Importers
This notice serves as a final reminder
to importers of their responsibility
under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a
certificate regarding the reimbursement of
antidumping duties prior to
liquidation of the relevant entries
during this review period. Failure to
comply with this requirement could
result in Commerce’s presumption that
reimbursement of antidumping duties
occurred and the subsequent assessment
of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order
This notice also serves as a reminder to
parties subject to administrative
protective order (APO) of their
responsibility concerning the
disposition of proprietary information
disclosed under APO in accordance with
19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business
proprietary information in this segment of
the proceeding. Timely written
notification of return/destruction of
APO materials or conversion to judicial
protection is hereby requested.
Failure to comply with the regulations
and the terms of an APO is a
sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties
We are issuing and publishing this
notice in accordance with sections
751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19
CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 22, 2021.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement
and Compliance.

Appendix I
List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and
Decision Memorandum
I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Margin Calculations
V. Discussion of the Issues

General Issues
Comment 1: Deduction of 232 Duties

Issues Related to Maquilacero
Comment 2: Alleged Error in Calculating
Quarterly Cost of Hot-rolled Coil (HRC)
Comment 3: Adjustment to Costs for Non-
Prime Products
Comment 4: Adjustment to Maquilacero’s
Scrap Offset

Issues Related to Prolamsa
Comment 5: Home Market Level of Trade
(LOT) and Constructed Export Price
(CEP) Offset
Comment 6: Overflow Sales Outside the
Ordinary Course of Trade
Comment 7: Errors in the Application of
U.S. Freight Revenue
Comment 8: Claimed Inventory
Adjustment to Raw Material Costs
Comment 9: Error in Standard Cost
Adjustment
Comment 10: Change in Average Useful
Life (AUL) of Certain Assets
Comment 11: Calculation of General and
Administrative (G&A) Expense Ratio
Comment 12: Adjustment to Prolamsa’s
Scrap Offset

VI. Recommendation

Appendix II
Review-Specific Rate Applicable to
Companies Not Selected for Individual
Review: 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter or producer</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arco Metal S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forza Steel S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrias Monterrey, S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfiles y Herrajes LM S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYTCO S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragiomontana de Perfiles y Tubos S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ternium S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberia Nacional, S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberias Procarasa S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[CF–533–825]
Polyethylene Terephthalate Film,
Sheet, and Strip From India:
Preliminary Results of Countervailing
Duty Administrative Review,
Rescission in Part, and Intent To
Rescind in Part; 2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce
(Commerce) preliminarily determines
that countervailable subsidies are being
provided to SRF Limited/SEF Limited
of India (SRF), a producer and exporter
of polyethylene terephthalate film,
sheet, and strip (PET film) from India.
The period of review is January 1, 2019,
through December 31, 2019. Interested
parties are invited to comment on these
preliminary results.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Nicholas Czajkowski or Konrad
Ptaszynski, AD/CVD Operations, Office
I, Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401
Constitution Avenue NW, Washington,
DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1395 or
(202) 482–6187, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
On July 1, 2002, Commerce published
in the Federal Register the
countervailing duty (CVD) order on PET
film from India. 1 On September 3, 2020,
Commerce published a notice of
initiation of an administrative review of
the Order. 2 On February 25, 2021,
Commerce extended the deadline for the
preliminary results of this review to no
later than July 30, 2021. 3 For a complete
description of the events that followed
the initiation of this review, see the
Preliminary Decision Memorandum. 4

See Countervailing Duty Order: Polyethylene
Terephthalate Film Sheet, and Strip (PET Film)
from India, 67 FR 44179 (July 1, 2002) (Order).

See Initiation of Antidumping and
Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 85 FR
54983 (September 3, 2020).

See Memorandum, “Polyethylene Terephthalate
Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Extension of
Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing
Duty Administrative Review; 2019,” dated February
25, 2021.

See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for
the Preliminary Results, Partial Rescission and
Intent to Rescind in Part of the Countervailing Duty
Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate
Film, Sheet, and Strip from India; 2019,” dated
currently with, and hereby adopted by, this
notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

11 See Hourly Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon
Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea,
Mexico, and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping
Duty Orders, 81 FR 62865, 62866 (September 13,
2016).

12 For these final results, we have calculated
weighted-average dumping margins for Maquilacero
and Prolamsa that are zero, and we have not
calculated any margins which are not zero, de
minimis, or determined entirely on the basis of facts
available. Accordingly, we have assigned to the
companies not individually examined a margin of
zero percent. See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.
Scope of the Order
The products covered by this Order are PET film from India. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.5

Recission of Administrative Review, in Part
Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. Commerce received timely-filed withdrawal requests from to MTZ Polysters Ltd. (MTZ), Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex), and Uflex Ltd. (Uflex), pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Because the withdrawal requests were timely filed, and no other party requested a review of these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is rescinding this review of the Order with respect to MTZ, Polyplex, Uflex.

Intent To Rescind Administrative Review, in Part
It is Commerce’s practice to rescind an administrative review of a CVD order, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended.6

Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the CVD assessment rate calculated for the review period.7 Therefore, for an administrative review of a company to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the calculated CVD assessment rate calculated for the review period.8

According to the CBP import data, except for the mandatory respondent and its cross-owned companies, the companies subject to this review did not have reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended. Accordingly, in the absence of reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR, we intend to rescind this administrative review with respect to one company, Vamet India Ltd., in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Methodology
Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable, we preliminarily find that there is a subsidy, i.e., a financial contribution by an “authority” that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.9 For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included at the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/.

Preliminary Rate for Non-Selected Companies Under Review
There are three companies for which a review was requested and not rescinded, and which were not selected as mandatory respondents. The statute and Commerce’s regulations do not directly address the establishment of rates to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination where Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(e)(2) of the Act. However, Commerce normally determines the rates for non-selected companies in reviews in a manner that is consistent with section 705(c)(5) of the Act, which provides the basis for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation.

Section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act instructs Commerce, as a general rule, to calculate an all-others rate equal to the weighted average of the countervailable subsidy rates established for exporters and/or producers individually examined, excluding any rates that are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. In this review, none of the rates for respondents were zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. For the companies for which a review was requested that were not selected as mandatory company respondents, and for which Commerce did not receive a timely request for withdrawal of review, Commerce based the subsidy rate on the rate calculated for the sole mandatory respondent.

Preliminary Results of Review
In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we preliminarily determine the following net countervailable subsidy rates for the POR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/exporter</th>
<th>Subsidy rate (percent ad valorem)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRF Limited</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ester Industries Ltd.</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garware Polyester Ltd.</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jindal Polyester Ltd.</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure and Public Comment
We will disclose to parties in this review the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of publication of these preliminary results.12 Interested parties may submit written comments (case briefs) on the preliminary results no later than 30 days from the date of publication of this Federal Register notice, and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within seven days after the time limit for filing case briefs.13 Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2), rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.14 All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address,


6 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2).

7 See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

8 See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5)(A) of the Act regarding specificity.

9 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i); 351.309(d)(1); and 19 CFR 351.301 (for general filing requirements).

10 SRF Limited is also known as SRF Limited of India.

11 This rate is based on the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review, excluding rates that are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

12 See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

13 See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

14 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).
and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues addressed at the hearing will be limited to those raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a date and time to be determined.\(^{15}\)

Parties are reminded that briefs and hearing requests are to be filed electronically using ACCESS and that electronically filed documents must be received successfully in their entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.\(^{16}\)

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), unless this deadline is extended.

### Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we preliminarily assigned subsidy rates in the amounts shown above for the producers/exporters shown above. Upon completion of the administrative review, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce shall determine, CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(ii). For the companies remaining in the review, Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the Federal Register.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce intends, upon publication of the final results, to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown for each of the respondents listed above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. For all non-reviewed firms, Commerce will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit instructions, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4). Dated: July 27, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary  
II. Background  
III. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review  
IV. Non-Selected Rate  
V. Intent to Rescind Administrative Review, in Part  
VI. Scope of the Order  
VII. Subsidies Valuation Information  
VIII. Analysis of Programs  
IX. Recommendation  
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### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648–XB287]

#### Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Pacific Fishery Management Council’s (Pacific Council) Groundfish Subcommittee of the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) will hold an online meeting to review new groundfish stock assessments.

**DATES:** The online meeting will be held Tuesday, August 17, 2021, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Pacific Daylight Time.

**ADDRESSES:** This meeting will be held online. Specific meeting information, including directions on how to join the meeting and system requirements will be provided in the meeting announcement on the Pacific Council’s website (www.pcouncil.org). You may send an email to Mr. Kris Kleinschmidt (kris.kleinschmidt@noaa.gov) or contact him at (503) 820–2412 for technical assistance.

**Council address:** Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, OR 97220–1384.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John DeVore, Staff Officer, Pacific Council; telephone: (503) 820–2413.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The SSC’s Groundfish Subcommittee will review further analyses for new assessments of copper rockfish in California south of Pt. Conception, copper rockfish in California north of Pt. Conception, quillback rockfish in California, squarespot rockfish in California, and spiny dogfish as requested by the Pacific Council at their June 2021 meeting. The Groundfish Subcommittee will also review new assessments and stock assessment review reports for lingcod, and vermillion and sunset rockfishes, as well as updated catch-only projections for canary rockfish, petrale sole, darkblotted rockfish, and arrowtooth flounder. Further, the Groundfish Subcommittee will review a catch report for yelloweye rockfish to determine the adequacy of rebuilding progress. The Groundfish Subcommittee will prepare their recommendations for SSC and Pacific Council consideration at their online meetings in September. Assessment recommendations may include endorsing these new assessments for management use or requesting further analyses to be reviewed at the late September review panel (this process is outlined in the Pacific Council’s Terms of Reference for the Groundfish and Coastal Pelagic Species Stock Assessment Review Process for 2021–22).

Although non-emergency issues not contained in the meeting agenda may be discussed, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this document and any issues arising after publication of this document that require emergency action under section 16 of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

\(^{15}\)See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

\(^{16}\)Id.