media; and conducting ID media accountability audits.

TSA has determined that Amazon Air possesses the latest, sophisticated access control and monitoring systems that enhance security by significantly restricting access to cargo and aircraft. Amazon Air is in the process of installing these systems at access points at these locations. As a subsidiary of a profitable, private sector leader in technology, Amazon Air benefits from ample resources to purchase advanced equipment as needed, without regard to local government budget restrictions that many airports face. This factor provides a level of assurance that the security capability will remain consistent and substantial. Amazon Air’s independent economic stability also provides a level of assurance that it will be able to quickly obtain any necessary expertise it may need to carry out all of the EAA functions going forward.

III. Authority and Determination

TSA may grant an exemption from a regulation if TSA determines that the exemption is in the public interest.13 TSA finds this exemption to be in the public interest for several reasons. First, TSA has evaluated Amazon Air’s security apparatus with respect to access control and monitoring, vetting and ID media issuance, and cargo management and movement, and determined it to be modern, strong, and resilient. Second, Amazon Air’s significant personnel expansion at these locations may strain the resources of airport operator and aircraft operator badging offices, adversely affecting other airport vendors, and limiting new hire capability. Amazon Air’s ability under an EAA to initiate the employee vetting functions that the airport authorities would otherwise be required to conduct will more efficiently manage volume as needed. This factor should reap economic benefits for the surrounding areas in terms of employment, and to other airport vendors who will not be adversely affected by a sudden increase in airport ID media issuance. Moreover, extending the authorities under an EAA to Amazon Air at these locations is consistent with Executive Order 13725 of April 16, 2016 (Steps to Increase Competition and Better Inform Consumers and Workers to Support Continued Growth of the American Economy14) to promote competition and reduce regulatory restrictions where possible. Finally, under the EAs, TSA will have direct oversight of Amazon Air’s security activities, rather than indirectly through an aircraft operator with which Amazon Air is an authorized representative. Given the scale of Amazon Air’s commercial activities and physical infrastructure that must be secured at these airports, TSA compliance oversight will be more efficient and effective if conducted directly over Amazon Air.

Therefore, TSA has determined that it is in the public interest to grant CVG, BWI, and RFD an exemption from the provision in 49 CFR 1542.111 that limits the persons with whom an airport operator may execute an EAA to aircraft operators and foreign air carriers. Under this exemption, CVG, BWI, and RFD, respectively, may enter into an EAA with Amazon Air consistent with TSA EAA-requirements. These exemptions apply only to these airports and their respective EAs with Amazon Air.

IV. Exemptions

Applicability: These exemptions apply to CVG, BWI, and RFD.

Exemption: For the duration of each exemption, CVG, BWI, and RFD, respectively, may apply for an amendment to their airport security program that permits the airport operator to enter into an EAA in accordance with 49 CFR 1542.111 with Amazon Air, notwithstanding that Amazon Air is not a TSA-regulated aircraft operator or foreign air carrier. The terms of the EAA replace the requirements in 49 CFR part 1542 so long as Amazon Air complies with the EAA. This amendment and the EAA must require Amazon Air to comply with all relevant Security Directives and Emergency Amendments issued by TSA.

Duration: These exemptions take effect on July 26, 2021. At CVG, BWI, and RFD, Amazon Air may begin performing as an EAA-holder on the date on which TSA approves an amendment to the respective airport operator’s airport security program implementing each executed EAA. Each exemption will remain in effect while the airport operator’s TSA-approved airport security program remains in effect. TSA may direct revisions to the ASP amendment and EAA with regard to one or more of the covered airport operators, for security reasons under 49 CFR 1542.105(b). TSA may rescind the ASP amendment and EAA, and may rescind or modify the exemption, with regard to one or more of the covered airport operators, at any time.
A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection:
Housing Counseling Agency Activity Report.
OMB Approval Number: 250–new.
OMB Expiration Date: None.
Type of Request: New Collection.
Form Number: HUD–9902, Housing Counseling Agency Activity Report.
Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The purpose of this information is to collect data related to performance and impact on housing counseling performed by HUD-approved housing counseling agencies.

Information collected through the form HUD–9902 is critical as the data provided allows HUD to demonstrate program impact to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Additionally, the data collected on form HUD–9902 plays a key role in analyzing performance and capacity during the Office of Housing Counseling’s Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) process.

Respondents: Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,714.
Estimated Number of Responses: 1,714.
Frequency of Response: Quarterly (in a calendar year).
Average Hours per Response: 0.75 hours.
Total Estimated Burden: 2,566 hours.

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

1. Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
3. Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

C. Authority


Colette Pollard,
Department Reports Management Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
[FR Doc. 2021–15779 Filed 7–23–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[DOCKET NO. FR–7034–N–42; OMB CONTROL NO. 2502–0562]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Manufactured Housing Dispute Resolution Program

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD has submitted the proposed information collection requirement described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

DATES: Comments Due Date: August 25, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/Start Printed Page 15501FRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; email Colette Pollard at Colette.Pollard@hud.gov or telephone 202–402–3400. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described in Section A. The Federal Register notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on May 7, 2021 at 87 FR 24654.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection:
Manufactured Housing Dispute Resolution Program.
OMB Approval Number: 2502–0562.
OMB Expiration Date: 08/31/2021.
Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.
Form Numbers: HUD–310–DRSC; HUD–311–DR.
Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The state programs will file form HUD–310–DRSC. HUD uses the information on state certifications to determine whether the state programs comply with the minimum requirements set out in the regulations. Homeowners and industry respondents will use form HUD–311–DR. HUD uses the required information for screening that a defect that is properly alleged and timely reported under the Federal manufactured housing dispute resolution program.

Respondents: Individuals and households; State, Local or Tribal Government; Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 125.
Estimated Number of Responses: 125.
Frequency of Response: HUD–310–DRSC, one time for initial independent application by state, and then one time every three years for certain states; HUD–311–DR, one time per alleged defect.

Average Hours per Response: 1.
Total Estimated Burden: 125.

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

1. Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
3. Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.