investigation and/or evaluation. Access could reveal the identity of the source of the information and constitute a breach of the promised confidentiality on the part of the Department. Such breaches ultimately would restrict the free flow of information vital to the determination of a candidate's qualifications and suitability, among other determinations. The Department also relies on certain examination materials to assess and evaluate an individual's qualifications for an applicable position. Access and/or amendment to such material could reveal information about the examination and vetting process and could compromise its objectivity and/or fairness. Access and/or amendment to such material could also inappropriately advantage future candidates with knowledge of the examination materials. Finally, providing the individual access or amendment rights could result in the release of properly classified information which would compromise the national defense or disrupt foreign policy.

(3) From subsection (e)(1), because in the collection of information for investigative and evaluative purposes, it is impossible to determine in advance what exact information may be of assistance in determining the qualifications and suitability of the subject of an investigation and/or evaluation. Information which may seem irrelevant, when combined with other seemingly irrelevant information, can on occasion provide a composite picture of a candidate which assists in determining whether that candidate should be nominated for appointment. Relevance and necessity are questions of judgment and timing, and it is only after the information is evaluated that the relevance and necessity of such information can be established. In interviewing individuals or obtaining other forms of information during OLP processes, information may be supplied to OLP which relates to matters incidental to the primary purpose of OLP's processes, but also relates to matters under the investigative jurisdiction of another agency. Such information cannot readily be segregated.

(4) From subsections (e)(4)(G) and (H), and subsection (f), because this system is exempt from the access and amendment provisions of subsection (d).

(c) The General Files System of the Office of Legal Policy (JUSTICE/OLP-003) system of records is exempt from subsection 552a(j)(1), (k)(2), and (k)(6). These exemptions apply only to the extent that information in this system is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(j), (k). Where compliance would not appear to interfere with or adversely affect OLP's processes, the applicable exemption may be waived by OLP.

(d) Exemptions from the particular subsections are justified for the following reasons:

(1) From subsection (c)(3) because making available to a record subject the accounting of disclosures from records concerning him/her would reveal investigative interest on the part of the Department as well as the recipient agency. This would permit record subjects to impede the investigation, e.g., destroy evidence, intimidate potential witnesses, or flee the area to avoid inquiries or apprehension by law enforcement personnel.

(2) From subsection (c)(4) because this system is exempt from the access provisions of subsection (d) pursuant to subsections (j) and (k) of the Privacy Act.

(3) From subsection (d) because the records contained in this system relate to official Federal investigations. Individual access to these records might compromise ongoing investigations, reveal confidential informants, or constitute unwarranted invasions of the personal privacy of third parties who are involved in a certain investigation. Amendment of records would interfere with ongoing criminal law enforcement proceedings and impose an impossible administrative burden by requiring criminal investigations to be continuously reinvestigated.

(4) From subsections (e)(1) and (5) because in the course of law enforcement investigations, information may occasionally be obtained or introduced, the accuracy of which is unclear or which is not strictly relevant or necessary to a specific investigation. In the interests of effective law enforcement, it is appropriate to retain all information since it may aid in establishing patterns of criminal activity. Moreover, it would impede the specific investigation process if it were necessary to assure the relevance, accuracy, timeliness and completeness of all information obtained.

(5) From subsections (f)(2) because in a law enforcement investigation the requirement that information be collected to the greatest extent possible from the subject individual would present a serious impediment to law enforcement. Information already obtained by other investigative means will be unnecessary.

(6) From subsection (e)(3) because to comply with the requirements of this subsection during the course of an investigation could impede the information gathering process, thus hampering the investigation.

(7) From subsections (e)(4)(G) and (H) because this system is exempt from the access provisions of subsection (d) pursuant to subsections (j) and (k) of the Privacy Act.

(8) From subsection (g) because this system is exempt from the access and amendment provisions of subsection (d) pursuant to subsections (j) and (k) of the Privacy Act.

Dated: July 1, 2021.

Peter A. Winn,
Acting Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer, United States Department of Justice.
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RIN 2900–AR20

Threshold for Reporting VA Debts to Consumer Reporting Agencies

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) proposes to amend its regulations under the Federal Credit Reform Act of 2001 to establish a minimum threshold for debts reported to consumer reporting agencies (CRA). The Johnny Isakson and David P. Roe, M.D. Veterans Health Care and Benefits Improvement Act of 2020 provides the Secretary authority to prescribe regulations that establish the minimum amount of a benefit or medical debt that the Secretary will report to the CRA. This proposed change will establish the methodology for determining a minimum threshold for debts reported to CRA.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 21, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted through www.regulations.gov or mailed to Debt Management Center, Office of Management, 189, 1 Federal Drive, Suite 4500, Fort Snelling, MN 55111. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to “RIN 2900–AR20—Threshold for Reporting VA Debts to Consumer Reporting Agencies. Comments received will be
available at regulations.gov for public viewing, inspection, or copies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jason Hoge, Director of Operations, Debt Management Center, Office of Management, 189, 1 Federal Drive, Suite 4500, Fort Snelling, MN 55111, (612) 725–4337. (This is not a toll-free telephone number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Summary of Proposed Regulatory Changes
We propose to amend VA’s regulation that governs reporting of delinquent debts to CRA. This rulemaking would update the regulation to comply with section 2007 of Public Law 116–315, the Johnny Isakson and David P. Roe, M.D. Veterans Health Care and Benefits Improvement Act of 2020. Section 2007 amends chapter 53 of title 38, United States Code by adding section 5320 as follows: “The Secretary shall prescribe regulations that establish the minimum amount of a claim or debt, arising from a benefit administered by the Under Secretary for Benefits or Under Secretary for Health, that the Secretary will report to a consumer reporting agency under section 3711 of title 31.”

This proposed amendment will establish the methodology for determining the minimum threshold for reporting delinquent VA debts to CRA. It will also exclude from the minimum threshold those debts in which there is an indication of fraud, misrepresentation, or bad faith on the part of the debtor.

Background on Governing Statutes
The Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (DCIA), in part, mandated agencies to report delinquent debts to CRA. 31 U.S.C. 3711(e); Sec. 31001(k), Public Law 104–134, 110 Stat. 1321. The purpose of the DCIA includes maximizing collection of delinquent debts by ensuring quick action to recover debts, use of appropriate collection tools, and minimizing the costs of debt collection. Sec. 31001(b), Public Law 104–134.

Section 5320 of title 38, United States Code, authorizes VA to “establish the minimum amount of a claim or debt, arising from a benefit administered by the Under Secretary for Benefits or Under Secretary for Health, that the Secretary will report to a consumer reporting agency under section 3711 of title 31.” The intent of section 5320 is to lessen negative impact of CRA reports on Veterans.

Introduction to Proposed Regulatory Changes
As explained in more detail below, we propose to amend 38 CFR 1.916 to comply with 38 U.S.C. 5320, to establish a minimum threshold for reporting debts to CRA.

In accordance with 31 U.S.C. 3711(e), the VA Debt Management Center (DMC) is responsible for reporting delinquent debts to CRA. Prior to January 5, 2021, DMC reported an average of 5,000 delinquent Veteran accounts monthly. DMC regularly receives complaints from Veterans whose accounts have been reported to CRA. Common complaints from Veterans include: Loss of security clearance, inability to obtain approval for home loans or home refinancing, and difficulty securing rental housing.

This proposed amendment recognizes that the debts described in 38 U.S.C. 5320 are fundamentally different from consumer debt. Debts arising from a benefit administered by the Under Secretary for Benefits or the Under Secretary for Health may result from a variety of scenarios, including overpayments that are not the fault of the Veteran.

Section 5320 authorizes the Secretary to establish a minimum threshold that will ultimately reduce the number of debts that will be reported to CRA. This will, in turn, decrease the number of Veterans negatively impacted by these reports. The VA’s mission is to “care for those who shall have borne the battle and for their families and survivors.” Negative credit reports may cause housing insecurity or job loss, and this result is inconsistent with VA’s mission.

38 CFR 1.916—Disclosure of Debt Information to Consumer Reporting Agencies (CRA)
We propose to amend 38 CFR 1.916, which sets forth the requirements for reporting delinquent debts to CRA, by inserting subparagraphs (c)(1) through (3) to provide the methodology used by the Secretary to establish the minimum threshold. This section would also clarify that the minimum threshold applies only to a debt of an individual that arises from a benefit administered by the Under Secretary for Benefits or Under Secretary for Health.

We propose subparagraphs (c)(1) through (3) state:
1. The Secretary has established a minimum threshold for a debt, arising from a benefit administered by the Under Secretary for Benefits or Under Secretary for Health, that the Secretary will report to a consumer reporting agency under section 3711 of title 31.
2. VA will only report those debts that meet the following standards:
(i) The debt is classified as currently not collectible. For purposes of this paragraph, the debt is currently not collectible if VA has exhausted available collection efforts, including, as appropriate, referrals for administrative offset and enforced collection;
(ii) The debt is not owed by an individual who is determined by VA to be catastrophically disabled or has reported to VA a gross household income below the applicable geographically adjusted income limits that would entitle a VA beneficiary to cost-free health care, medications and/ or beneficiary travel; and
(iii) The outstanding debt amount is over $25, or such higher amount VA may from time to time prescribe, in accordance with section 1.921 of this part.

3. The minimum threshold set forth in this paragraph will not apply if there is an indication of fraud, misrepresentation, or bad faith on the part of the individual in connection with the debt.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563
Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has determined that this rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866. The Regulatory Impact Analysis associated with this rulemaking can be found as a supporting document at www.regulations.gov.

Regulatory Flexibility Act
The Secretary hereby certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612). The regulations established by this rulemaking do not impose burdens or otherwise regulate the activities of any small entities outside of VA. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604 do not apply.
Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year. This proposed rule will have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposed rule contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

There are no Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance numbers and titles for this rule.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 1


Signing Authority

Denis McDonough, Secretary of Veterans Affairs approved this document on June 23, 2021 and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Luvenia Potts, Regulations Development Coordinator, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Department of Veterans Affairs proposes to amend 38 CFR part 1 as set forth below:

PART 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 1.916 [Amended]

2. Amend § 1.916 by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

* * * * *

(c) Subject to the conditions set forth in this paragraph and paragraph (d) of this section, information concerning individuals may be disclosed to consumer reporting agencies for inclusion in consumer reports pertaining to the individual, or for the purpose of locating the individual. Disclosure of the fact of indebtedness will be made if the individual fails to respond in accordance with written demands for repayment, or refuses to repay a debt to the United States. In making any disclosure under this section, VA will provide consumer reporting agencies with sufficient information to identify the individual, including the individual's name, address, if known, date of birth, VA file number, and Social Security number.

(1) The Secretary has established a minimum threshold for a debt, arising from a benefit administered by the Under Secretary for Benefits or Under Secretary for Health, that the Secretary will report to a consumer reporting agency under section 3711 of title 31.

(2) VA will only report those debts that meet the following standards:

(i) The debt is classified as currently not collectible. For purposes of this paragraph, the debt is currently not collectible if VA has exhausted available collection efforts, including, as appropriate, referrals for administrative offset and enforced collection;

(ii) The debt is not owed by an individual who is determined by VA to be catastrophically disabled or has reported to VA a gross household income below the applicable geographically adjusted income limits that would entitle a VA beneficiary to cost-free health care, medications and/ or beneficiary travel; and

(iii) The outstanding debt amount is over $25, or such higher amount VA may from time to time prescribe, in accordance with section 1.921 of this part.

(3) The minimum threshold set forth in this paragraph will not apply if there is an indication of fraud, misrepresentation, or bad faith on the part of the individual in connection with the debt.

* * * * *

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED


RIN 3037–AA13

Provisions Relating to Public Contracts

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Proposed rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would amend the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled’s (Committee) regulations to address outdated information and proposes correction and clarifications within the chapter or with the Javits-Wagner-O’Day Act or the AbilityOne Program. This regulation was originally published in 1991 and changes in Committee practices and concepts have occurred which requires updates to the CFR. These updates merely are administrative in nature.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before August 23, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your comments, identified by “RIN 3037–AA13” by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Comments received will be posted without change to www.regulations.gov including any personal information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s) please check www.regulations.gov approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow for 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shelly Hammond, shammond@abilityone.gov, (571) 457–9468.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Committee’s last rule making administrative changes was published in the Federal Register at June 2, 2000, at 65 FR 35286.

The Committee seeks to provide the following administrative changes based on changes to the Office of the Federal Register’s language and processes. The Commission seeks to remove mailing address and add email address for the Committee and Central Nonprofit Agencies.