discriminatory because the requirements, and credits, and even entire pricing tiers, would be eliminated in their entirety and would no longer be available to any ETP Holder.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Intramarket Competition. The Exchange’s proposal to eliminate certain requirements and credits, and pricing tiers in their entirety, will not place any undue burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act given that not a single ETP Holder has qualified for any of the credits under the pricing tiers that are the subject of this proposed rule change in the past six months. To the extent the proposed rule change places a burden on competition, any such burden would be outweighed by the fact that none of the pricing tiers proposed for deletion have served their intended purpose of incentivizing ETP Holders to more broadly participate on the Exchange. Moreover, ETP Holders can choose to trade on other venues to the extent they believe that the credits provided are too low or the qualification criteria are not attractive.

Intermarket Competition. The Exchange believes the proposed rule change does not impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchanges and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. Market share statistics provide ample evidence that price competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely from one execution venue to another in reaction to pricing changes. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and with off-exchange venues. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees and credits in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange does not believe this proposed fee change would impose any burden on intermarket competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEARCA–2021–60 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEARCA–2021–60 and should be submitted on or before August 10, 2021.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. J. Matthew DeLesDernier, Assistant Secretary.
and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Phlx Rules at Options 8, Section 2 (Definitions); Section 8 (Trading Floor Registration); Section 12 (Clerks); Section 22 (Execution of Options Transactions on the Trading Floor); Section 28 (Responsibilities of Floor Brokers); and Section 39 (Option Minor Rule Violations and Order and Decorum Regulations) at C–2 (Options Floor Based Management System).

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/phlx/rules, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Phlx Rules at Options 8, Section 2 (Definitions); Section 8 (Trading Floor Registration); Section 12 (Clerks); Section 22 (Execution of Options Transactions on the Trading Floor); Section 28 (Responsibilities of Floor Brokers); and Section 39 (Option Minor Rule Violations and Order and Decorum Regulations) at C–2 (Options Floor Based Management System). Each change is described below.

The Exchange also proposes a technical amendment to Options 8, Section 2

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 8, Section 2, Definitions, to alphabetize the existing definitions. The Exchange proposes to relocate and renumber the current definitions without change, with one exception which is described below.

The Exchange proposes to amend the definition of a Presiding Exchange Official at current Options 8, Section 2(a)(4) to add "“her” next to “his” in two places. The amendment to this rule will bring greater clarity to the defined term.

The Exchange proposes to add two new definitions, “Floor Transaction” and “Remote FBMS Transaction” to Options 8, Section 2. The Exchange proposes to define “Floor Transaction” as a transaction that is effected in open outcry on the Exchange’s Trading Floor. This term is currently defined within Phlx Options 7, Section 1 for the purposes of pricing. The Exchange also proposes to define “Remote FBMS Transaction.” The Exchange recently amended Options 8, Section 28, “Responsibilities of Floor Brokers” at subsection (g) and Section 30, “Crossing, Facilitation and Solicited Orders” at subsection (e) to permit Floor Brokers to utilize the Options Floor Based Management System ("FBMS").

At this time the Exchange proposes to define a “Remote FBMS Transaction” as a transaction that is effected by a Floor Broker, while not physically present on the Trading Floor, by submitting limit, market or stop orders pursuant to Options 8, Section 28(g) and Floor Qualified Contingent Cross Orders pursuant to Options 8, Section 30(e) to the electronic order book, through FBMS, pursuant to Options 8, Sections 28 and 30, respectively, in accordance with the Prior Rule Change. Further, the Exchange proposes to specify that members and member organizations must comply with certain regulatory requirements, unless the member or member organization is otherwise exempt from the requirements in accordance with Supplementary Material .08 to Options 10, Section 6 or Phlx General 4, Rule 1230. The Exchange proposes to state that in order to conduct remote FBMS transactions, unless exempt from such requirements, Floor Brokers are subject to the following regulatory requirements: (1) Compliance with branch office requirements as described in Supplementary Material .08 to Options 10, Section 6, as well as supervision of such branch office as described in Phlx General 9, Section 20; and (2) compliance with applicable registration requirements described in Phlx General 4.

Finally, the Exchange proposes to make clear that all uses of FBMS involving open outcry must be conducted while physically present on the Trading Floor. The proposed definition would describe and cite to the types of orders that may be submitted remotely by a Floor Broker for ease of location in the Options 8 Rules. Further, the proposed rule indicates the various existing Phlx Rules that are relevant today for regulatory compliance when transacting Remote FBMS Transactions. The last sentence of the proposed rule indicates that open outcry transactions may only be effected while physically present on the Exchange’s Trading Floor and therefore uses of FBMS involving open outcry must be conducted while physically present on the Trading Floor. Today, Floor Brokers must comply with these regulatory requirements. This proposed rule would serve as a guide for Floor Brokers conducting Remote FBMS Transactions.

*FBMS, an order management system, is the gateway for the electronic execution of equity, equity index and U.S. dollar-settled foreign currency option orders represented by Floor Brokers on the Exchange’s Options Floor. Floor Brokers contemporaneously upon receipt of an order and prior to the representation of such an order in the trading crowd, record all options orders represented by such Floor Broker to FBMS, which creates an electronic audit trail. The execution of orders to Phlx’s electronic trading system also occurs via FBMS. The FBMS application is available on hand-held tablets and stationary desktops.

*Utilizing FBMS while not physically present on the Trading Floor would be considered remote access.

Options 8, Sections 8 and 12

The Exchange proposes to update cross citations to General 4 Rules within Options 8, Section 8, Trading Floor Registration and Options 8, Section 12, Clerks to the Exchange. The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC’s (“Nasdaq”) General 4 rule numbering that was amended. These amendments are non-substantive.

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 8, Section 12, Clerks, at subparagraph (c) to remove the phrase “or assigned to their employer’s clearing firm.” Previously, Clearing Members operated posts on the Trading Floor. Member organizations were able to assign clerks to operate from those posts. Clearing Member posts no longer exist on the Trading Floor and therefore this language is obsolete.

Options 8, Section 22

The Exchange proposes to update a citation to Options 8, Section 22(a)(3). The citation is incorrect and should instead refer to Options 8, Section 22(a)(2). There is no Options 8, Section 22(a)(3). Similar changes are also proposed for Options 8, Section 28(e)(2) and Options 8, Section 39 at C–2 to correct improper citations.

Options 8, Section 28

The Exchange proposes to amend Options 8, Section 28, Responsibilities of Floor Brokers, at subsection (g) to replace the word “limit” with “electronic” before the term “order book.” The term “electronic order book” makes clear the order book is being described. Also, the Exchange notes that, today, Floor Brokers may enter limit, market, stop-limit or stop orders into the electronic order book.


10 Limit Order is an order to buy or sell a stated number of option contracts at a specified price, or better. See Options 8, Section 32(a)(2).

11 Market Order is an order to buy or sell a stated number of option contracts and is to be executed at the best price obtainable when the order reaches the post. See Options 8, Section 32(a)(1).

12 Stop-Limit Order is a contingency order to buy or sell at a limited price when a trade or quote on the Exchange for a particular option contract reaches a specified price. A Stop-Limit Order to buy becomes a Limit Order executable at the limit price or better when contract trades or is bid on the Exchange at or above the stop-limit price. A Stop-Limit Order to sell becomes a Limit Order executable at the limit price or better when the option contract trades or is offered on the Exchange at or below the stop-limit price.

13 A Stop-Order is a contingency order to buy or sell when a trade or quote on the Exchange for a particular option contract reaches a specified price. A Stop Order to buy becomes a Market Order when the option contract trades or is bid on the Exchange at or above the stop price. A Stop Order to sell becomes a Market Order when the option contract trades or is offered on the Exchange at or below the stop price.

The proposal to define “Stop Order” as a transaction that is effected in open outcry on the Exchange’s Trading Floor is consistent with the Act. This term is currently defined within Phlx Options 7, Section 1 for the purposes of pricing. The defined term is consistent with the use of that term in the current rules. This defined term will bring greater clarity to the Options 8 Rules.

The Exchange’s proposal to define “Stop Limit Order” shall not be elected by a trade that reported late or out of sequence. See Options 8, Section 32(b)(1) and (2).

14 See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68960 (February 20, 2013), 78 FR 13132, 13134 (February 26, 2013) (SR–Phlx–2013–09) (Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Enhance the Functionality Offered on Its Options Floor Broker Management System (“FBMS”) by. Among Other Things, Automating Functions Currently Performed by Floor Brokers). This filing provided the following explanation, “For example, if a Floor Broker enters a two-sided order through the new FBMS and there is an order on the book at a price that prevents the Floor Broker’s order from executing, FBMS will indicate to the Floor Broker how many contracts need to be satisfied before the Floor Broker’s order can execute at the agreed-upon price. If the Floor Broker agrees to satisfy that order, the Floor Broker may remotely transact Floor Broker manages smaller orders up to a specified number of contracts and is to be executed at the best price obtainable when the order reaches the post. See Options 8, Section 32(a)(2).
certain orders while not physically present on the Trading Floor. This defined term provides the citations to the applicable rules and further makes clear the current regulatory requirements that apply to such remote activity. Today, Floor Brokers must comply with these regulatory requirements provided they are not exempt from those requirements pursuant to Supplementary Material .08 to Options 10, Section 6 or Phlx General 4, Rule 1230. Also, the defined term makes clear that all uses of FBMS involving open outcry must be conducted while physically present on the Trading Floor. This proposed rule would serve as a guide for Floor Brokers conducting Remote FBMS Transactions.

Options 8, Sections 8 and 12

The Exchange’s proposal to update cross citations to Nasdaq General 4 Rules within Options 8, Section 8, Trading Floor Registration and Options 8, Section 12, Clerks is consistent with the Act. These amendments are non-substantive and will clarify the rules. The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 8, Section 12, Clerks at subparagraph (c) to remove the phrase “or assigned to their employer’s clearing firm” is consistent with the Act. Previously, Clearing Members operated posts on the Trading Floor. Member organizations were able to assign clerks to operate from those posts. Clearing Member posts no longer exist on the Trading Floor and therefore this language is obsolete.

Options 8, Section 22

The Exchange’s proposal to update citations to Options 8, Section 22(a)(3) within Options 8, Section 22(a)(2)(E)(i), Options 8, Section 28(e)(2), and Options 8, Section 39 at C–2 is consistent with the Act as the rule text corrects improper citations. Citations to Options 8, Section 22(a)(3) should instead refer to Options 8, Section 22(a)(3). Options 8, Section 22(a)(3) does not exist.

Options 8, Section 28

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 8, Section 28, Responsibilities of Floor Brokers, to replace the word “limit” with “electronic” before the term “order book” is consistent with the Act. The term “electronic order book” makes clear that specific order book being described.

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 8, Section 28 to provide that Floor Brokers may enter limit, market, stop-limit or stop orders into the electronic order book is consistent with the Act. Currently, Options 8, Section 28 only refers to limit orders when it should have also noted market, stop-limit and stop orders. With respect to remotely entering limit orders into the electronic order book through FBMS, the Prior Rule Change stated that this capability exists to enable Floor Brokers to access electronic liquidity and/or to clear priority orders on the limit order book prior to transacting an order in the trading crowd through FBMS.20 Placing limit orders on the electronic order book does not require exposure in open outcry and allows Floor Brokers the ability to clear resting Customers orders from the limit order book for their customers in the event that a Customer order had priority on the limit order book that would otherwise prevent a Floor Qualified Contingent Cross Order from being entered in compliance with Options 8, Section 30(e).21 Today, Floor Brokers may also utilize market, stop-limit and stop orders to clear resting Customers orders from the electronic order book. Also, placing market, stop-limit and stop orders on the electronic order book does not require exposure in open outcry.

Options 10, Section 20

The Exchange proposes to update a reference to Phlx Rule 1049 within Options 10, Section 20, Options Communications, and replace “Nasdaq PHXL” throughout this rule with “Phlx” as non-substantive amendments that will clarify the Rulebook.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Options 8, Section 2

The Exchange’s proposal to alphabetize the existing definitions within Options 8, Section 2 does not impose an undue burden on competition as the definitions will become easier to locate. Amending the definition of a Presiding Exchange Official from current Options 8, Section 2(a)(4) to add “/her” next to “his” in two places is a non-substantive rule change. These amendments are intended to bring greater clarity to the Options 8 Rules.

The proposal to define “Floor Transaction” as a transaction that is effected in open outcry on the Exchange’s Trading Floor does not impose an undue burden on competition. This term is currently defined within Phlx Options 7, Section 1 for the purposes of pricing. This defined term is consistent with the use of that term in the current rules. This defined term will bring greater clarity to the Options 8 Rules. The Exchange’s proposal to define “Remote FBMS Transaction” does not impose an undue burden on competition. The proposed term “Remote FBMS Transaction” would serve to provide members and member organizations a description of the manner in which a Floor Broker may remotely transact certain orders while not physically present on the Trading Floor. This defined term provides the citations to the applicable rules and further makes clear the current regulatory requirements that apply to such remote activity. Today, Floor Brokers must comply with these regulatory requirements. Also, the defined term makes clear that all uses of FBMS involving open outcry must be conducted while physically present on the Trading Floor.

Options 8, Sections 8 and 12

The Exchange’s proposal to update cross citations to Nasdaq General 4 Rules within Options 8, Section 8, Trading Floor Registration and Options 8, Section 12, Clerks does not impose an undue burden on competition. These amendments are non-substantive and would clarify the current rules. The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 8, Section 12, Clerks at subparagraph (c) to remove the phrase “or assigned to their employer’s clearing firm” does not impose an undue burden on competition. Previously, Clearing Members operated posts on the Trading Floor. Member organizations were able to assign clerks to operate from those posts. Clearing Member posts no longer exist on the Trading Floor and therefore this language is obsolete.

Options 8, Section 22

The Exchange’s proposal to update citations to Options 8, Section 22(a)(3) within Options 8, Section 22(a)(2)(E)(i), Options 8, Section 28(e)(2), and Options 8, Section 39 at C–2 does not impose an undue burden on competition as the definitions will become easier to locate. Amending the definition of a Presiding Exchange Official from current Options 8, Section 39 at C–2 does not impose an undue burden on competition as the rule text corrects improper citations. Citations to Options 8, Section 22(a)(3) should instead refer to Options 8, Section 22(a)(3). Options 8, Section 22(a)(3) does not exist.

Options 8, Section 28

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 8, Section 28, Responsibilities of Floor Brokers, at subsection (g) to replace the word “limit” with “electronic” before the term “order book” does not impose an undue
burden on competition. The term "electronic order book" makes clear that specific order book being described.

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Options 8, Section 28(g) to provide that Floor Brokers may enter limit, market, stop-limit or stop orders into the electronic order book does not impose an undue burden on competition. Currently, Options 8, Section 28(g) only refers to limit orders when it should have also noted market, stop-limit and stop orders. The Exchange notes that Floor Brokers may also utilize market, stop-limit and stop orders to clear resting Customers orders from the electronic order book. Today, placing market, stop-limit and stop orders on the electronic order book does not require exposure in open outcry.

Options 10, Section 20

The Exchange proposes to update a reference to Phx Rule 1049 within Options 10, Section 20, Option 5, and replace "Nasdaq PHLX" throughout this rule with "Phlx" are non-substantive amendments that will clarify the Rulebook.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–Phlx–2021–41 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
• Send paper comments in triplicate to: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2021–41. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlix–2021–41 and should be submitted on or before August 10, 2021.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.24

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Consisting of Modifications to the Text of the Rules and the Procedures, of the Service Guide for the DTC Canadian-Link Service and the DTC Operational Arrangements Relating to the Elimination of the Canadian Dollar Settlement Feature of the Canadian-Link Service

July 14, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on July 12, 2021, the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. DTC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act3 and Rule 19b–4(f)(4) thereunder.4 The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change of DTC5 consists of modifications to the text of the Rules and the Procedures;6

6 Pursuant to the Rules, the term “Procedures” means the Procedures, service guides, and regulations of DTC adopted pursuant to Rule 27, as amended from time to time. See Rule 1, Section 1, supra note 5. Pursuant to Rule 27, each Participant and DTC is bound by the Procedures and any amendment thereto in the same manner as it is