shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) Wah Yuen’s cash deposit rate will continue to be its existing exporter-producer specific rate; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters for which a review was not requested and that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate published for the most recently-completed period; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes all manganese dioxide (MnO2) that has been manufactured in an electrolysis process, whether in powder, chip, or plate form. Excluded from the scope are natural manganese dioxide (NMD) and chemical manganese dioxide (CMD). The merchandise subject to the order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2820.10.00. While the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of the Comments Received

We addressed the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted in this review in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the sections in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html.

Recission of Administrative Review

As discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Duracell (China) Limited (DCL), the sole company under review reported that neither it, nor its U.S. affiliates, sold subject merchandise or further manufactured subject merchandise (i.e., batteries containing subject merchandise) to unaffiliated U.S. customers during the POR. Moreover, Commerce determined that DCL did not adequately demonstrate that it could trace the POR entry of subject merchandise, which was used to manufacture batteries in the United States, to particular batteries that were sold to unaffiliated U.S. customers after the end of the POR. Therefore, we have determined that there are no reviewable sales with which to calculate a dumping margin and we have rescinded this review.

Assessment

We intend to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate POR entries of subject merchandise from DCL at the rate applicable at the time of entry into the United States.


See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).
which is the China-wide entity rate (i.e., 149.92 percent).

Consistent with its recent notice,\(^5\) Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

Because we rescinded this administrative review, we have not calculated a company-specific dumping margin for DCL. Therefore, entries of DCL’s subject merchandise continue to be subject to the China-wide cash deposit rate of 149.92 percent. This cash deposit rate requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Administrative Protective Order**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

---

\(^5\) See Preliminary Rescission.