(collectively, the petitioners), and separately, Repwire LLC (Repwire). On February 4, 2020, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce published in the Federal Register a notice initiating an administrative review of ICF Cable and Jin Tiong Electrical Materials Manufacturer PTE. Limited (Jin Tiong) (collectively, the Companies Subject to the Review). On February 10, 2021, we notified interested parties that information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP)’s database, which is comprised of actual U.S. entries of subject merchandise, indicated that there were no POR entries of aluminum wire and cable from China that are subject to CVD duties with respect to the Companies Subject to the Review. We invited interested parties to comment on the CBP Entry Data. On February 18, 2021, Encore submitted comments in response to the CBP Entry Data, alleging that ICF Cable exported Chinese-origin subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. On April 1, 2021, CBP confirmed that there were no shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by ICF Cable or Jin Tiong during the POR. We invited interested parties to comment on CBP’s

Report of No Shipments. On April 19, 2021, Encore submitted timely comments in response to CBP’s Report of No Shipments. "In its comments, Encore reiterated its claim that ICF Cable made sales, shipments, and/or exports of aluminum wire and cable produced in China during the POR without paying applicable cash deposits, and requested that Commerce issue a quantity and value questionnaire to ICF Cable. Our analysis of the record leads us to conclude that there are no reviewable entries of aluminum wire and cable from China during the POR. For a full discussion of the comments raised by Encore and our analysis, see the Recission Memorandum.

Recission of Review
It is Commerce’s practice to rescind an administrative review of a CVD order, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended. Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the CVD assessment rate calculated for the review period. Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct CBP to liquidate at the CVD assessment rate calculated for the review period. Accordingly, in the absence of suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR for either of the companies named in the Initiation Notice, we are hereby rescinding this administrative review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Assessment Rates
Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries. Because Commerce is rescinding this review in its entirety, the entries to which this administrative review pertained shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order
This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of propriety information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties
This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 6, 2021.
James Maeder, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Petroleum Wax Candles From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Fifth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles (candles) from the People’s Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jasun Moy, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401
Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8194.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 28, 1986, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on candles from China.1 On March 31, 2021, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the five-year sunset review of the Order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).2 On April 2, 2021, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in this sunset review from the National Candle Association (the petitioner) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).3 The petitioner claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as the petitioner in the less-than-fair-value investigation whose members are manufacturers, producers, or wholesalers of the domestic like product. On April 29, 2021, the petitioner provided a complete substantive response for this review within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).4 We received no substantive responses from any other interested parties, nor was a hearing requested. On May 21, 2021, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.5 As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this Order.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the Order include certain scented or unscented petroleum wax candles made from petroleum wax and having fiber or paper-cored wicks. For a full description of the scope of the Order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.6

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked, are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/fn/.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on candles from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and that the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail would be weighted-average margins up to 104.33 percent.7

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to interested parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: July 2, 2021.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
IV. Scope of the Order
IV. History of the Order
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues

1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
2. Magnitude of the Margins Likely To Prevail

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 22, 2010, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the AD and CVD orders on salts from China.8 On November 1, 2020, the ITC instituted,2 and on November 3, 2020,

2. See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 86 FR 16701 (March 31, 2021).
7. Id. at 8.