

specific suggestions of ways the agency can better achieve its statutory and regulatory objectives in light of the executive orders cited.

- Commenters should provide specific data that document the costs, burdens, and benefits of existing requirements or programs or proposed changes to them, to the extent they are available.

The following questions might help guide your comments and suggestions. Given the Coast Guard's current missions and statutory authority:

1. Do you have suggestions for changes to our current programs, regulations, or policies that would combat climate change or bolster resilience to the impacts of climate change or adapt to its impacts, such as sea level rise?

2. What do you think the primary implications of climate change are for our mission areas?

3. How will climate change affect Coast Guard programs, missions, regulations, and policies in the future?

4. How might the Coast Guard orient or re-orient its efforts to acquire information about the effects of climate change, and how might it best disseminate that information?

5. How do you think the Coast Guard can advance the objectives of environmental justice?

6. Are you aware of any new or emerging technologies appropriate for use in maritime facilities or other industry assets that we should consider when exploring alternatives to address climate change?

7. Which Coast Guard mission areas do you think are most likely to be affected by climate change? How would they be affected?

8. What do you think are the most crucial challenges we will face to address climate change in our programs, missions, regulations, and policies?

9. Do our existing regulations unjustifiably impede or fail to support the development and use of technologies or best practices that would help us address climate change?

10. Are our regulations restrictive on the use of alternative fuels that produce fewer harmful emissions? If so, how? What, specifically, might we do to reduce greenhouse gas emissions?

11. Do our current polices, such as NVICs or other guidance documents, impede or fail to support the development and use of technologies or best practices to address climate change? If so, how?

12. Is the process of requesting a determination of equivalency to use an alternative approach to regulatory

requirements that might address climate change burdensome?

13. What regulatory, policy, or other incentives could the Coast Guard provide to encourage development and use of technologies or best practices in the marine transportation system to combat and respond to climate change?

14. Are there current Coast Guard regulations, guidance, policies, or processes that contribute to climate change? If so, please explain which ones and how.

15. What sources of existing data or studies can Coast Guard use to evaluate the economic impact—positive or negative—from reducing the environmental footprint of USCG programs, regulations, or policies with regards to climate change?

16. What do you expect would be the positive or negative environmental results of the Coast Guard addressing climate change in the maritime domain, particularly in sensitive areas such as the Arctic and U.S. coastal zones?

17. Are there Coast Guard programs, regulations, or policies that do not bolster resilience to the impacts of climate change, particularly for those disproportionately impacted by climate change, and, if so, what are they? How can those programs, regulations, or policies be modified, expanded, streamlined, or repealed to bolster resilience to the impacts of climate change?

18. Do you have any suggestions for any changes to the Coast Guard's Arctic strategy or any Coast Guard Arctic programs, such as ice breaking, mapping, and charting missions that might bolster the Coast Guard's ability to combat and respond to climate change?

In addition to these general questions, the Coast Guard seeks any other input on the programs and missions described above that allows the Coast Guard, within our statutory authorities, to combat or respond to the climate crisis and adapt to its impacts on the maritime domain. This request for information is used solely for information gathering purposes and the responses to this RFI do not bind the Coast Guard to any further actions related to the response.

Dated: June 25, 2021.

J.W. Mauger,

Rear Admiral, US Coast Guard, Assistant Commandant for Prevention Policy.

[FR Doc. 2021-14575 Filed 7-7-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA-2020-0016]

Meetings To Implement Pandemic Response Voluntary Agreement Under Section 708 of the Defense Production Act

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Announcement of meetings; correction.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) published a document in the **Federal Register** of July 2, 2021, concerning an announcement of meetings to implement the Voluntary Agreement for the Manufacture and Distribution of Critical Healthcare Resources Necessary to Respond to a Pandemic. The document incorrectly listed certain meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Glenn, Office of Business, Industry, Infrastructure Integration, via email at OB3I@fema.dhs.gov or via phone at (202) 212-1666.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of July 2, 2021, in FR Doc. 2021-14251 on page 35309, in the second column, correct the **DATES** caption to read:

DATES: The schedule for these meetings is as follows:

- The first meeting took place on Tuesday, June 22, 2021, from 2 to 4 p.m. Eastern Time (ET).
- The second meeting took place on Wednesday, June 23, 2021, from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m. ET.
- The third meeting will take place on Tuesday, July 20, 2021, from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m. ET.
- The fourth meeting will take place on Thursday, July 22, 2021, from 2 to 4 p.m. ET.
- The fifth meeting will take place on Tuesday, August 3, 2021, from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m. ET.
- The sixth meeting will take place on Thursday, August 5, 2021, from 2 to 4 p.m. ET.

Dated: July 2, 2021.

Shabnaum Q. Amjad,

Acting Associate Chief Counsel, Regulatory Affairs Division, Office of Chief Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2021-14569 Filed 7-7-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-19-P