rate for companies subject to this review will be equal to the company-specific weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will be 7.23 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation.13 These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: June 30, 2021.

Christian Marsh, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers and exporters of certain aluminum foil (aluminum foil) from the People’s Republic of China (China) received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 19, 2018, Commerce published in the Federal Register the countervailing duty order on aluminum foil from China.1 On April 1, 2020, Commerce published in the Federal Register a notice inviting interested parties to request a review of the Order for the POR on April 30, 2020, we received timely review requests for 33 companies.2 On June 8, 2020, Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the Order, covering the requested companies.3 On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 60 days, thereby extending the deadline for the preliminary results until March 1, 2021.4 As explained below, on September 8, 2020, the Aluminum Association Trade Enforcement Working Group (the petitioners) withdrew their review requests with respect to certain companies.5 On February 26, 2021, Commerce fully extended the deadline for these preliminary results until June 29, 2021.6

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.7 A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the Order is aluminum foil from China. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended

Footnotes:

4. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.
(the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, i.e., a financial contribution by an “authority” that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.\textsuperscript{8} For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Commerce notes that, in making these findings, it relied, in part, on facts available and, because it finds that the Government of China did not act to the best of its ability to respond to Commerce’s requests for certain information, it drew an adverse inference, where appropriate, in selecting from among the facts otherwise available. For further information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum at “Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences.”

Recision of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party or parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above the petitioner timely withdrew its requests for review of certain companies. Because no other party requested a review of these companies, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the review with respect to these companies.\textsuperscript{9} Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to: (1) Baotou Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd.; (2) Granges Aluminum (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.; (3) Guangxi Baise Xinghe Aluminum Industry Co., Ltd.; (4) Huafon Nikkei Aluminum Corporation; (5) Jiangsu Zhongji Lamination Materials Stock Co., Ltd.; (6) Jiangyin Dolphin Pack Ltd. Co.; (7) Shandong Yuanru Metal Material Co., Ltd.; (8) Suntown Technology Group Limited; (9) Suzhou Manankan Aluminum Processing Technology Co., Ltd.; (10) Yantai Donghai Aluminum Foil Co., Ltd.; (11) Yantai Jintai International Trade Co., Ltd.; and (12) Zhejiang Zhongjin Aluminum Industry Co., Ltd.\textsuperscript{10}

Preliminary Results

Commerce preliminarily determines that, during the POR, the following countervailable subsidy rates exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Subsidy rate (percent ad valorem)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcha International Holdings Limited</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunan Suntown Marketing Limited</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu Alcha Aluminum Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>305.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNTO International Trade Limited</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suntown Technology Group Corporation Limited</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiamen Xiaoshun Aluminum Foil Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yibang Clad Material Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{8} See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

\textsuperscript{9} As explained above, the petitioners withdrew their requests for review of all companies for which they had requested a review, except those companies covered by other parties’ review requests.

\textsuperscript{10} Of the 33 companies for which we initiated a review in the \textit{Initiation Notice}, 16 were subject to the Act, upon issuance of the final results of this review in the Federal Register. See \textit{Certain Aluminum Foil from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018, 86 FR 12171} (March 2, 2021).


\textsuperscript{12} In the first administrative review of the Order, Commerce found the following companies to be cross-owned: Dingsheng Aluminum Industries (Hong Kong) Trading Co., Ltd.; Hangzhou DingCheng Aluminum Co., Ltd.; Hangzhou DingCheng Aluminum Co., Ltd.; Suntown Technology Group Corporation Limited; (13) Xiamen Xiaoshun Aluminum Foil Co., Ltd.; and (16) Yibang Clad Material Co., Ltd. Among the 16 companies included in the petitioners’ review request, which no other interested party requested a review, and for which the petitioners have withdrawn their request, five were found to have been cross-owned in the Final Determination with companies subject to this review: (1) Hangzhou DingCheng Aluminum Co., Ltd.; (2) Hangzhou Dingsheng Industrial Group Co. Ltd.; (3) Hangzhou Teemful Aluminum Co., Ltd.; (4) Luoyang Longding Aluminum Industries Co., Ltd.; and (5) Watson (HK) Trading Co., Limited. Because these five companies were previously found to be cross-owned with a company which is subject to this review, we preliminarily intend not to rescind the review with respect to these five companies. See Petitioners’ Review Request; Dingsheng Companies’ Review Request; Valeo’s Review Request; Xibin’s Review Request; Zhenki Companies’ Review Request; Petitioner’s Withdrawal of Review Requests; \textit{Initiation Notice}; and Order.

\textsuperscript{8} See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.
not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

For 12 companies for which this review is rescinded with these preliminary results, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(I)(ii). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP no later than 35 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Act, upon issuance of the final results, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties for each of the companies listed above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(I)(ii). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP no later than 35 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

**Summary**

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.310(c) and 351.310(d)(2). Dated: June 29, 2021.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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13 See 19 CFR 224(b).
14 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(I)(ii) and 351.309(d)(2); see also Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID–19: Extension of Effective Period, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020) (Temporary Rule).
15 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and 351.309(d)(2).
16 See Temporary Rule.
17 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
18 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
19 See 19 CFR 351.310.