An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Mark J. Gehlhar, Information Collection Clearance Officer, Division of Regulatory Support.
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Agency Information Collection Activities; Permanent Regulatory Program—Small Operator Assistance Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSMRE), are proposing to renew an information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 2, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Please provide a copy of your comments to Mark Gehlhar, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1849 C Street NW, Room 4556–MB, Washington, DC 20240, or by email to mgehlhar@osmre.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 1029–0061 in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Mark Gehlhar by email at mgehlhar@osmre.gov, or by telephone at (202) 208–2716. You may also view the ICR at http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA; 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) and 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), we provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

A Federal Register notice with a 60-day public comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on April 5, 2021 (86 FR 17639). No comments were received.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we are again soliciting comments from the public and other Federal agencies on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

(1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: This information collection requirement is needed to provide assistance to qualified small mine operators under 30 U.S.C. 1257. The information requested will provide the regulatory authority with data to determine the eligibility of the applicant and the capability and expertise of laboratories to perform required tasks.

Title of Collection: Permanent Regulatory Program—Small Operator Assistance Program.

OMB Control Number: 1029–0061. Form Number: FS–6.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Businesses and state governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 4.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 4.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: Varies from 1 hour to 70 hours, depending on activity.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 93.

Respondent’s Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: One time.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: $0.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Mark J. Gehlhar, Information Collection Clearance Officer, Division of Regulatory Support.

[FR Doc. 2021–14234 Filed 7–1–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1247]

Certain Wireless Communications Equipment and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety; Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) terminating the investigation based on settlement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amanda P. Fishower, Office of the
The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant’s DEA registration. . . .’’ Id. At 2. According to the OSC, Registrant permanently and voluntarily surrendered its Ohio state pharmacy license “after its owner and primary operator, Brandon O’Callaghan, permanently and voluntarily surrendered his state pharmacist license” after testing positive for controlled substances in violation of a Board Order.” Id. The OSC concluded that because Registrant is “curiously without authority to handle controlled substances in Ohio, the state where [Registrant] is registered with DEA.” Id. The Government requests that the Administrator revoke Registrant’s DEA registration. Id. at 5.

The Government performed its RFAA, along with the evidentiary record, to this office on June 10, 2021. In its RFAA, the Government represents that “[Registrant] has not submitted a timely request for a hearing in this matter.” 1 RFAA, at 1.

The Government seeks to “revoke the [DEA COR] of [Registrant] because [Registrant] lacks authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Ohio, the state where [Registrant] is registered with DEA.” Id. The Government requests that the Administrator revoke Registrant’s DEA registration. Id. at 5.

Based on the DI’s Declaration, the Government’s written representations, and my review of the record, I find that the Government accomplished service of the OSC on Registrant on April 26, 2021. I also find that more than thirty days have now passed since the Government accomplished service of the OSC. Further, based on the Government’s written representations, I find that neither Registrant, nor anyone purporting to represent the Registrant, requested a hearing, submitted a written statement while waiving the right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. Id. at 2–3 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The OSC also notified Registrant of the opportunity to submit a corrective action plan. Id. at 3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

Adequacy of Service

In a Declaration dated June 8, 2021, a Diversion Investigator (hereinafter, the DI) assigned to the Charleston District Office, Louisville Field Division, stated that she and a Tactical Diversion Squad Group Supervisor traveled to the residence of Brandon O’Callaghan, the former owner and pharmacist for Spring Valley Family Pharmacy, in Winfield, West Virginia on April 26, 2021. Request for Final Agency Action, dated June 9, 2021 (hereinafter, RFAA), Exhibit (hereinafter, RFAA) 3 at 1. The DI stated that on that date, she “personally handed Mr. O’Callaghan a copy of the [OSC].” Id. The DI also stated that “Mr. O’Callaghan signed Form DEA–12 Receipt for Cash or Other Items, which indicated that he received a copy of the [OSC].” Id. In her Declaration, the DI included a true and correct copy of the DEA–12 that Mr. O’Callaghan signed. RFAA X 3, Appendix (hereinafter, App.) A.

The Government forwarded its RFAA, along with the evidentiary record, to this office on June 10, 2021. In its RFAA, the Government represents that “[Registrant] has not submitted a timely request for a hearing in this matter.” 1 RFAA, at 1.

The Government seeks to “revoke the [DEA COR] of [Registrant] because [Registrant] lacks authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Ohio, the state where [Registrant] is registered with DEA.” Id. The Government requests that the Administrator revoke Registrant’s DEA registration. Id. at 5.

Based on the DI’s Declaration, the Government’s written representations, and my review of the record, I find that the Government accomplished service of the OSC on Registrant on April 26, 2021. I also find that more than thirty days have now passed since the Government accomplished service of the OSC. Further, based on the Government’s written representations, I find that neither Registrant, nor anyone purporting to represent the Registrant, requested a hearing, submitted a written statement while waiving Registrant’s

1 The Government included a second DI Declaration, dated June 9, 2021, in its RFAA, which stated that “DEA has not received any correspondence from Spring Valley Family Pharmacy concerning the [OSC].” RFAA X 4, at 2.