SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will not enforce the security zones for Seattle’s Seafair Fleet Week moving vessels, Puget Sound, WA in July or August 2021. The Captain of the Port Sector Puget Sound has determined that enforcement of this regulation is not necessary because the event is cancelled.

DATES: The Coast Guard does not plan to enforce regulations in 33 CFR 165.1333 in July or August 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notification of non-enforcement, call or email Lieutenant Peter McAndrew, Sector Puget Sound Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 206–217–6051, email SectorPugetSoundWWM@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coast Guard normally enforces the Security Zones in 33 CFR 165.1333 for the Seattle Seafair Fleet Week moving vessels and parade of ships. This event is typically held annually during the parade of ships between July 25 and August 14. This year, the event organizers cancelled Seafair and Fleet Week. Therefore, the Coast Guard does not plan to enforce the security zones in 33 CFR 165.1333 in July or August 2021.

In addition to this notification of non-enforcement in the Federal Register, if the situation changes and the Captain of the Port Sector Puget Sound (COTP) determines that the regulated area needs to be enforced, the COTP will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners and provide actual notice of enforcement to any persons in the regulated area.

Dated: June 24, 2021.

W.E. Watson,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans.
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ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving the portions of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Texas to meet the Emissions Inventory (EI) requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act), for the Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW), Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB), and Bexar County ozone nonattainment areas for the 2015 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). EPA is approving this action pursuant to section 110 and part D of the CAA and EPA’s regulations.

DATES: This final rule is effective on July 29, 2021.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action Docket No. EPA–R06–OAR–2021–0177. All documents in the docket are listed on the https://www.regulations.gov. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through https://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nevine Salem, EPA Region 6 Office, Infrastructure and Ozone Section, 214–665–7222, nevine.salem@epa.gov. Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Region 6 office will be closed to the public to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID–19. Please call or email the contact listed above if you need alternative access to material indexed but not provided in the docket.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

I. Background

On March 26, 2021 (86 FR 16171), the EPA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) for the State of Texas, for approval of the State’s 2017 base year emissions inventories for the DFW, HGB, and the Bexar County marginal ozone nonattainment areas for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. The background for this action and rational for EPA’s proposed action are explained in the NPRM and will not be restated here.

II. Response to Comments

We received one anonymous comment that did not raise any substantive issues with the proposed rule. The comment did not explain (or provide any legal basis for) how the proposed action should differ in any way. We determined that the comment is not germane to the final rulemaking and therefore requires no further response. We also noted a typographical error in the NPRM—the numbers in Tables 1, 2 and 3 that summarize the 2017 NOx and VOC emissions inventory...