TEXAS
Harris County
Hollyfield Laundry and Cleaners, 1731
Westheimer Rd., Houston, 77006770
Nomination submitted by Federal
Preservation Officer:
The State Historic Preservation
Officer reviewed the following
nomination and responded to the
Federal Preservation Officer within 45
days of receipt of the nomination and
supports listing the property in the
National Register of Historic Places.

MISSOURI
Jackson County
Federal Office Building, 601 East 12th St.,
Kansas City, 641066773
Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part
60.
Sherry A. Frear,
Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION
[Investigation No. 337–TA–1268]
Certain Capacitive Touch Sensing
Systems, Capacitive Touch Sensing
Controllers, Microcontrollers With
Capacitive Touch Sensing
Functionality, and Components
Thereof; Institution of Investigation
AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a
complaint was filed with the U.S.
International Trade Commission on May
24, 2021, under section 337 of the Tariff
Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of
Neodron Ltd. of Ireland. The complaint
alleges violations of section 337 based
upon the importation into the United
States, the sale for importation, and/or
the sale within the United States after
importation of certain capacitive touch
sensing systems, capacitive touch
sensing controllers, and
microcontrollers with capacitive touch
sensing functionality, and components
thereof by reason of infringement of
certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos.
8,432,173 ("the '173 patent"); U.S.
Patent No. 8,749,251 ("the '251 patent");
U.S. Patent No. 9,372,580 ("the '580
patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 9,024,790
("the '790 patent"). The complaint
further alleges that an industry in the
United States exists as required by the
applicable Federal Statute. The
complainant requests that the
Commission institute an investigation
and, after the investigation, issue a
limited exclusion order and cease and
desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for
any confidential information contained
therein, may be viewed on the
Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS)
at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help
accessing EDIS, please email
EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired
individuals are advised that information
on this matter can be obtained by
contacting the Commission’s TDD
terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons
with mobility impairments who will
need special assistance in gaining access
to the Commission should contact the
Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–
2000. General information concerning
the Commission may also be obtained
by accessing its internet server at

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Pathecia M. Proctor, The Office of
Unfair Import Investigations, U.S.
International Trade Commission,
telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Authority: The authority for
institution of this investigation is
contained in section 337 of the Tariff
Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C.
1337, and in section 210.10 of the
Commission’s Rules of Practice and

Scope of Investigation: Having
considered the complaint, the U.S.
International Trade Commission, on
June 23, 2021, Ordered that—
(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of
section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930,
as amended, an investigation be
instituted to determine whether there is
a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of
section 337 in the importation into the
United States, the sale for
importation, or the sale within the
United States after importation of
certain products identified in paragraph
(2) by reason of infringement of one or
more of claims 1–19 of the '173 patents;
claims 1–20 of the '251 patent;
claims 1, 2, 4–6, 8–10, and 12 of the
'580 patent; and claims 1, 4–8, 10–14,
and 16–24 of the '790 patent, and whether
an industry in the United States exists as
required by subsection (a)(2) of
section 337;
(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of
the Commission’s Rules of Practice and
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the
plain language description of the
accused products or category of
accused products which defines the
scope of the investigation, is “capacitive
touch sensing systems, capacitive touch
sensing controllers, microcontrollers
with capacitive touch sensing
functionality, and components thereof”;
(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule
210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the
presiding administrative law judge shall
take evidence or other information and
hear arguments from the parties or other
interested persons with respect to the
public interest in this investigation, as
appropriate, and provide the
Commission with findings of fact and a
recommended determination on this
issue, which shall be limited to the
statutory public interest factors set forth
in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);
(4) For the purpose of the
investigation so instituted, the following
are hereby named as parties upon which
this notice of investigation shall be
served:
(a) The complainant is: Neodron Ltd.,
Unit 4–5, Burton Hall Road, Sandfypo
Dublin 18, D18A094, Ireland.
(b) The respondents are the following
entities alleged to be in violation of
section 337, and are the parties upon
which the complaint is to be served:
STMicroelectronics N.V., 39 Chemin du
Champ-des-Filles, Plan-Les-Ouates,
Geneva, CH 1228, Switzerland
STMicroelectronics, Inc., 39 Chemin du
Champ-des-Filles, Plan-Les-Ouates,
Geneva, CH 1228, Switzerland
STMicroelectronics (North America),
Holding, Inc., 39 Chemin du Champ-
des-Filles, Plan-Les-Ouates, Geneva,
CH 1228, Switzerland
Cypress Semiconductor Corp., 198
Champion Court, San Jose, California
95134
Renesas Electronics Corp., Toyosu
Foreia, 3–2–24 Toyosu, Koto-ku,
Tokyo 135–0061, Japan
Renesas Electronics America Inc., 1001
Murphy Ranch Road, Milpitas, CA
95035
Renesas Technology America, Inc., 1001
Murphy Ranch Road, Milpitas, CA
95035
(c) The Office of Unfair Import
Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite
401, Washington, DC 20436; and
(5) For the investigation so instituted,
the Chief Administrative Law Judge,
U.S. International Trade Commission,
shall designate the presiding
Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the
notice of investigation must be
submitted by the named respondents in
accordance with section 210.13 of the
Commission’s Rules of Practice and
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to
19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as
amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19,
2020), such responses will be
The Commission has determined to review the FID in part and requested briefing from the parties on certain issues under review. Specifically, the Commission determined to review the FID’s findings and conclusions as to: (1) The alleged misappropriation of TS 10, 15, and 28; (2) Respondents’ alleged tortious interference with Complainants’ prospective business advantages; and (3) domestic industry and injury. The Commission also sought briefing from the parties, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties on remedy, bonding, and the public interest.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the FID, Complainants’ petition for review, the responses thereto, and the written submissions in response to the Commission’s request for briefing, the Commission finds that no violation of section 337 has occurred. Specifically, the Commission affirms, with modified reasoning, the FID’s findings that Respondents did not misappropriate any trade secret, did not engage in false advertising, and did not tortiously interfere with Complainants’ prospective business relationships. The Commission has also determined to take no position regarding the FID’s domestic industry and injury findings. The investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on June 23, 2021.


By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 23, 2021.

Lisa Barton.
Secretary to the Commission.