(n) A conveyance used as part of a mobile NTP may only be supplied with narcotic drugs by the registered NTP that operates such conveyance. Persons permitted to dispense controlled substances to mobile NTPs shall not:
(1) Receive controlled substances from other mobile NTPs or any other entity;
(2) Deliver controlled substances to other mobile NTPs or any other entity; or
(3) Conduct reverse distribution of controlled substances on a mobile NTP.

PART 1304—RECORDS AND REPORTS OF REGISTRANTS

§ 1304.03. [Corrected]

§ 1304.04 [Amended]

§ 1304.22 without reference to

maintained in compliance with

registered site of the NTP, and will be

the case of a mobile NTP, at the

(a) of this section will be maintained in

(b) The records required by paragraph

campaign of producing a hard copy

(ii) The NTP or its mobile component

printout of the program’s dispensing

printing a hard copy of each day’s

(1) As an alternative to maintaining a

definition, a computerized data

automated/computerized data

mobile component may also use an

paper dispensing log, an NTP or its

registered site of a mobile NTP.

PART 1304—RECORDS AND

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 821, 827, 831, 871(b), 958(e)–(g), and 965, unless otherwise noted.

§ 1304.04 [Amended]

8. In § 1304.04, amend paragraph (f) introductory text by adding “mobile narcotic treatment program,” after “exporter”.

§ 1304.24 [Corrected]

(a) Each person registered or authorized (by § 1301.22 of this chapter) to maintain and/or detoxify controlled substance users in a narcotic treatment program (NTP), including a mobile NTP, shall maintain records with the following information for each narcotic controlled substance:

(1) Name of substance;
(2) Strength of substance;
(3) Dosage form;
(4) Date dispensed;
(5) Adequate identification of patient (consumer);
(6) Amount consumed;
(7) Amount and dosage form taken home by patient; and
(8) Dispenser’s initials.

(b) The records required by paragraph (a) of this section will be maintained in a dispensing log at the NTP site, or in the case of a mobile NTP, at the registered site of the NTP, and will be maintained in compliance with § 1304.22 without reference to § 1304.03.

1. On page 32213, in the third column, line 47 from the top, in § 45.11, the second paragraph (g)(5) and paragraphs (g)(6) and (7) that follow are redesignated as (g)(6) through (8).

D. Christopher Evans,
Acting Administrator.
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economic growth in the vicinity of the bridge.

DATES: This rule is effective July 28, 2021.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to https://www.regulations.gov. Type USCG–2020–0694 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email LT Clark W. Sanford, U.S. Coast Guard, Sector Saint Petersburg Waterways Management Division; telephone 727–824–7506, email Clark.W.Sanford@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
OMB Office of Management and Budget
NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
§ Section
S U.S.C United States Code
FL Florida
TD Test Deviation
FDOT Florida Department of Transportation

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

On December 18, 2020 the Coast Guard published a Test Deviation entitled Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Madeira Beach, FL in the Federal Register (85 FR 82355). The TD invited comments on the proposed rule change. One comment was received during the test period which was addressed in the NPRM.

On April 30, 2021, the Coast Guard published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, with a request for comments, entitled “Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Madeira Beach, FL” in the Federal Register (85 FR 22911). There we stated why we issued the NPRM, and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to this regulatory change. During the comment period that ended May 15, 2021, we received one comment and that comment is addressed in Section IV of this Final Rule.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority 33 U.S.C. 499. The City of Madeira Beach, Florida has requested the current operating schedule be modified due to the increased economic growth and vehicle traffic in the area, as well as a school located in close proximity to the bridge. The bridge owner, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), is in support of the proposed changes.

The Welch Causeway (SR 699) Bridge across the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, mile 122.8, at Madeira Beach, Florida is a double-leaf bascule bridge with a 25 foot vertical clearance at mean high water in the closed position and an 89 foot horizontal clearance between fenders. The normal operating schedule for the bridge is found in 33 CFR 117.287(b). Navigation on the waterway is commercial and recreational.

IV. Discussion of Comments, Changes and the Final Rule

The Coast Guard is changing the operating schedule that governs the Welch Causeway (SR 699) Bridge, mile 122.8 at Madeira Beach, Florida. The bridge currently operates on demand, and will continue to open on demand with the following exception; from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. daily, except Federal holidays, the draw need only open on the hour and half hour.

One comment was received. The commenter felt the bridge should remain on demand, not limit when vessels can pass and that better vehicle traffic control would solve any traffic congestion. Due to the increase in vehicle traffic, the Coast Guard has determined that placing the bridge on a schedule will alleviate some congestion while still meeting the reasonable needs of navigation. Additionally, vessels able to pass beneath the bridge without an opening may do so at any time.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive Orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive Orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protesters.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This regulatory action determination is based on the ability that vessels can still transit the bridge given advanced notice.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard received zero comments from the Small Business Administration on this rule. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the bridge may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Government

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship
between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning Policy COMDTINST 5090.1 (series) which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f). The Coast Guard has determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule promulgates the operating regulations or procedures for drawbridges and is categorically excluded from further review, under paragraph L49, of Chapter 3, Table 3–1 of the U.S. Coast Guard Environmental Planning Implementation Procedures.

Neither a Record of Environmental Consideration nor a Memorandum for the Record are required for this rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protestors are asked to contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05–1; and Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Amend § 117.287 by revising paragraph (h) to read as follows:

§ 117.287 Gulf Intracoastal Waterway.

(h) The draw of the Welch Causeway (SR 699) Bridge, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway mile 122.8, at Madeira Beach, Florida, shall open on signal; except that, from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. daily, except Federal holidays, the draw need only open on the hour and half hour.

Dated: June 21, 2021.

Eric C. Jones,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander Seventh Coast Guard District.
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Seafair Air Show Performance, Seattle, WA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notification of non-enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will not enforce the safety zone for the Seafair Air Show Performance in Lake Washington, Seattle, WA in July and August 2021. The Coast Guard determined that enforcement of this regulation is not necessary because the event has been cancelled.

DATES: The Coast Guard does not plan to enforce regulations in 33 CFR 165.1319 in July and August 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notification of non-enforcement, call or email Lieutenant Peter McAndrew,