

Exporter or producer	Final results of review weighted-average dumping margin ¹¹	Final results of remand redetermination weighted-average dumping margin ¹²
Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi Ve Ticaret A.S./Borusan Mannesmann Pipe U.S. Inc	8.48	4.06

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because Borusan has a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from liquidating entries that: Were produced and/or exported by Borusan and were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period May 1, 2017, through April 30, 2018. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT’s ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced and exported by Borusan in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,¹³ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 21, 2021.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2021–13591 Filed 6–24–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

¹¹ See Memorandum, “Analysis for the Amended Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. and Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.,” dated February 28, 2020.

¹² See Borusan’s Final Remand Results Analysis Memorandum.

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–469–823]

Utility Scale Wind Towers From Spain: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that imports of utility scale wind towers (wind towers) from Spain are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation is July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020.

DATES: Applicable June 25, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benito Ballesteros or Christopher Maciuba, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7425 or (202) 482–0413, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 2, 2021, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** its affirmative preliminary determination in the LTFV investigation of wind towers from Spain.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*. A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for this final determination, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

¹ See *Utility Scale Wind Towers from Spain: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 86 FR 17354 (April 2, 2021) (*Preliminary Determination*).

² See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Utility Scale Wind Towers from Spain,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are wind towers from Spain. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I of this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as Appendix II. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Verification

As stated in the *Preliminary Determination*, after being selected as the mandatory respondent, Vestas Eolica S.A.U. (Vestas) discontinued its participation in this investigation. Accordingly, Commerce based the *Preliminary Determination* entirely on the application of facts available with adverse inferences (AFA), and did not conduct verification under section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Use of Adverse Facts Available

In the *Preliminary Determination*, Commerce found that Vestas failed to participate to the best of its ability in this investigation. We also found six other companies did not cooperate in this investigation by failing to provide a timely response to Commerce’s quantity and value (Q&V) questionnaires. These companies are: Acciona Windpower S.A.; Gamesa Energy Transmission; Haizea Wind Group; Kuzar Systems, S.L.; Proyecto Integrales y Logísticos S.A.A. (Proinlosa); and Windar Revonables. Therefore, in the *Preliminary Determination*, pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, we assigned these companies dumping margins based on total AFA. In applying

total AFA, we assigned an estimated weighted-average dumping margin of 73.00 percent, the sole dumping margin alleged in the Petition,³ which is the only dumping margin information on the record of this investigation, and which Commerce corroborated to the extent practicable within the meaning of section 776(c) of the Act.

With respect to Proinlosa, in light of information provided following the *Preliminary Determination*, we determine that Proinlosa attempted to contact Commerce in a timely manner regarding the Q&V questionnaire in an effort to timely submit its Q&V questionnaire response. Accordingly, having considered the facts and circumstances surrounding Proinlosa's Q&V response, we no longer find that application of total AFA is appropriate with respect to Proinlosa. For further discussion of our decision concerning Proinlosa, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For all other companies, *i.e.*, Vestas and the five companies that failed to respond to Commerce's Q&V questionnaire, we continue to find the application of total AFA, pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, is warranted.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have modified our treatment of Proinlosa.

All-Others Rate

As discussed in the *Preliminary Determination*, Commerce based the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for all other producers and exporters on the only dumping margin alleged in the Petition, pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act. We made no changes to this rate for this final determination.

Final Determination

The final estimated weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/producer	Dumping margin (percent)
Vestas Eolica S.A.U	73.00
Acciona Windpower S.A	73.00
Gamesa Energy Trans- mission	73.00
Haizea Wind Group	73.00
Kuzar Systems, S.L	73.00
Windar Renovables	73.00
All Others	73.00

³ See Petitioner's Letter, "Utility Scale Wind Towers from India, Malaysia and Spain: Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties," dated September 30, 2020 (Petition).

Disclosure

The estimated weighted-average dumping margins assigned to the mandatory respondent and non-responsive companies in this investigation are based on total AFA. These rates are based on information from the Petition, and are unchanged from the *Preliminary Determination*. Accordingly, there are no calculations to disclose for this final determination.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, for this final determination, we will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of wind towers from Spain, as described in Appendix I of this notice, which are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 2, 2021, the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the affirmative *Preliminary Determination*.

Pursuant to section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(d), we will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit for such entries of merchandise equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin as follows: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies listed in the table above will be equal to the company-specific estimated weighted-average dumping margin identified for that company; (2) if the exporter is not a company identified above, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin established for that producer of the subject merchandise; and (3) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will be equal to the all-others estimated weighted-average dumping margin.

These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of the final affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Because Commerce's final determination is affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation of wind towers from Spain no later than 45 days after this final

determination. If the ITC determines that such injury does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated, and all cash deposits will be refunded. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, Commerce will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by Commerce, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation, as discussed above in the "Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation" section.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: June 14, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation consists of certain wind towers, whether or not tapered, and sections thereof. Certain wind towers support the nacelle and rotor blades in a wind turbine with a minimum rated electrical power generation capacity in excess of 100 kilowatts and with a minimum height of 50 meters measured from the base of the tower to the bottom of the nacelle (*i.e.*, where the top of the tower and nacelle are joined) when fully assembled.

A wind tower section consists of, at a minimum, multiple steel plates rolled into cylindrical or conical shapes and welded together (or otherwise attached) to form a steel shell, regardless of coating, end-finish, painting, treatment, or method of manufacture, and with or without flanges, doors, or internal or external components (*e.g.*, flooring/decking, ladders, lifts, electrical buss boxes, electrical cabling, conduit, cable harness for nacelle generator, interior lighting, tool and storage lockers) attached to the wind tower section. Several wind tower sections are normally required to form a completed wind tower.

Wind towers and sections thereof are included within the scope whether or not

they are joined with non-subject merchandise, such as nacelles or rotor blades, and whether or not they have internal or external components attached to the subject merchandise.

Specifically excluded from the scope are nacelles and rotor blades, regardless of whether they are attached to the wind tower. Also excluded are any internal or external components which are not attached to the wind towers or sections thereof, unless those components are shipped with the tower sections.

Merchandise covered by this investigation is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheading 7308.20.0020 or 8502.31.0000. Wind towers of iron or steel are classified under HTSUS 7308.20.0020 when imported separately as a tower or tower section(s). Wind towers may be classified under HTSUS 8502.31.0000 when imported as combination goods with a wind turbine (*i.e.*, accompanying nacelles and/or rotor blades). While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Investigation
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Determination*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Have Selected Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy (SGRE) as a Mandatory Respondent
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should List All Non-Responsive Companies in the **Federal Register** Notice
- VI. Recommendation
[FR Doc. 2021-13547 Filed 6-24-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-840]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from India is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) February 1, 2019, through January 31, 2020.

DATES: Effective June 25, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Adam Simons or Ajay Menon, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6172 or (202) 482-1992, respectively.

Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on shrimp from India. The review covers 155 producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination: RSA Marines and HN Indigos. The POR is February 1, 2019, through January 31, 2020. On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days.¹ On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days.² In January 2021, we extended these preliminary results of this review to no later than June 18, 2021.³ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁴

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain frozen warmwater shrimp.⁵ The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 0306.17.00.03,

¹ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19,” dated April 24, 2020.

² See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,” dated July 21, 2020.

³ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of the 2019–2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated January 26, 2021. However, on June 17, 2021, the President signed into law the Juneteenth National Independence Day Act, making June 19 a Federal holiday. *See* Juneteenth National Independence Day Act, S. 475, Public Law 117–17 (2021). Because the Federal holiday fell on a Saturday, it was observed on Friday, June 18, 2021. Where a deadline falls on a weekend or Federal holiday, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. *See Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for these preliminary results is on June 21, 2021.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁵ For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see* Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.40, 1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that weighted-average dumping margins exist for the respondents for the period February 1, 2019, through January 31, 2020, as follows:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
RSA Marines	4.73
HN Indigos	11.36
Companies Not Selected for Individual Review ⁶	7.57

Review-Specific Average Rate for Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

The exporters or producers not selected for individual review are listed in Appendix II.

⁶ This rate is based on the weighted-average of the margins calculated for those companies selected for individual review using the publicly-ranged U.S. quantities. Because we cannot apply our normal methodology of calculating a weighted-average margin due to requests to protect business proprietary information, we find this rate to be the best proxy of the actual weighted-average margin