SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove a Proposed Rule Change To List and Trade Shares of the VanEck Bitcoin Trust Under BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4), Commodity-Based Trust Shares

June 16, 2021.

On March 1, 2021, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“BZX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 a proposed rule change to list and trade shares (“Shares”) of the VanEck Bitcoin Trust (“Trust”) under BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4), Commodity-Based Trust Shares. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on March 19, 2021.3

On April 28, 2021, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, 4 the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.5 This order institutes proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act 6 to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

I. Summary of the Proposal

As described in more detail in the Notice,7 the Exchange proposes to list and trade the Shares of the Trust under BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4), which governs the listing and trading of Commodity-Based Trust Shares on the Exchange.

The investment objective of the Trust would be for the Shares to reflect the performance of the MVIS CryptoCompare Bitcoin Benchmark Rate (“Benchmark”), less the expenses of the Trust’s operations.8 The Benchmark will be used to calculate the Trust’s net asset value (“NAV”). The Benchmark is designed to be a price for bitcoin in USD and there is no component other than bitcoin in the Benchmark. The current platform composition of the Benchmark is Bitstamp, Coinbase, Gemini, itBit and Kraken. In calculating the Benchmark, the methodology captures trade prices and sizes from platforms and examines twenty three-minute periods leading up to 4:00 p.m. E.T. It then calculates an equal-weighted average of the volume-weighted median price of these twenty three-minute periods, removing the highest and lowest contributed prices.9

Each Share will represent a fractional undivided beneficial interest in the Trust’s net assets. The Trust’s assets will consist of bitcoin held by the Custodian on behalf of the Trust. The Trust generally does not intend to hold cash or cash equivalents. However, there may be situations where the Trust will unexpectedly hold cash on a temporary basis.10

The Administrator will determine the NAV and NAV per Share of the Trust on each day that the Exchange is open for regular trading, as promptly as practical after 4:00 p.m. E.T. The NAV of the Trust is the aggregate value of the Trust’s assets less its estimated accrued but unpaid liabilities (which include accrued expenses). In determining the Trust’s NAV, the Administrator values the bitcoin held by the Trust based on the price set by the Benchmark as of 4:00 p.m. E.T.11

The Administrator will determine the NAV and NAV per Share of the Trust on each day that the Exchange is open for regular trading, as promptly as practical after 4:00 p.m. E.T. The NAV of the Trust is the aggregate value of the Trust’s assets less its estimated accrued but unpaid liabilities (which include accrued expenses). In determining the Trust’s NAV, the Administrator values the bitcoin held by the Trust based on the price set by the Benchmark as of 4:00 p.m. E.T.11

The Trust will provide information regarding the Trust’s bitcoin holdings, as well as an Intraday Indicative Value (“IIV”) per Share updated every 15 seconds, as calculated by the Exchange for a third-party financial data provider during the Exchange’s Regular Trading Hours (9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. E.T.). The IIV will be calculated by using the prior day’s closing NAV per Share as a base and updating that value during Regular Trading Hours to reflect changes in the value of the Trust’s bitcoin holdings during the trading day.12

When the Trust sells or redeems its Shares, it will do so in “in-kind” transactions in blocks of 50,000 Shares at the Trust’s NAV. Authorized participants will deliver, or facilitate the delivery of, bitcoin to the Trust’s account with the Custodian in exchange for Shares when they purchase Shares, and the Trust, through the Custodian, will deliver bitcoin to such authorized participants when they redeem Shares with the Trust.13

II. Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove SR–CboeBZX–2021–019 and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act 14 to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved. Institution of proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the proposed rule change, as discussed below.

Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, as described below, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide comments on the proposed rule change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act, 15 the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. The Commission is instituting proceedings to allow for additional analysis of the proposed rule change’s consistency with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be “designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices” and “to protect investors and the public interest.” 16

The Commission asks that commenters address the sufficiency of the Exchange’s statements in support of the proposal, which are set forth in the Notice, 17 in addition to any other comments they may wish to submit about the proposed rule change. In particular, the Commission seeks comment on the following questions and asks commenters to submit data where appropriate to support their views:

1. What are commenters’ views on whether the proposed Trust and Shares would be susceptible to manipulation?

13 See id. at 14995.
15 Id.
17 See Notice, supra note 3.
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6 See id. at 14995–996.
7 See id. at 14995.
8 See id. at 14995.
9 See id. at 14995.
10 See id. at 14995–996.
11 See id. at 14995.
12 See id.
13 See id. at 14995.
15 Id.
17 See Notice, supra note 3.
What are commenters’ views generally on whether the Exchange’s proposal is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices? What are commenters’ views generally with respect to the liquidity and transparency of the bitcoin markets, the bitcoin markets’ susceptibility to manipulation, and thus the suitability of bitcoin as an underlying asset for an exchange-traded product?

2. What are commenters’ views of the Exchange’s assertion that regulatory and financial landscapes relating to bitcoin and other digital assets have changed significantly since 2016? Are the changes that the Exchange identifies sufficient to support the determination that the proposed listing and trading of the Shares are consistent with the Act?

3. The Exchange states that “approving this proposal . . . [would] allow U.S. investors with access to bitcoin in a regulated and transparent exchange-traded vehicle that would act to limit risk” associated with retail exposure through other means. Further, the Exchange asserts that “the manipulation concerns previously articulated by the Commission are sufficiently mitigated to the point that they are outweighed by quantifiable investor protection issues.” What are commenters’ views regarding such an assertion?

4. According to the Exchange, “[n]early every measurable metric related to [Chicago Mercantile Exchange’s] Bitcoin Futures has trended consistently up since launch and/or accelerated upward in the past year.” Based on data provided and the academic research cited by the Exchange, do commenters agree that the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (“CME”) now represents a regulated market of significant size? What are commenters’ views on whether there is a reasonable likelihood that a person attempting to manipulate the Shares would also have to trade on CME to manipulate the Shares? What of the Exchange’s assertion that the combination of (a) CME bitcoin futures leading price discovery; (b) the overall size of the bitcoin market; and (c) the ability for market participants to buy or sell large amounts of bitcoin without significant market impact helps to prevent the Shares from becoming the predominant force on pricing in either the bitcoin spot or CME bitcoin futures markets?

5. What are commenters’ views on the Exchange’s statement, generally, that bitcoin is resistant to price manipulation and that other means to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices exist to justify dispensing with the requisite surveillance sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size related to bitcoin?

III. Procedure: Request for Written Comments

The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data, and arguments with respect to the issues identified above, as well as any other concerns they may have with the proposal. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) or any other provision of the Act, and the rules and regulations thereunder. Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval that would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b–4, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation. Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding whether the proposal should be approved or disapproved by July 14, 2021. Any person who wishes to file a rebuttal to any other person’s submission must file that rebuttal by July 28, 2021. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–CboeBZX–2021–019 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CboeBZX–2021–019. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CboeBZX–2021–019 and should be submitted by July 14, 2021. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by July 28, 2021.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

J. Matthew DeLesDernier, Assistant Secretary.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Provide Enhanced Clarity for Deadlines and Processing Times

June 16, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on June 8, 2021, The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. DTC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(4) thereunder. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change of DTC is attached hereto as Exhibit 5, as described in greater detail below.

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The proposed rule change would amend the Service Guides and the OA to provide enhanced clarity around (i) deadlines, timeframes, and cutoffs established by DTC in connection with DTC actions ("DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines"), and (ii) the times and timeframes for DTC actions and processes relating to DTC services ("DTC Processing Times"). In particular, the proposed rule change would enhance the transparency around the ability of DTC to extend DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines, and around DTC Processing Times, which are standards, rather than deadlines, as further described below.

(i) DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines

The Service Guides provide Participants with procedures and information pertaining to DTC settlement and asset services. The procedures and information include, among other things, descriptions of DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines for Participant and stakeholder action relating to DTC services. The OA is designed to provide Participants and other stakeholders with information and procedures related to DTC eligibility for securities, and to provide the requirements for, among other things, the orderly processing of securities, corporate actions, and distributions. The OA includes descriptions of DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines in connection with the requirements and services.

The purpose of DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines is to help DTC efficiently and effectively manage its services and systems, in order to timely process instructions and securities transactions at DTC. However, there are times when, due to the facts and circumstances of a particular situation, DTC determines to extend a DTC-established Stakeholder Deadline. The situations can include, but are not limited to, a Participant operational issue or a change to a different deadline (whether DTC or external) that could affect the ability of one or more Participants to meet the DTC-established Stakeholder Deadline.

(ii) DTC Processing Times

The Service Guides and the OA also describe DTC Processing Times in connection with certain services. The purpose of describing these DTC Processing Times is to provide Participants and other stakeholders with information about the typical timing or timeframe of a DTC action or process, in order to help Participants and other stakeholders to more efficiently and effectively use and understand DTC’s services and processes. For example, if a Service Guide states that the processing time for a particular service is typically two business days, the Participant will understand that it is unlikely that it would get same-day turnaround from DTC and can plan accordingly, for instance, by ensuring that it submits its transaction with adequate lead-time.

(iii) Overview of Proposed Rule Change

DTC believes that Participants and other stakeholders benefit from clear information about their rights and obligations relating to DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines and DTC Processing Times so that they are able to plan and conduct their business and securities transactions more effectively. Recent events, such as the COVID–19 pandemic and market volatility, have emphasized the need for flexibility in times of stress and the importance of transparency with respect to deadlines and timeframes. Accordingly, after reviewing the Service Guides and the OA, DTC is proposing to enhance the transparency around the DTC-established Stakeholder Deadlines and DTC Processing Times that are described in the Service Guides and the OA.

Therefore, DTC is proposing to amend the Service Guides and the OA to clarify that (i) DTC may extend any DTC-established Stakeholder Deadline, including, without limitation, to (x) address operational or other delays that could reasonably affect the ability of DTC, a Participant, or other stakeholder from meeting the DTC-established