INFORMATION CONTACT section of this preamble for more information).

IX. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a).

Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law.

For that reason, this action:

• Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001); and

• Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA.

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. The proposed rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

Executive Order 12898 (Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, 59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) directs federal agencies to identify and address “disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects” of their actions on minority populations and low-income populations to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law. We are proposing to approve state rules as meeting the CAA standard for RACT, which EPA has defined as the lowest emission limitation that a particular source is capable of meeting by the application of control technology that is reasonably available considering technological and economic feasibility. Accordingly, we propose to determine that this rule, if finalized, will not have disproportionately high or adverse human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Greenhouse gases, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: June 11, 2021.

Debra H. Thomas,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 2021–12875 Filed 6–21–21; 8:45 am]
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Expanding Flexible Use of the 12.2–12.7 GHz Band

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule, extension of reply comment period.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission grants the request by SpaceX Holdings, LLC, WorldVu Satellites Limited, Kepler Communications, Intelsat License LLC, and SES S.A., for an extension of the reply comment deadline for the proposed rule published in the Federal Register.

DATES: The reply comment period for the proposed rule published April 16, 2021, at 86 FR 20111, is extended. Reply comments should be received either on or before July 7, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by WT Docket No. 20–443 and GN Docket No. 17–183, by any of the following methods:

• Electronic Filers: Comments may be filed electronically using the internet by accessing the ECFS: https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs.

• Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number.

Filings can be sent by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

• Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.

• U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.

• Effective March 19, 2020, and until further notice, the Commission no longer accepts any hand or messenger delivered filings. This is a temporary measure taken to help protect the health and safety of individuals, and to mitigate the transmission of COVID–19.

During the time the Commission’s building is closed to the general public and until further notice, if more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of a proceeding, paper filers need not submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number; an original and one copy are sufficient.

People with Disabilities: To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (tty).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madelaine Major of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Broadband Division, at 202–418–1466 or Madelaine.Major@fcc.gov; or Simon Banyai of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Broadband Division, at 202–418–1443 or Simon.Banyai@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s Order in
WT Docket No. 20–443; DA 21–649, adopted and released on June 3, 2021. The full text of this document visit FCC’s website at https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-21-649A1.pdf or via the FCC’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) website at http://www.fcc.gov/ecfs. (Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Microsoft Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat.) Alternative formats are available for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), by sending an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or calling the Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

I. Background

1. On January 15, 2021, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) seeking input on the feasibility of allowing flexible-use services in the 12.2–12.7 GHz band (12 GHz band) while protecting incumbents from harmful interference. In response to a motion filed by CCIA, et al., for an extension of time to file comments and replies to the NPRM, the Bureau released an Order on March 29, 2021, allowing an additional 30 days to file comments and replies (Extension Order). The Bureau agreed with the parties that a 30-day extension was “warranted to provide commenters with additional time to prepare comments and reply comments that fully respond to the complex economic, engineering, and policy issues raised in the NPRM.” The Bureau, however, declined two requests by SpaceX Holdings, LLC (“SpaceX”), WorldVu Satellites Limited (“OneWeb”), Kepler Communications, Intelsat License LLC, and SES S.A. (the “12 GHz Alliance”) to indefinitely suspend the initial comment deadline until RS Access, LLC (RS Access) provided certain technical analyses.

2. On May 24, 2021, the 12 GHz Alliance filed the instant request for a 30-day extension of the Reply Comment deadline of June 7, 2021. The 12 GHz Alliance seeks additional time to adequately review and respond to “voluminous—nearly 300 pages in comments and exhibits between just [RS Access, LLC and DISH Network Corporation].” In response, the 5Gfor12GHz Coalition opposes the instant Reply Extension Request on various grounds. The 5Gfor12GHz Coalition argues that the complex and voluminous nature of the comments do not form the extension beyond the normal comment and reply schedule expected of routine technical proceedings that involve complex filings. Furthermore, the 5Gfor12GHz Coalition asserts that the previously granted extension of the comment deadline by the Commission in this proceeding provided ample additional time for stakeholders to prepare and submit the necessary technical and economic analyses, and that any further delay will hamper 5G deployment in this band to U.S. consumers.

3. The Commission grants the Reply Extension Request filed by the 12 GHz Alliance. As set forth in Section 1.46 of the Commission’s rules, the Commission does not routinely grant extensions of time for initial comments in rulemaking proceedings. Nevertheless, the Commission previously found good cause for granting a 30-day extension of the original comment period to provide commenters with additional time to prepare initial comments addressing the complex economic, engineering, and policy issues raised in the NPRM. The Commission now finds that a 30-day extension of the reply comment period—until July 7, 2021—is justified for the same reasons, in order to give parties ample time to respond to the complex economic, engineering, and policy issues raised in the initial comments to the NPRM. The Commission notes that the precedent for denying the Reply Extension Request cited by the 5Gfor12GHz Coalition is unpersuasive in this case.

II. Ordering Clause

4. Accordingly, it is ordered that, pursuant to section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §131, §331, and 1.46 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 0.131, 0.331, and 1.46, the Reply Extension Request filed by SpaceX Holdings, LLC, WorldVu Satellites Limited, Kepler Communications, Intelsat License LLC, and SES S.A., on May 24, 2021 IS

---

3 See Expanding Flexible Use of the 12.2–12.7 GHz Band, et al., WT Docket No. 20–443, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 36 FCC Rcd 606 (2021) (NPRM). The comment and reply comment deadlines were set at 30 and 60 days after publication in the Federal Register. Publication occurred on March 8, 2021, which made the original deadlines April 7, 2021, and May 7, 2021, respectively. See Federal Communications Commission, Expanding Flexible Use of the 12.2–12.7 GHz Band, 86 FR 13266 (Mar. 8, 2021).


1 See Expanding Flexible Use of the 12.2–12.7 GHz Band, et al., WT Docket No. 20–443, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 36 FCC Rcd 606 (2021) (NPRM). The comment and reply comment deadlines were set at 30 and 60 days after publication in the Federal Register. Publication occurred on March 8, 2021, which made the original deadlines April 7, 2021, and May 7, 2021, respectively. See Federal Communications Commission, Expanding Flexible Use of the 12.2–12.7 GHz Band, 86 FR 13266 (Mar. 8, 2021).

4 Extension Order at para. 3.


7 Reply Extension Request at 3.


9 See Expanding Flexible Use of the 12.2–12.7 GHz Band, et al., WT Docket No. 20–443, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 36 FCC Rcd 606 (2021) (NPRM). The comment and reply comment deadlines were set at 30 and 60 days after publication in the Federal Register. Publication occurred on March 8, 2021, which made the original deadlines April 7, 2021, and May 7, 2021, respectively. See Federal Communications Commission, Expanding Flexible Use of the 12.2–12.7 GHz Band, 86 FR 13266 (Mar. 8, 2021).

10 See 5Gfor12GHz Coalition Opposition at 4.

11 47 CFR 1.46.

12 In making this finding, the Commission gives no credit to the 12 GHz Alliance’s suggestion that the studies that RS Access and DISH submitted with their timely-filed comments were somehow “belated submissions . . . to limit other interested parties’ ability to review and [reply].” Reply Extension Request at 3. See generally 5Gfor12GHz Coalition Opposition at 3, Letter from Trey Hanbury, Counsel to RS Access, LLC, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, at 2 (the notion that timely receipt of a party’s initial comments in a Commission rulemaking proceeding precludes other parties’ meaningful participation in that proceeding defies logical explanation.) (Apr. 28, 2021).

13 The Ultra-Wideband Extension Denial cited by the 5Gfor12GHz Coalition, see supra note 9, involved a decision declining to extend the 30-day extension of the original comment and reply comment deadlines in response to a public notice for a petition for rulemaking—a step preliminary to initiation of a rulemaking proceeding. By comparison, the pleading cycle in the instant proceeding is in response to the NPRM, and the Bureau previously found a 30-day extension of the original comment deadline was justified in this case.
5. It is further ordered that the deadline to file reply comments in this proceeding is extended to July 7, 2021.

Federal Communications Commission.

Amy Brett,
Acting Chief of Staff, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2021–12947 Filed 6–21–21; 8:45 am]
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