Note: If you mail or hand-deliver your comments, we recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an email address, or a telephone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and specific docket number. All comments received will be posted without change to the docket at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on submitting comments, see the section entitled Public Participation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As described in the application, the intended service of the vessel SIMPATHICA is:
—Intended Commercial Use of Vessel: “Charter”.
—Geographic Region Including Base of Operations: “California” (Base of Operations: Marina del Rey, CA)
—Vessel Length and Type: 89’ Motor Yacht

The complete application is available for review identified in the DOT docket as MARAD 2021–0063 at http://www.regulations.gov. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR part 388, that the employment of the vessel in the coastwise trade to carry no more than 12 passengers will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, MARAD will not issue an approval of the vessel’s coastwise endorsement eligibility. Comments should refer to the vessel name, state the commenter’s interest in the application, and address the eligibility criteria given in section 388.4 of MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR part 388.

Public Participation
How do I submit comments?

Please submit your comments, including the attachments, following the instructions provided under the above heading entitled ADDRESSES. Be advised that it may take a few hours or even days for your comment to be reflected on the docket. In addition, your comments must be written in English. We encourage you to provide concise comments and you may attach additional documents as necessary. There is no limit on the length of the attachments.

Where do I go to read public comments, and find supporting information?

Go to the docket online at http://www.regulations.gov, keyword search MARAD—2021–0063 or visit the Docket Management Facility (see ADDRESSES for hours of operation). We recommend that you periodically check the Docket for new submissions and supporting material.

Will my comments be made available to the public?

Yes. Be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, will be made publicly available.

May I submit comments confidentially?

If you wish to submit comments under a claim of confidentiality, you should submit three copies of your complete submission, including the information you claim to be confidential business information, to the Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, Office of Legislation and Regulations, MAR—225, W24–220, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590. Include a cover letter setting forth with specificity the basis for any such claim and, if possible, a summary of your submission that can be made available to the public.

Privacy Act

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice, DOT/ALL–14 FDMS, accessible through www.dot.gov/privacy. To facilitate comment tracking and response, we encourage commenters to provide their name, or the name of their organization; however, submission of names is completely optional. Whether or not commenters identify themselves, all timely comments will be fully considered. If you wish to provide comments containing proprietary or confidential information, please contact the agency for alternate submission instructions.

By Order of the Acting Maritime Administrator.

T. Mitchell Hudson, Jr.,
Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 2021–12531 Filed 6–14–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Agency Requests for Approval of a New Information Collection(s):
Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program Requirements

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary (OST).
ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation (DOT or Department) invites public comments about our intention to request approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for an information collection for the Department’s Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program. The DBE program has been in existence since 1983. The information collections described in this notice are necessary to maintain successful implementation of the DBE program, as it helps ensure that state and local agencies receiving DOT funds (hereinafter, recipients) carry out the program’s mission of providing a level playing field for small businesses owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals. DOT is publishing this notice in the Federal Register to meet the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by August 16, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments [identified by Docket No. DOT–OST–2021–0072] through one of the following methods:
• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: (202) 493–2251.
• Mail or Hand Delivery: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Marc D. Pentino, (202) 366–6968, marc.pentino@dot.gov or Aarathi Haig, (202) 366–5990, aarathi.haig@dot.gov, Departmental Office of Civil Rights, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Transportation.
**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The DBE program is regulated by 49 CFR part 26 (DBE regulation) and is authorized by the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act Public Law 114–94 (Dec. 4, 2015). The applicable statute for the Federal Aviation Administration’s Airport Improvement Program-funded DBE Program is 49 U.S.C. 47113 and FAA’s general nondiscrimination statute is 49 U.S.C. 47123.

In preparing this notice, the Department identified various aspects of the DBE program that have existed as requirements for a long period of time, several decades in some cases, that include information collections that have not been appropriately accounted for in the current collection. To assist in estimating the potential paperwork burden of these collections, the Department reached out to a small number of stakeholders to gain a general understanding of how much time they spend each year responding to these collections. However, the stakeholder responses varied so significantly that the Department is concerned the responses blur lines between what aspects of the program are specifically information collections versus more general requirements of the program.

To help commenters provide information that will better allow the Department to include the appropriate paperwork burden within this collection, the Department offers the following clarifications. A “collection of information” is defined as “the obtaining, causing to be obtained, soliciting, or requiring the disclosure to an agency, third parties or the public of information by or for an agency by means of identical questions posed to, or identical reporting, recordkeeping, or disclosure requirements imposed on, ten or more persons.” 5 CFR 1320.3(c)(1). The activities that constitute the “burden” associated with a collection are defined in 5 CFR 1320.3(b)(1) as “the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency.” Importantly, this burden is not necessarily the same as the entire regulatory burden for a program or an aspect of a program. For example, if a regulation requires an inspection and the completion of a form documenting the inspection, the full regulatory burden would likely include both actions, while the paperwork burden would only include the time and other resources needed to complete the form.

In addition, the Department believes certain recordkeeping requirements have not been adequately accounted for in the current collection. For instance, the DBE regulation requires recipients to maintain a bidders list and a DBE Directory. These recordkeeping activities have not previously been included in the Department’s paperwork burden calculation. As stated in 5 CFR 1320.3(m), “Recordkeeping requirement means a requirement imposed by or for an agency on persons to maintain specified records, including a requirement to: (1) Retain such records; (2) Notify third parties, the Federal government, or the public of the existence of such records; (3) Disclose such records to third parties, the Federal government, or the public; or (4) Report to third parties, the Federal government, or the public regarding such records.” Thus, a requirement to retain records that do not necessarily require submission to the Department are covered under the PRA and are included in the current collection.

For purposes of this 60-day notice, the Department has included the burden estimates we received from the small number of stakeholders we contacted. As noted above, the Department is concerned that at least several of these estimates pertain to regulatory burdens that are not subject to PRA requirements. To the extent feasible, the Department requests that commenters who provide burden estimates for aspects of the program identified below be as specific as possible about paperwork burdens, including what amount of time each task takes and what, if any, additional costs beyond labor costs (e.g., copying, mailing, storage, or other technology costs) are associated with each aspect of the collection.

**OMB Control Number: N/A.**  
**Title: Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program Requirements.**  
**Form Numbers: N/A.**  
**Type of Review: Initial Approval of Existing Information Collection.**

**1. Maintain Bidders Lists**

Section 26.11 and Appendix B of the DBE regulation require recipients to maintain a bidders list. The purpose of the list is to provide recipients the most precise data possible about the universe of DBE and non-DBE contractors and subcontractors who seek to work on federally-assisted contracts. Recipients use the bidders lists to accurately determine the availability of DBE and non-DBE firms and to measure the relative availability of ready, willing, and able DBEs when setting their overall goals under §26.45. The data on a bidders list includes each firm’s name, address, DBE status, age, and approximate annual gross receipts.

The annual burden hours that DOT received from six stakeholder responses ranged from 3–915 hours. The total estimated annual cost burden from four stakeholder responses ranged from $360–$35,000. Although the Department acknowledges the wide range of respondents that must comply with this requirement, it seems unlikely that full-time employees dedicate half of their time each year to this task, as these lists are only updated three times per year for each recipient. Thus, the Department believes that the total annual burden per recipient is likely towards the lower end of the estimates DOT received.

**Respondents:** State and local recipients of DOT funds.  
**Number of Respondents:** 1,198 (592 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) recipients, 53 Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) recipients, 553 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) recipients).  
**Frequency:** 3 times per year, although responses have significant flexibility under $26.11 to determine how often they update their bidders lists.  
**Total Annual Burden:** 636 hours and $30,000.

**2. Maintaining DBE Directories**

Section 26.81(g) requires recipients to maintain an electronic DBE directory. Section 26.31 mandates that each directory listing include the firm’s address, phone number, and the types of work the firm has been certified to perform as a DBE, using the most specific North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code available to describe each type of work. The primary purpose of the directory is to show the results of the certification process, i.e., all firms that the recipient has certified. Prime contractors use the information to find potential DBE subcontractors.

The total annual burden hours the Department received from five stakeholder responses ranged from 10–1,300 hours. The total annual cost burden from four stakeholder responses ranged from $240–$79,800. As with the above, the Department is concerned that the high estimate includes burdens beyond the paperwork requirement of updating and maintaining the directory, though the Department believes the lower value of 10 hours may be insufficient for larger stakeholders.

**Respondents:** State and local recipients of DOT funds that perform DBE certification.  
**Number of Respondents:** 132.
Frequency: Monthly, i.e., 12 times each year.
Number of Responses: 1,584.
Total Annual Burden: 4,500 hours and $426,000.

3. Monitoring the Performance of DBE Program Participants

Section 26.37 requires recipients to implement monitoring mechanisms to ensure that all DBE program participants comply with the regulation’s requirements. There are two required mechanisms: (1) Written certification that recipients have reviewed contracting records and monitored work sites in their state for this purpose, and (2) a running tally of actual DBE attainments (i.e., payments actually made to DBE firms). If a DOT Operating Administration (OA) conducts a compliance review or investigation, it checks to see if the recipient has the written certifications and tallies; recipients do not otherwise submit the information. Recipients collect the information so they can confirm at project sites that the DBE to whom the work was committed is in fact performing the work.

The total estimated annual burden hours DOT received from the six stakeholder responses ranged from 45–2000 hours. The total annual cost burden was calculated based on the average of four stakeholder responses ranging from $10,000–$80,000. The Department believes that it is likely that the stakeholders who provided the higher estimates based their responses on the substantive monitoring requirement rather than the paperwork requirements.

Respondents: State and local recipients of DOT funds.
Number of Respondents: 1,198 (592 FAA recipients, 53 FHWA recipients, 553 FTA recipients).
Frequency: 36 times each year (3 times per month).
Number of Responses: 43,128.
Total Annual Burden: 28,224 hours and $42,250.

4. Addressing Overconcentration of DBEs in Certain Types of Work

Section 26.33 contemplates a situation in which DBEs in a certain work type are so prevalent that they unduly burden the ability of non-DBE firms to participate in those work types. If a recipient determines that overconcentration of DBEs exists in certain types of work, the recipient must submit to the appropriate OA the reasons for the determination and the measures devised to address it. The recipient must review and analyze actual data concerning an overconcentration allegation to determine if it supports a finding of overconcentration. The OAs have never received submittals of overconcentration determinations from recipients.

Respondents: State and local recipients of DOT funds.
Number of Respondents: 1,198 (592 FAA recipients, 53 FHWA recipients, 553 FTA recipients).
Frequency: Zero.
Number of Responses: Zero.
Total Annual Burden: Zero.

5. Setting Overall Goals for DBE Participation in DOT-Assisted Contracts

Congress carefully considered and concluded that race-neutral means alone are insufficient to remedy the effects of discrimination in DOT-assisted contracting. To meet Constitutional strict scrutiny requirements, DBE programs’ race-conscious means must be narrowly tailored. Section 26.45 mandates that, in three-year intervals, recipients set and submit to DOT a race-conscious overall goal for DBE participation in DOT-assisted contracts based on the availability of DBE firms compared to all firms in each recipient’s DOT-assisted contracting market. Recipients must include with their overall goal submission a description of the methodology they used to establish the goal and a projection of the portions of the overall goal that they expect to meet through race-neutral and race-conscious means.

The total annual burden hours below were calculated based on the average of six stakeholder responses ranging from 16–500 hours. The total annual cost burden was calculated based on the average of four stakeholder responses ranging from $2,300–$50,000. The Department notes that the paperwork-specific burden does not apply to all the work that goes into the goal setting process, but rather only to those aspects of that work that is related to the submission of goal methodology.

Respondents: State and local recipients of DOT funds.
Number of Respondents: 450.
Frequency: Annually or triennially depending on which OA provided the funds.
Number of Responses: 450.
Total Annual Burden: 650 hours and $36,650 per respondent.

7. Requiring Transit Vehicle Manufacturers (TVMs) To Comply With the DBE Regulation’s Goal Setting Requirements

Under § 26.49, FTA funding recipients must require that each TVM, as a condition of being authorized to bid or propose on FTA-assisted transit vehicle procurements, certify that it has complied with the regulation’s goal setting requirements. TVMs must establish and submit for the FTA’s approval an annual overall percentage goal that is narrowly tailored and specific to its market area. The FTA reviews the goal setting methodologies to ensure that they are developed pursuant to regulatory requirements and the Department’s official guidance. In addition to submitting an annual...
percentage goal. FTA recipients must submit, within 30 days of making an award, the name of the successful bidder and the total dollar value of the contract in the manner prescribed in the grant agreement. Once collected, the FTA analyzes the information for oversight purposes. For example, when the FTA conducts triennial and DBE-specific reviews, FTA contractors check the TVM Award Report data to make sure that the information on file with the recipients is accurately reflected on the report.

The total annual burden hours for FTA recipients were calculated based on the average of three stakeholder responses ranging from 1–40 hours. The total annual cost burden for FTA recipients was calculated based on the average of two stakeholder responses ranging from $60–$4,000. The total annual burden hours and cost for TVMs is the response from one stakeholder. The Department believes that these responses are, generally, consistent with its understanding of the paperwork burden associated with this requirement.

**Respondents:** FTA recipients and TVMs.

**Number of Respondents:** 391 (328 FTA recipients; 63 TVMs).

**Frequency:** FTA recipients each submit contract award information once each time they award a contract to a TVM, i.e., cumulatively 1,255 times each year. The 63 TVMs each annually submit one overall percentage goal to the FTA, i.e., cumulative total of 63 annual submissions.

**Number of Responses:** 1,255 (1,192 FTA recipient responses and 63 TVM responses).

**Total Annual Burden:** 12,020 hours and $18,880 (11,920 hours and $17,880 for FTA recipients to submit contract award information; 100 hours and $1,000 for each TVM to submit one overall percentage goal submission).

8. **Submitting Evidence of Having Made “Good Faith Efforts” To Secure DBE Participation in DOT-Assisted Contracts**

Section 26.53(b)(2) and Appendix A state that in solicitations for DOT-assisted contracts for which a contract goal has been established, a recipient must require all bidders or offerors to submit the names and addresses of the DBE firms that will participate in the contract, a description of the work that each DBE will perform, the dollar amount of the participation of each DBE firm participating, written documentation of the bidder/offeror’s commitment to use a DBE subcontractor whose participation it submits to meet a contract goal, and written confirmation from each listed DBE firm that it is participating in the contract in the kind and amount of work provided in the prime contractor’s commitment. If the contract goal is not met, the recipient must require all bidders or offerors to submit evidence of their “good faith efforts” to achieve DBE participation on the contract. The documentation of good faith efforts must include copies of each DBE and non-DBE subcontractor quote submitted to the bidder when a non-DBE subcontractor was selected over a DBE.

The total annual burden hours below were calculated based on the average of five recipient responses ranging from 2–192 hours. The total annual cost burden was calculated based on the average of four stakeholder responses ranging from $250–$7,300. The Department acknowledges that the requirement of submitting “good faith efforts” documentation imposes a paperwork burden on prime contractors as well. We request that prime contractors who comment on this collection provide hour and cost burden estimates that are as specific as possible, including what amount of time it takes to prepare and submit “good faith efforts” documentation and any additional costs beyond labor costs (e.g., copying, mailing, storage, or other technology costs).

**Respondents:** State and local recipients of DOT funds.

**Number of Respondents:** 1,198 (592 FAA recipients, 53 FHWA recipients, 553 FTA recipients).

**Frequency:** 72 times each year (6 times per month).

**Number of Responses:** 86,256.

**Total Annual Burden:** 4,680 hours and $359,400.

9. **Drafting Unified Certification Program (UCP) Agreements**

The DBE program regulation requires all recipients and sponsors implementing DBE programs to establish a UCP in their respective jurisdictions. In January 2020, DOCR evaluated the UCP agreements of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Northern Mariana Islands, and Puerto Rico. DOCR found that over 20 UCP agreements have substantial errors, have not been updated to reflect changes to the DBE regulation made in 2011 and 2014, and contain significant changes that might not have been approved by the Department.

One of the Department’s concerns is that the UCP agreements and procedures are posted online and included in employee training materials, but contain information that misleads certified and applicant firms, as well as the recipients that created the agreements.

The total annual burden hours for UCPS to update their program agreements was calculated based on two stakeholder responses ranging from 2–192 hours. The total annual cost burden was calculated based on the response of one stakeholder.

**Respondents:** Unified Certification Programs.

**Number of Respondents:** 53.

**Frequency:** The Department proposes that this occur every four years. The Department or OAs may, as part of compliance activities, recommend that the recipient and sponsor to make changes more frequently if necessary.

**Number of Responses:** 53.

**Total Annual Burden:** 50 hours and $3,700 per respondent.

11. **Evaluating the DBE Certification Eligibility of Applicant Firms**

Recipients must take various steps in determining an applicant’s eligibility, such as performing an on-site visit to the firm’s principal place of business. Recipients often write a report...
13. Removing the Eligibility of a DBE Firm

Section 26.87 describes the process for a recipient to remove a firm’s certification. If a recipient determines there is reasonable cause to believe that a certified firm is no longer eligible for DBE certification, the recipient must give the firm a written notice of its intent to decertify the firm. The notice must clearly describe the reasons for the proposed determination and the reasons for it, with specific references to the evidence in the record on which each reason is based. The recipient must offer the firm, in writing, an opportunity for an informal hearing at which the firm may respond to the reasons for the proposal to remove its eligibility. If a recipient reaches a final decision to decertify the firm, the recipient must provide the firm written notice of the decision and the reasons for it, including specific references to the evidence in the record that supports each reason for the decision. The notice must inform the firm of the consequences of the final decision and explain the process for filing an appeal with the Department. If the firm appeals to the Department, the recipient must provide the Department with a copy of the transcript, and on request, to the firm.

The information collected during the decertification process is used in multiple ways. The decisionmaker appointed by the recipient uses the information in the notice of intent to decertify and any evidence presented during or after the hearing to make a final decision on whether the firm should be decertified. The firm uses the information in the notice of intent to decertify to determine what evidence or arguments it might want to submit at the informal hearing. The firm uses the information in the final decision, in part, to decide whether it wishes to file an appeal with the Department. If the firm files an appeal, the Department uses the information to determine whether substantial evidence supports the recipient’s decision to remove the firm’s certification eligibility.

The total annual burden hours are based on the average of three stakeholder responses ranging from 60–180 hours. The total annual cost is based on one stakeholder response of $4,100.

Respondents: Recipients that perform DBE certification.
Number of Respondents: 132.
Frequency: 2.5 each month.
Number of Responses: 3,960 per year.
Total Annual Burden: 3,360 hours and $210,000.

14. Mailing and Maintaining Copies of Summary Suspension Notices

Under § 26.88 when a recipient summarily suspends a firm’s DBE certification, the recipient must immediately notify the firm of the suspension by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the last known address of the owner(s) of the firm. If the owner(s) responds to the notice with information demonstrating that the firm remains eligible, the recipient must respond in writing (within 30 days of receiving the information) and explain how it intends to proceed.

The total annual burden hours below were calculated based on the average of three stakeholder responses ranging from 12–180 hours. The total annual cost burden was calculated based on one response from one stakeholder of $7,600. This is another instance in which the Department believes that recipients included hours and costs beyond those attributable to the paperwork burden. Given that, the hours and cost estimates the Department received are likely too high. Summary suspension notices are not standardized and thus not considered an information collection. The burden associated with writing them is not a paperwork burden. The only paperwork burdens are for recipients to send the notices by certified mail to firms and maintain an electronic copy.

Respondents: Recipients that perform DBE certification.
Number of Respondents: 132.
Frequency: 5 times each year.
Number of Responses: 660.
Total Annual Burden: 420 hours and $38,000.

15. Sending the Department a Full Administrative Record When the Department Gives Notice That a Denied or Decertified Firm Appeals to the Department and Maintaining a Copy of the Record

Under § 26.89(d), recipients must comply with the Department’s request to timely (within 20 days of the request) provide a full administrative record when the Department gives notice that a denied or decertified firm has filed an appeal with the Department.

The total annual burden hours below were calculated based on the average of three stakeholder responses ranging from 2–200 hours. The total annual cost burden was calculated based on one response from one stakeholder response of $7,600.

Respondents: Recipients that perform DBE certification that have denied or
decertified firms that appeal to the Department.

Number of Responses: 50.
Frequency: 3 times each year.
Number of Responses: 150.
Total Annual Burden: 12,600 hours and $7,600.

16. Providing a Copy of Application Materials to an Additional State in Which a Firm Certiﬁed in Another State Applies to Another State for Certification (Interstate Certification)

Under § 26.85(c), when a firm currently certiﬁed in its home state (state A) applies to another state (state B) for DBE certiﬁcation, state B is permitted to require the ﬁrm to submit a complete copy of all the materials the ﬁrm submitted to its home state/state A for initial certiﬁcation. State B reviews the information to determine whether there is “good cause” (a term speciﬁcally described in the interstate certiﬁcation rule) to believe that state A’s certiﬁcation is erroneous or should not apply in its state. The interstate certiﬁcation rule describes the limited circumstances under which state B could validly make such a determination.

Respondents: DBE ﬁrms applying for interstate certiﬁcation.

Number of Responses: 68.
Frequency: Once.
Number of Responses: 68.
Total Annual Burden: 20 hours and $2,000.

17. Writing and Submitting Narratives of Social and Economic Disadvantage When Applying for DBE Certification Based on an Individualized Showing of Disadvantage

The DBE program is intended to be as inclusive as possible while remaining narrowly tailored. Individuals who are not members of groups whose members are presumed socially and economically disadvantaged may still qualify for DBE certiﬁcation. Appendix E of the regulation states that to demonstrate their eligibility, these individuals must submit a narrative describing the individual’s experiences of social disadvantage and a separate narrative in which the individual describes why the individual is economically disadvantaged. This information collection is critical for ensuring that only eligible ﬁrms receive DBE certiﬁcation.

Respondents: DBE certiﬁcation applicants whose owners are not presumed socially and economically disadvantaged under the DBE regulation.

Number of Responses: 264.
Frequency: Once.

Number of Responses: 264.
Total Annual Burden: 90 hours and $8,000.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the Department’s performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for the Department to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB’s clearance of this information collection.


Issued in Washington, DC, on June 9, 2021.
Irene Marion,
Director, Departmental Ofﬁce of Civil Rights.
[FR Doc. 2021–12500 Filed 6–14–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810–8X–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau
Docket No. TTB–2021–0003

Proposed Information Collections; Comment Request (No. 82)

AGENCY: Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB); Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we invite comments on the proposed or continuing information collections listed below in this notice.

DATES: We must receive your written comments on or before August 16, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments on the information collections described in this document using one of the two methods described below—

• Internet: To submit comments electronically, use the comment form for this document posted on the “Regulations.gov” e-rulemaking website at https://www.regulations.gov within Docket No. TTB–2021–0003.

• Mail: Send comments to the Paperwork Reduction Act Ofﬁcer, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, 1310 G Street NW, Box 12, Washington, DC 20005.

Please submit separate comments for each speciﬁc information collection described in this document. You must reference the information collection’s title, form or recordkeeping requirement number (if any), and OMB control number in your comment.

You may view copies of this document, the relevant TTB forms, and any comments received at https://www.regulations.gov within Docket No. TTB–2021–XXXX. TTB has posted a link to that docket on its website at https://www.ttb.gov/rrd/information-collection-notices. You also may obtain paper copies of this document, the linked forms, and any comments received by contacting TTB’s Paperwork Reduction Act Ofﬁcer at the addresses or telephone number shown below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael Hoover, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, 1310 G Street NW, Box 12, Washington, DC 20005; 202–453–1039, ext. 135; or informationcollections@ttb.gov (please do not submit comments to this email address).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

The Department of the Treasury and its Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB), as part of their continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invite the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the proposed or continuing information collections described below, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Comments submitted in response to this document will be included or summarized in our request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval of the relevant information collection. All comments are part of the public record and subject to disclosure. Please do not include any conﬁdential or inappropriate material in your comments.

We invite comments on: (a) Whether an information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency’s functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the information collection’s burden; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the information collection’s burden on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and