
Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the Order consists of floor-standing, metal-top ironing tables, assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, and certain parts thereof. For a complete description of the products covered, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation, and the magnitude of dumping margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as an Appendix. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1), 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the Order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average margins up to 157.68 percent.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to the APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: June 1, 2021.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–001]

Potassium Permanganate From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Fifth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this fifth expedited sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on potassium permanganate from the People’s Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable June 7, 2021.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 31, 1984, Commerce issued the AD order on potassium permanganate from China. On March 18, 2016, Commerce published the most recent continuation of the Order. On February 1, 2021, Commerce published the Notice of Initiation of the fifth sunset review of Order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On February 12, 2021, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Carus LLC (the petitioner), a domestic producer of potassium permanganate and the petitioner in the underlying investigation, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The petitioner claimed domestic interest party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer of a domestic like product in the United States. On March 3, 2021, the petitioner filed its timely substantive response within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Commerce received no substantive responses from any other interested party with respect to the Order covered by this sunset review, nor was a hearing requested. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the Order.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order is potassium permanganate, an inorganic chemical produced in free-flowing, technical, and pharmaceutical grades. Potassium permanganate is currently classifiable under subheading 2841.61.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the Order were revoked, are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.

6 See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum: Final Results of Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Anti­dumping Duty Order on Floor-Standing, Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Certain Parts Thereof from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).
The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/fm/index.html.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the AD order on potassium permanganate from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of margins likely to prevail is up to 128.94 percent.8

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notifications to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: June 1, 2021.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. History of the Order
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
2. Magnitude of the Margin of Dumping Likely to Prevail
VII. Final Results of Sunset Review

The products covered by this order are certain flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Scope of the Anti-Circumvention Inquiry

This anti-circumvention inquiry covers CORE produced in Malaysia using: (1) HRS manufactured in Malaysia or other third countries, (2) CRS manufactured in Malaysia using HRS produced in Malaysia or other third countries, or (3) CRS manufactured in other third countries, must certify that the HRS and/or CRS processed into CORE in Malaysia did not originate in Taiwan, as provided for in the certifications attached to this Federal Register notice. Otherwise, their merchandise will be subject to AD requirements.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this anti-circumvention inquiry in accordance with section 781(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Because certain interested parties did not cooperate to the best of their abilities in responding to Commerce’s requests for...