## Table 4 to Paragraph (i)(4)—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Regulated area</th>
<th>Enforcement period(s)</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington YMCA Triathlon.</td>
<td>All navigable waters of Motts Channel, from shoreline to shoreline and between Wrightsville Channel Day beacon 14 (LLNR 30220), located at latitude 34°12'17.8&quot; N, longitude 077°48'09.1&quot; W, thence westward to Wrightsville Channel Day beacon 25 (LLNR 30255), located at latitude 34°12'52.1&quot; N, longitude 077°48'53.5&quot; W.</td>
<td>1. The 3rd, 4th, or last Saturday in September; or 2. The last Saturday in October; or 3. The 1st or 2nd Saturday in November</td>
<td>Wilmington, NC, YMCA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email LT Christopher Saylor, MSU Lake Charles, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 337–491–7816, email Christopher.M.Saylor@uscg.mil.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Table of Abbreviations

- **CFR** Code of Federal Regulations
- **DHS** Department of Homeland Security
- **FR** Federal Register
- **NPRM** Notice of proposed rulemaking
- **§** Section
- **U.S.C.** United States Code

#### II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On May 19, 2021, the Pro Watercross Organization notified the Coast Guard that it would be conducting watercross races from 8 a.m. through 6 p.m. on August 28 and 29, 2021. These watercross races are scheduled to be conducted along the north shore of Lake Charles in waters west of 93°13'51.2" W, east of 93°14'8.3" W, and extending 500 yards south from the northern shore of Lake Charles. The Captain of the Port Marine Safety Unit Port Arthur (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the pro watercross races would be a safety concern for spectator craft and vessels in the vicinity of these race events.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of vessels and the navigable waters in the vicinity of the pro watercross race course, during, and after the scheduled races. The Coast Guard is proposing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231).

### III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The COTP is proposing to establish a temporary safety zone from 8 a.m. on August 28, 2021, through 6 p.m. on August 29, 2021. The safety zone would be enforced from 8 a.m. through 6 p.m. on both days the 28th and the 29th of August 2021. The safety zone would cover all navigable waters west of 93°13'51.2" W, east of 93°14'8.3" W, and extending 500 yards south from the north shoreline of Lake Charles, LA. The duration of the safety zone is intended to protect participants, spectators, and other persons and vessels, in the navigable waters of the Lake Charles during the watercross races.

No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF–FM channel 13 or 16, or by phone at by telephone at 337–912–0073.

The COTP or a designated representative may prohibit or control the movement of all vessels in the zone. When hailed or signaled by an official patrol vessel, a vessel would come to an immediate stop and comply with the directions given. Failure to do so may result in expulsion from the area, citation for failure to comply, or both. The COTP or a designated representative may terminate the operation of any vessel at any time it is deemed necessary for the protection of life or property. The COTP or a designated representative may terminate enforcement of the safety zone at the conclusion of the event.

The COTP or a designated representative would inform the public of the effective period for the safety zone as well as any changes in the dates and times of enforcement through Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs), Broadcast Notices to Mariners (BNMs), and/ or Marine Safety Information Bulletins (MSIBs) as appropriate.

### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

#### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory

---

1 As noted, the enforcement dates and times for each of the listed events in this table 4 are subject to change. In the event of a change, or for enforcement periods listed that do not allow a specific date or dates to be determined, the Captain of the Port will provide notice to the public by publishing a Notice of Enforcement in the Federal Register, as well as, issuing a Broadcaster Notice to Mariner.

---

Laura M. Dickey,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.
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AGENCY: Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to establish a temporary safety zone for all navigable waters of Lake Charles west of 93°13'51.2" W, east of 93°14'8.3" W, and extending 500 yards south from the northern shore of Lake Charles. This safety zone is necessary to protect persons and vessels from hazards associated with a Pro Watercross event on August 28 and 29, 2021 in Lake Charles, LA. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone would be prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Marine Safety Unit Port Arthur or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 18, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2021–0379 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for further instructions on submitting comments.
approaches that maximize net benefits. This NPRM has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, and duration of the safety zone. The temporary safety zone would be enforced on a 500-yards by 500-yards portion of navigable waters of Lake Charles, LA, for only two days. This rule would be enforced to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from hazards associated with the pro watercross race.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132 (Federalism), if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDITINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves a safety zone lasting 10 hours on each of the two event days, and would prohibit entry within 500 yards of the beach area of North Lake Charles. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60 of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1. A preliminary Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the ADDRESSES section of that preamble. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. If your material cannot be submitted using https://www.regulations.gov, call or email the person in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to https://www.regulations.gov will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions in response to this document, see DHS’s eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and public comments, will be in our online docket at https://www.regulations.gov and can be viewed by following that website’s instructions. We review all
The Coast Guard is proposing to establish two security zones for certain waters of Rehoboth Beach to prevent waterside threats and incidents for persons under the protection of the United States Secret Service (USSS) in the vicinity of Rehoboth Beach, Delaware. These security zones would be enforced intermittently and only for the protection of persons protected by USSS when in the area and will restrict vessel traffic while the zone is being enforced. This rule would prohibit vessels and people from entering the zones unless specifically exempted under the provisions of this rule or granted specific permission from the Captain of the Port (COTP) Delaware Bay or a designated representative. Any vessel requesting to transit the zones without pause or delay, will typically be authorized to do so by on scene enforcement vessels. We invite your comments on this proposed rule.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before July 19, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2021–0208 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for further instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email Petty Officer Edmund Ofalt, U.S. Coast Guard, Sector Delaware Bay, Waterways Management Division; telephone 215–271–4899, email Edmund.J.Ofalt@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BNM</td>
<td>Broadcast Notice to Mariners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTP</td>
<td>Captain of the Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Department of Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Federal Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>Notice of proposed rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSS</td>
<td>United States Secret Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On occasion, persons protected by the USSS under 18 U.S.C. 3056 or pursuant to Presidential memorandum will visit Rehoboth Beach, Delaware, and the surrounding vicinity. These visits require the implementation of heightened security measures for persons protected by the USSS who may be present in the vicinity of Rehoboth Beach, Delaware. Due to the close proximity of the Lewes and Rehoboth canal, and the Atlantic Ocean, these security zones are necessary for USSS protectees, the public, and the surrounding waterway.

The purpose of this proposed rulemaking is to protect USSS protectees and the public from destruction, loss, or injury from sabotage, subversive acts, or other malicious or potential terrorist acts. The Coast Guard is proposing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231), as delegated by Department of Homeland Security Delegation no. 0170.1, section II, paragraph 70, from the Secretary of DHS to the Commandant of the U.S. Coast Guard, and further redelegated by 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5 to the Captains of the Port.

III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The COTP is proposing to establish two security zones for the protection of USSS protectees that extend in the vicinity of Rehoboth Beach, Delaware. This rule is necessary to expedite the