Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 25, 2021, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Wilson Electronics LLC of St. George, Utah ("Wilson"). 86 FR 11553–54 (Feb. 25, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation of certain cellular signal boosters, repeaters, bi-directional amplifiers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,221,967 ("the ‘967 patent"); 7,409,186; 7,486,929; 7,729,669 ("the ‘699 patent"); 7,783,318 ("the ‘318 patent"); 8,583,034 ("the ‘334 patent"); 8,583,033 ("the ‘333 patent"); 8,639,180; 8,755,399; 8,849,187; 8,874,029; and 8,874,030.

The complaint, as supplemented, further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The Commission instituted three separate investigations, and defined the scope of the present investigation as whether there is a violation of section 337 based on the allegations of infringement as to the asserted claims of the ‘967, ‘699, ‘318, and ‘333 patents as to the accused products identified in the notice of investigation. Id. The notice of investigation named as respondents: Cellphone-Mate, Inc. d/b/a SureCall of Fremont, California and Shenzhen SureCall Communication Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (collectively, "SureCall").

On May 12, 2021, Wilson and SureCall filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On May 14, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)). See Order No. 7 at 1–2 (May 14, 2021). The ALJ found that the motion to terminate complied with the Commission’s rules and that there is no evidence that terminating this investigation by settlement would be contrary to the public interest. Id. at 2. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 27, 2021.


By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 27, 2021.

Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On February 5, 2021, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (85 FR 69359, November 2, 2020) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.1 Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677c(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Please note the Secretary’s Office will accept only electronic filings at this time. Filings must be made through the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, https://edis.usitc.gov). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 26, 2021, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,2 and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before June 2, 2021 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information)

1 A record of the Commissioners’ votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

2 The Commission has found the response to its notice of institution filed on behalf of Cornerstone Chemical Company, Inc., a domestic producer of melamine, to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1251]

Certain Cellular Signal Boosters, Repeaters, Bi-Directional Amplifiers, and Components Thereof (II); Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 9) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the investigation based on settlement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amanda Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDISHelp@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 25, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Wilson Electronics LLC of St. George, Utah. 86 FR 11552–53 (Feb. 25, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain cellular signal boosters, repeaters, bi-directional amplifiers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of eleven patents, including U.S. Patent Nos. 7,486,929 ("the '929 patent"); 7,409,186 ("the '186 patent"); 8,755,399 ("the '399 patent"); and 8,849,187 ("the '187 patent"). Id. at 11553. The complaint, as supplemented, further alleged that a domestic industry exists. Id. The Commission instituted three separate investigations, and defined the scope of the present investigation as whether there is a violation of section 337 based on the allegations of infringement as to the asserted claims of the '929, '186, '399, and '187 patents as to the accused products identified in the notice of investigation. Id. The notice of investigation named two respondents: Cellphone-Mate, Inc. d/b/a SureCall of Fremont, California; and Shenzhen SureCall Communication Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China. Id. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. Id.

On May 12, 2021, the parties jointly moved to terminate this investigation based on a settlement agreement. On May 13, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation. The ID explained that the parties provided confidential and public versions of the settlement agreement. ID at 2. The parties also stated that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, regarding the subject matter of this Investigation. Id. The ID concluded that termination of the investigation is in the public interest. Id. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated.


By order of the Commission.
Issued: May 27, 2021.
Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2021–11593 Filed 6–1–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Executive Office for Immigration Review

[OMB Number 1125–0010]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested; Notice of Appeal to the Board of Immigration Appeals From a Decision of a DHS Officer

AGENCY: Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register on March 3, 2021, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until July 2, 2021.