candidate. If submitted by someone other than the candidate, the nomination package should include a signed statement from the candidate agreeing to be nominated. Incomplete applications will not be considered.

Additional information about the solicitation of nominations for the Marine Debris Foundation Board of Directors can be found at https://marinedebris.noaa.gov/who-we-are/marine-debris-foundation.


Scott Lundgren,
Director, Office of Response and Restoration,
National Ocean Service.

[FR Doc. 2021–10571 Filed 5–18–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–NK–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
[RTID 0648–XB097]
Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Fishery Management Council’s (Pacific Council) Highly Migratory Species Management Team (HMSMT) is holding an online meeting, which is open to the public.

DATES: The online meeting will be held Thursday and Friday, June 3 and 4, 2021. On Thursday, June 3 the meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and continue until 12 p.m. On Friday, June 4 the meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and continue until business is completed.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held online. Specific meeting information, including directions on how to join the meeting and system requirements will be provided in the meeting announcement on the Pacific Council’s website (see www.p council.org). You may send an email to Mr. Kris Kleinschmidt (kris.kleinschmidt@noaa.gov) or contact him at (503) 820–2412 for technical assistance.

Council address: Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, OR 97220–1384.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kit Dahl, Staff Officer, Pacific Council; telephone: (503) 820–2422.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The HMSMT will discuss the contents and production of the HMS Stock Status and Fishery Evaluation (SAFE) document. The SAFE document is provided online on the Council’s website at https://www.pcouncil.org/safe-documents-2/ and periodically updated with information on HMS fisheries, management of fisheries, and the status of stocks. At this meeting, the HMSMT will particularly focus on methods to provide data on commercial and recreational fisheries and consider topics that need to be expanded or added to the SAFE document.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in the meeting agenda may be discussed, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this document and any issues arising after publication of this document that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Mr. Kris Kleinschmidt (kris.kleinschmidt@noaa.gov; (503) 820–2412) at least 10 business days prior to the meeting date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Tracey L. Thompson,
Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2021–10565 Filed 5–18–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected costs and burden.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 18, 2021.

ADDRESS: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of this notice’s publication to OIRA, at https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Please find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the website’s search function. Comments can be entered electronically by clicking on the “comment” button next to the information collection on the “OIRA Information Collections Under Review” page, or the “View ICR—Agency Submission” page. A copy of the supporting statement for the collection of information discussed herein may be obtained by visiting https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain.

In addition to the submission of comments to https://Reginfo.gov as indicated above, a copy of all comments submitted to OIRA may also be submitted to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the “Commission” or “CFTC”) by clicking on the “Submit Comment” box next to the descriptive entry for OMB Control No. 3038–0095, at https://comments.cftc.gov/FederalRegister/PublicInfo.aspx.

By either of the following methods:

• Mail: Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC 20581.

• Hand Delivery/Courier: Same as Mail above.

All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments submitted to the Commission should include only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission’s regulations.1 The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from https://www.cftc.gov that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the ICR will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as

1 17 CFR 145.9.
required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Guerin, Counsel, Division of Data, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, (202) 418–5000; email: tguerin@cftc.gov, and refer to OMB Control No. 3038–0095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Title: Large Trader Reporting for Physical Commodity Swaps, (OMB Control No. 3038–0095). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: Part 20 of the Commission’s regulations (“Reporting Rules”) requires clearing organizations and any persons that are “reporting entities” to file swaps position data with the Commission. The Reporting Rules require each clearing organization to submit clearing member reports to the Commission. The Reporting Rules also require each reporting entity to submit position reports to the Commission that indicate the reporting entity’s principal and counterparty positions in cleared and uncleared physical commodity swaps. Reporting entities are persons that are either “clearing members” or “swap dealers” that are otherwise not clearing members. For purposes of part 20, reporting parties are required to submit data on positions on a futures equivalent basis so as to allow the Commission to assess a trader’s market impact across differently structured but linked derivatives instruments and markets. This renewal updates the total requested burden based on available reported data.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. On February 24, 2021, the Commission published in the Federal Register notice of the proposed extension of this information collection and provided 60 days for public comment on the proposed extension, 86 FR 11267 (“60-Day Notice”). The Commission did not receive any comments on the 60-Day Notice.

Burden Statement: The Commission is revising its estimate of the burden for this collection. The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5,088.
Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent: 12.86.
Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 65,412.
Frequency of Collection: On Occasion.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

Robert Sidman,
Deputy Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2021–10558 Filed 5–18–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Department of the Army
Programmatic Environmental Assessment for the Fielding of the Maneuver—Short Range Air Defense Capability

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.
ACTION: Notice of availability.
SUMMARY: The Department of the Army (Army) announces the availability of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA), the Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), and the Draft Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) for the proposed fielding of the Maneuver—Short Range Air Defense (M–SHORAD) battalion. In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the PEA analyzes the potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts, associated with construction, live-fire and maneuver training, and increased number of soldiers required to field the M–SHORAD battalion. The Proposed Action would address efforts to improve the protection of tactical maneuver forces from current and future aerial threats.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 18, 2021 to be considered in the preparation of the PEA.

ADDRESSES: Please send written comments to U.S. Army Environmental Command, ATTN: IM–SHORAD Public Comments, 2455 Reynolds Rd., Mail Stop 112, JBSA–Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234–7588 or email comments to usarmy.jbsa.aec.nepa@mail.mil with M–SHORAD Public Comments as the subject line.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The M–SHORAD system and associated battalion addressed in this PEA is a key component of Air and Missile Defense modernization. Maneuvering formations require air defense capabilities to counter air threats. The M–SHORAD capability and the associated air defense artillery battalions will improve air defenses available to the maneuver commander.

The primary warfighting component of the M–SHORAD battalion will be the IM–SHORAD capability provided by about 40 Stryker vehicles modified to the M–SHORAD configuration. The Proposed Action would assign approximately 550 soldiers, 310 tactical vehicles, and associated equipment to as many as three of the six assessed installations. Installations assessed are Fort Bliss, Fort Hood, Fort Riley, Fort Stewart, Fort Carson, as well as a smaller training organization at Fort Sill. There is a potential to require construction of office and maintenance space, barracks, and training ranges over a period of approximately 5 years, depending on facilities already available at the installations.

The PEA analyzes the potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts associated with the Proposed Action, including direct, indirect, and cumulative effects. The analysis includes minimization measures, standard operating procedures, and best management practices routinely employed by the installations to reduce potential adverse effects of the Proposed Action.

The Army identified one reasonable Action Alternative that would meet the purpose of and need for the Proposed Action: Field M–SHORAD units to installations at which the unit can be accommodated within planned or existing temporary or permanent infrastructure and training can be accomplished through live fire or approved simulations. Training requirements can also be met through flexible scheduling as facilitated by the Army’s Sustainable Readiness Model or the Regionally Aligned Readiness and Modernization Model. Within this alternative, the PEA analyzes six different installations.

The Army also carried forward the No Action Alternative for detailed analysis in the PEA. While the No Action Alternative would not satisfy the purpose of or need for the Proposed Action, this Alternative was retained to provide a comparative baseline against which to analyze the effects of the Action Alternative as required under the Council on Environmental Quality’s NEPA Regulations.