providing that such sale, distribution, or use is consistent with the terms of the previously approved labeling on, or that accompanied, the canceled products.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.


Marietta Echeverria,
Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


Email: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov. Include docket number in the subject line of the message.

Fax: (202) 452–3102.

Mail: Ann E. Misback, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments are available from the Board’s website at www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm as submitted, unless modified for technical reasons or to remove personally identifiable information at the commenter’s request. Accordingly, comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments may also be viewed electronically or in paper in Room 146, 1709 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20006, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jason Hinkle, Assistant Director (202–912–7805), Division of Reserve Bank Operations and Payment Systems, or Sophia Allison, Senior Special Counsel (202–452–3565) or Gavin Smith, Senior Counsel (202–872–7578), Legal Division, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. For users of Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) only, please contact 202–263–4869.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Background

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) is considering adopting guidelines (Account Access Guidelines) to be used by Federal Reserve Banks (Reserve Banks) in evaluating requests for master accounts and/or access to Federal Reserve Bank financial services (accounts and services). The Board’s approach to this proposal reflects its analysis of the Board’s policy goals of (1) ensuring the safety and soundness of the banking system, (2) effectively implementing monetary policy, (3) promoting financial stability, (4) protecting consumers, and (5) promoting a safe, efficient, inclusive, and innovative payment system. The Board’s proposed guidelines are also intended to ensure that Reserve Banks evaluate a transparent and consistent set of factors when reviewing requests for accounts and services (access requests).

The payments landscape is evolving rapidly as technological progress and other factors are leading to both the introduction of new financial products and services and to different ways of providing traditional banking services (i.e., payments, deposit-taking, and lending). Relatedly, there has been a recent uptick in novel charter types being authorized or considered across the country and, as a result, the Reserve Banks are receiving an increasing number of inquiries and requests for access to accounts and services from novel institutions.

Although the Reserve Banks have received such inquiries on an exceptional basis in the past, the Board now believes, given the increase in the number and novelty of such inquiries, that a more transparent and consistent approach to such requests should be adopted by the Reserve Banks. Given that access decisions made by individual Reserve Banks can have implications for a wide array of Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve) policies and objectives, a structured, transparent, and detailed framework for evaluating access requests would benefit the financial system broadly. Such a framework would also help foster consistent evaluation of access requests, from both risk and policy perspectives, across all twelve Reserve Banks.

To help achieve the goal of applying a transparent and consistent process for all access requests, the Board is proposing guidelines for the Reserve Banks to evaluate such requests. The proposed account access guidelines contain six principles that would support consistency in approach and decision-making across Reserve Banks while maintaining Reserve Bank discretionary authority to grant or deny requests. Accordingly, the proposed guidelines would reduce the potential for forum shopping across Reserve Banks and mitigate the risk that individual decisions by Reserve Banks could create de facto System policy for a particular business model or risk profile. These risk-focused guidelines would also promote more consistent implementation for eligible institutions with similar risk profiles.

The proposed account access guidelines are centered on a foundation of risk management and mitigation. In developing the proposed guidelines, the Board considered the risks that may arise when an institution gains access to accounts and services. These risks include, among others, risks to the Reserve Banks, to the payment system, to the financial system, and to the effective implementation of monetary policy.

The introduction to the proposed guidelines discusses the Federal Reserve’s broad policy goals when providing accounts and services as well as the reasons for proposing to issue the account access guidelines. In addition, the introduction provides that while the guidelines are designed primarily for new access requests, Reserve Banks should also apply the guidelines to existing account and services relationships when a Reserve Bank becomes aware of a significant change in the risks that the account holder presents due to changes in the nature of its principal business activities, condition, etc.

The proposed account access guidelines identify potential risks and prompt the Reserve Bank to identify risk mitigation strategies adopted by the institution (including capital, risk frameworks, compliance with regulations, and supervision) and by the Reserve Bank (including account agreement provisions, restrictions on financial services accessed, account risk controls, and denial of access requests). The first principle specifies that only institutions that are legally eligible for accounts and services are in scope, and the remaining five principles are designed to address specific risks ranging from narrow risks (such as risk to an individual Reserve Bank) to broader risks (such as risk to the U.S. financial system). The Board is considering whether it may in the future be useful to clarify the interpretation of legal eligibility under the Federal Reserve Act for a Federal Reserve account and services.

For each of these principles, the proposed guidelines identify factors that Reserve Banks should consider when evaluating an institution against the specific risk targeted by the principle (several factors are pertinent to more than one principle). The identified factors are commonly used in the regulation and supervision of federally-insured institutions. When applying the account access guidelines the Reserve Bank should incorporate, to the extent possible, the assessments of an institution by state and/or federal supervisors into its independent assessment of the institution’s risk profile. Given that the proposed guidelines utilize factors broadly applied to federally-insured institutions, the Board anticipates the application of the guidelines to access requests by federally-insured institutions would be fairly straightforward in most cases. Reserve Bank assessments of access requests from non-federally-insured institutions, however, may require more extensive due diligence.

Currently, Reserve Bank risk management practices include monitoring the condition of institutions with accounts and services on an ongoing basis using supervisory ratings, capitalization data, and other supplementary information. Reserve Banks use this process to determine whether risk controls or other restrictions should be placed on an institution’s account. For example, the process is used to determine if an institution continues to remain eligible for primary credit. The Board anticipates that, if the proposed guidelines are adopted, Reserve Banks would use the guidelines to re-evaluate the risks posed by an institution in cases where these condition-monitoring activities indicate potential changes in the institution’s risk profile.

II. Proposed Guidelines

Guidelines Covering Access to Accounts and Services at Federal Reserve Banks (Account Access Guidelines)

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) has adopted account access guidelines comprised of six principles to be used by Federal Reserve Banks (Reserve Banks) in evaluating requests for master accounts and access to Federal Reserve Bank financial services (access requests).  

1 The proposed guidelines are designed as a risk management framework and, as such, the principles focus on risks an institution’s access could pose. The Board notes, however, that an institution’s access could have net benefits to the financial system that are not a focus of the risk management framework.

2 As discussed in the Federal Reserve’s Operating Circular No. 1, an institution has the option to settle its Federal Reserve financial services transactions in
The account access guidelines apply to requests from all institutions that are legally eligible to receive an account or services, as discussed in more detail in the first principle. The Federal Reserve System’s (Federal Reserve) approach to providing institutions with accounts and services depends on, among other things, whether the institution is legally eligible to obtain an account and on the Federal Reserve’s policy goals of ensuring the safety and soundness of the banking system, effectively implementing monetary policy, promoting financial stability, protecting consumers, and promoting a safe, effective, efficient, accessible and innovative payment system. The Board believes it is important to make clear that legal eligibility does not bestow a right to obtain an account and services. While decisions regarding individual access requests remain at the discretion of the individual Reserve Banks, the Board believes it is important that the Reserve Banks apply a consistent set of guidelines when reviewing such access requests to promote consistent outcomes across Reserve Banks and to facilitate equitable treatment across institutions. These account access guidelines also serve to inform requestors of the factors that a Reserve Bank will review in any access request and thereby allow requestors to make any enhancements to its risk management, documentation, or other practices, as the case may be, to attempt to demonstrate how it meets each of these factors for review. These guidelines broadly outline considerations for evaluating access requests but are not intended to provide assurance that any specific institution will be granted an account and services. The individual Reserve Bank will evaluate each access request on a case-by-case basis. When applying these account access guidelines, the Reserve Bank should incorporate to the extent possible the assessments of an institution by state and/or federal supervisors into its independent analysis of the institution’s risk profile. The evaluation of an institution’s access request should also consider whether the request has the potential to set a precedent that could affect the Federal Reserve’s ability to achieve its policy goals now or in the future. If the Reserve Bank decides to grant an access request, it may impose (at the time of account opening, granting access to service, or any time thereafter) obligations relating to, or conditions or limitations on, use of the account or services as necessary to limit operational, credit, legal, or other risks posed to the Reserve Banks, the payment system, financial stability or the implementation of monetary policy or to address other considerations. The account-holding Reserve Bank may, at its discretion, decide to place additional risk management controls on the account and services, such as real-time monitoring of account balances, as it may deem necessary to mitigate risks. If the obligations, limitations, or controls are ineffective in mitigating the risks identified or if the obligations, limitations, or controls are breached, the account-holding Reserve Bank may further restrict the institution’s use of accounts and services or may close the account. Establishment of an account and provision of services by a Reserve Bank under these guidelines is not an endorsement or approval by the Federal Reserve of the institution. Nothing in the Board’s guidelines relieves any institution from compliance with obligations imposed by the institution’s supervisors and regulators. Accordingly, Reserve Banks should evaluate how each institution requesting an account and services will meet the following principles. Each principle identifies factors that Reserve Banks should consider when evaluating an institution against the specific risk targeted by the principle (several factors are pertinent to more than one principle). The identified factors are commonly used in the regulation and supervision of federally-insured institutions. As a result, the Board anticipates the application of the account access guidelines to access requests by federally-insured institutions will be fairly straightforward in most cases. However, Reserve Bank assessments of access requests from non-federally insured institutions may require more extensive due diligence. Reserve Banks monitor and analyze the condition of institutions with accounts and services on an ongoing basis. Reserve Banks should use the guidelines to re-evaluate the risks posed by an institution in cases where its condition monitoring and analysis indicate potential changes in the risk profile of an institution, including a significant change to the institution’s business model. 1. Each institution requesting an account or services must be eligible under the Federal Reserve Act or other federal statute to maintain an account at a Federal Reserve Bank (Reserve Bank) and receive Federal Reserve services and should have a well-founded, clear, transparent, and enforceable legal basis for its operations. a. Unless otherwise specified by federal statute, only those entities that are member banks or meet the definition of a depository institution under section 19(b) of the Federal Reserve Act are legally eligible to obtain Federal Reserve accounts and financial services. b. The Reserve Bank should assess the consistency of the institution’s activities and services with applicable laws and regulations, such as Article 4A of the Uniform Commercial Code and the Electronic Fund Transfer Act. The Reserve Bank should also consider whether the design of the institution’s services would impede compliance by the institution’s customers with U.S. sanction programs, Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) and anti-money-laundering (AML) requirements or regulations, or consumer protection laws and regulations. 2. Provision of an account and services to an institution should not present or create undue credit, operational, settlement, cyber or other risks to the Reserve Bank.
a. The Reserve Bank should incorporate, to the extent possible, the assessments of an institution by state and/or federal supervisors into its independent assessment of the institution's risk profile.

b. The Reserve Bank should confirm that the institution has an effective risk management framework and governance arrangements to ensure that the institution operates in a safe and sound manner, during both normal conditions and periods of idiosyncratic and market stress.

c. For these purposes, effective risk management includes having a robust framework, including policies, procedures, systems, and qualified staff, to manage applicable risks. The framework should at a minimum identify, measure, and control the particular risks posed by the institution's business lines, products and services. The effectiveness of the framework should be further supported by internal testing and internal audit reviews.

d. The framework should be subject to oversight by a board of directors (or similar body) as well as oversight by state and/or federal banking supervisor(s).

e. The framework should clearly identify all risks that may arise related to the institution’s business (e.g., legal, credit, liquidity, operational, custody, investment) as well as objectives regarding the risk tolerances for the management of such risks.

f. The Reserve Bank should confirm that the institution is in substantial compliance with its supervisory agency's regulatory and supervisory requirements.

g. The institution must, in the Reserve Bank’s judgment:
   i. Demonstrate an ability to comply, were it to obtain a master account, with Board orders and policies, Reserve Bank agreements and operating circulars, and other applicable Federal Reserve requirements.
   ii. Be in sound financial condition, including maintaining adequate capital to continue as a going concern and to meet its current and projected operating expenses under a range of scenarios.
   iii. Demonstrate the ability, on an ongoing basis (including during periods of idiosyncratic or market stress), to meet all of its obligations in order to remain a going concern and comply with its agreement for a Reserve Bank account and services, including by maintaining:
      A. Sufficient liquid resources to meet its obligations to the Reserve Bank under applicable agreements, operating circulars, and Board policies;
      B. The operational capacity to ensure that such liquid resources are available to satisfy all such obligations to the Reserve Bank on a timely basis; and
      C. Settlement processes designed to appropriately monitor balances in its Reserve Bank account on an intraday basis, to process transactions through its account in an orderly manner and maintain/achieve a positive account balance before the end of the business day.
   iv. Have in place an operational risk framework designed to ensure operational resiliency against events associated with processes, people, and systems that may impair the institution's use and settlement of Reserve Bank services. This framework should consider internal and external factors, including operational risks inherent in the institution’s business model, risks that might arise in connection with its use of any Reserve Bank account and services, and cyber-related risks. At a minimum, the operational risk framework should:
      A. Identify the range of operational risks presented by the institution’s business model (e.g., cyber vulnerability, operational failure, resiliency of service providers), and establish sound operational risk management objectives to address such risks;
      B. Establish sound governance arrangements, rules, and procedures to oversee and implement the operational risk management framework;
      C. Establish clear and appropriate rules and procedures to carry out the risk management objectives;
      D. Employ the resources necessary to achieve its risk management objectives and implement effectually its rules and procedures, including, but not limited to, sound processes for physical and information security, internal controls, compliance, program management, incident management, business continuity, audit, and well-qualified personnel; and
      E. Support compliance with the electronic access requirements, including security measures, outlined in the Reserve Banks' Operating Circular 5 and its supporting documentation.
   3. Provision of an account and services to an institution should not present or create undue credit, liquidity, operational, settlement, cyber or other risks to the overall payment system.
      a. The Reserve Bank should incorporate, to the extent possible, the assessments of an institution by state and/or federal supervisors into its independent assessment of the institution’s risk profile.
      b. The Reserve Bank should confirm that the institution has an effective risk management framework and governance arrangements to limit the impact that idiosyncratic stress, disruptions, outages, cyber incidents or other incidents at the institution might have on other institutions and the payment system broadly. The framework should include:
         i. Clearly defined operational reliability objectives and policies and procedures in place to achieve those objectives.
         ii. A business continuity plan that addresses events that have the potential to disrupt operations and a resiliency objective to ensure the institution can resume services in a reasonable timeframe.
         iii. Policies and procedures for identifying risks that external parties may pose to sound operations, including interdependencies with affiliates, service providers, and others.
      c. The Reserve Bank should identify actual and potential interactions between the institution's use of a Reserve Bank account and services and (other parts of) the payment system.
   v. The extent to which the institution’s use of a Reserve Bank account and services might restrict funds from being available to support the liquidity needs of other institutions should also be considered.

d. The institution must, in the Reserve Bank's judgment:
   i. Be in sound financial condition, including maintaining adequate capital to continue as a going concern and to meet its current and projected operating expenses under a range of scenarios.
   ii. Demonstrate the ability, on an ongoing basis (including during periods of idiosyncratic or market stress), to meet all of its obligations in order to remain a going concern and comply with its agreement for a Reserve Bank account and services, including by maintaining:
      A. Sufficient liquid resources to meet its obligations to the Reserve Bank under applicable agreements, Operating Circulars, and Board policies;
      B. The operational capacity to ensure that such liquid resources are available to satisfy all such obligations to the Reserve Bank on a timely basis; and
      C. Settlement processes designed to appropriately monitor balances in its Reserve Bank account on an intraday basis, to process transactions through its account in an orderly manner and maintain/achieve a positive account balance before the end of the business day.
   iii. Have in place an operational risk framework designed to ensure
operational resiliency against events associated with processes, people, and systems that may impair the institution’s payment system activities. This framework should consider internal and external factors, including operational risk inherent in the institution’s business model, risk that might arise in connection with its use of the payment system, and cyber-related risks. At a minimum, the framework should:

A. Identify the range of operational risks presented by the institution’s business model (e.g., cyber vulnerability, operational failure, resiliency of service providers), and establish sound operational risk-management objectives;

B. Establish sound governance arrangements, rules, and procedures to oversee the operational risk management framework;

C. Establish clear and appropriate rules and procedures to carry out the risk management objectives;

D. Employ the resources necessary to achieve its risk management objectives and implement effectively its rules and procedures, including, but not limited to, sound processes for physical and information security, internal controls, compliance, program management, incident management, business continuity, audit, and well-qualified personnel.

4. Provision of an account and services to an institution should not create undue risk to the stability of the U.S. financial system.

a. The Reserve Bank should incorporate, to the extent possible, the assessments of an institution by state and/or federal supervisors into its independent assessment of the institution’s risk profile.

b. The Reserve Bank should determine, in coordination with the other Reserve Banks and the Board, whether the access to an account and services by an institution itself or a group of like institutions could introduce financial stability risk to the U.S. financial system.

c. The Reserve Bank should confirm that the institution has an anti-money-laundering program consistent with the requirements in 31 CFR 1020.210(b) and complies with the Office of Foreign Asset Control (OFAC) regulations at 31 CFR Chapter V.

I. For these purposes, the Reserve Bank should confirm that these compliance programs contain the following elements:

A. A system of internal controls, including policies and procedures, to ensure ongoing BSA/AML and OFAC compliance, including regular written risk assessments to identify, analyze and address the risks the institution faces, policies, procedures, and an effective transaction-monitoring system;

B. Independent audit and testing of BSA/AML and OFAC compliance;

C. Senior management commitment to BSA/AML and OFAC compliance, including, at a minimum: (a) The designation of a specific person or persons responsible for managing BSA/AML and OFAC compliance, including the employment of an experienced BSA/AML and OFAC compliance officer; (b) senior management review and approval of the institution’s BSA/AML and OFAC compliance programs; (c) the institution’s compliance staff has sufficient authority and autonomy to deploy policies and procedures in a manner that effectively controls the institution’s BSA/AML and OFAC risk; and (d) senior management taking, and demonstrating that it will continue to take, steps to ensure that the institution’s compliance unit receives adequate resources; or

D. Ongoing training for appropriate personnel with a scope that is appropriate for the products and services the institution offers; and

E. Processes that allow for a risk-based classification of its customer base, including risk-based procedures for conducting ongoing customer due diligence.

6. Provision of an account and services to an institution should not adversely affect the Federal Reserve’s ability to implement monetary policy.

a. The Reserve Bank should incorporate, to the extent possible, the assessments of an institution by state and/or federal supervisors into its independent assessment of the institution’s risk profile.

b. The Reserve Bank should determine, in coordination with the other Reserve Banks and the Board, whether access to an account and services by an institution itself or a group of like institutions could have an effect on the implementation of monetary policy.

c. The Reserve Bank should consider, among other things, whether access to a Reserve Bank account and services by the institution could affect the level and variability of the demand for and supply of reserves, the level and volatility of key policy interest rates, the structure of key short-term funding markets, and on the overall size of the consolidated balance sheet of the Reserve Banks. The Reserve Bank should consider the implications of providing an account to the institution in normal times as well as in times of stress. This consideration should occur regardless of the current monetary policy implementation framework in place.

III. Request for Comment

The Board requests comment on all aspects of the proposed account access guidelines, including: (1) Whether the scope and application of the proposed guidance are sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve their intended purpose; and (2) suggestions for identifying other criteria or information that commenters believe may be relevant to
evaluate accounts and services requests under the proposed guidance. The Board further seeks comment specifically on the following aspects of the proposed guidance:

1. Do the proposed account access guidelines address all the risks that would be relevant to the Federal Reserve’s policy goals?

2. Does the level of specificity in each principle provide sufficient clarity and transparency about how the Reserve Banks will evaluate requests?

3. Do the proposed account access guidelines support responsible financial innovation?

Finally, the Board also seeks comment on whether the Board or the Reserve Banks should consider other steps or actions to facilitate the review of requests for accounts and services in a consistent and equitable manner.

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Ann Misback,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2021–09873 Filed 5–10–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (Act) (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board’s Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)). The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board’s Freedom of Information Office at https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/request.htm. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in paragraph 7 of the Act.

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20551–0001, not later than May 26, 2021.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas
   (Karen Smith, Director, Applications)
   2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201–2272:
   1. The Vanguard Group, Inc., Malvern, Pennsylvania: on behalf of itself, its subsidiaries and affiliates, including investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, other pooled investment vehicles, and institutional accounts that are sponsored, managed, or advised by Vanguard; to acquire additional voting shares of Prosperity Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas, and thereby indirectly acquire additional voting shares of Prosperity Bank, El Campo, Texas.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of New York
   (Ivan Hurwitz, Senior Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045–0001. Comments can also be sent electronically to Comments.applications@ny.frb.org:
   1. The Vanguard Group, Inc., Malvern, Pennsylvania: on behalf of itself, its subsidiaries and affiliates, including investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, other pooled investment vehicles, and institutional accounts that are sponsored, managed, or advised by Vanguard; to acquire additional voting shares of Community Bank System, Inc., DeWitt, New York, and thereby indirectly acquire additional voting shares of Community Bank, National Association, Canton, New York.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco
   (Sebastian Astrada, Director, Applications) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105–1579:
   1. The Vanguard Group, Inc., Malvern, Pennsylvania: on behalf of itself, its subsidiaries and affiliates, including investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, other pooled investment vehicles, and institutional accounts that are sponsored, managed, or advised by Vanguard; to acquire additional voting shares of Westamerica Bancorporation, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Westamerica Bank, both of San Rafael, California.

D. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
   (Jeffrey Imgarten, Assistant Vice President) 1 Memorial Drive, Kansas City, Missouri 64198–0001:
   1. The Frank L. Bruning Nonqualifying Trust Share (“FL Bruning Trust”), Fred D. Bruning, Bruning, Nebraska, and Jane A. Tonniges, Omaha, Nebraska; and the Frank L. Bruning Revocable Trust (“MB Bruning Trust”), Mary B. Bruning, as co-trustee, both of Bruning, Nebraska; to retain voting shares of Bruning Bancshares, Inc., and indirectly retain voting shares of Bruning Bank, both of Bruning, Nebraska. Additionally, the Jane A. Tonniges Revocable Trust, Jane A Tonniges, as trustee, and Christopher Tonniges, all of Omaha, Nebraska; the Fred D. Bruning 2020 Irrevocable Trust, Penny J. Bruning, trustee, both of Bruning, Nebraska, and Dennis C. Stara, special purpose trustee, Lincoln, Nebraska; Adam F. Bruning, Hebron, Nebraska; Reiss L. Bruning, Bruning, Nebraska; and Dennis C. Stara, Lincoln, Nebraska; with the FL Bruning Trust and the MB Bruning Trust, to join the Bruning Family Group, a group acting in concert, to retain voting shares of Bruning Bancshares, Inc., and indirectly retain voting shares of Bruning Bank.


Michele Taylor Fennell,
Deputy Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2021–09880 Filed 5–10–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission (FTC).

ACTION: Notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The FTC requests that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) extend for three years the current Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) clearance for information collection requirements contained in the Commission’s rules and regulations under the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act (Textile Rules), That clearance expires on May 31, 2021.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 10, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. The reginfo.gov web link is a United States Government website produced by OMB and the General Services Administration (GSA). Under PRA requirements, OMB’s Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) reviews Federal information collections.