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include limited language access
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engagement processes, including for

and policymaking commenting and

communities?

How might affected

are accessible to underserved

processes more accessible?

What kinds of training and capacity

building within agencies would support equitable grantmaking and financial assistance efforts?

What kinds of benchmarks and assessment techniques would support equitable grantmaking and financial assistance efforts?

What kinds of data should agencies collect and use to assess equity in their grantmaking and financial assistance practices?

For Area 5, on stakeholder and community engagement: Section 8 of E.O. 13985 instructs agencies to expand their use of stakeholder and community engagement in carrying out the Order. OMB seeks specific approaches to stakeholder and community engagement with underserved communities that others have successfully used and that Federal agencies could adapt or apply.

Accordingly, OMB welcomes submissions that address questions such as:

What processes should agencies have in place to engage proactively with the underserved individuals and communities that will be most affected by agency programs, policies, rules, processes, or operations? How can agencies design and implement community engagement practices that are accessible to underserved communities? How might affected communities be engaged pro-actively and early to shape agency policy priorities and strategies?

What tools and best practices might agencies deploy to establish advisory boards, task forces, and commissions that are inclusive of underserved communities?

How can an agency assess the accessibility of the agency’s rulemaking and policymaking commenting and engagement processes, including for individuals that experience barriers to participation? Examples of barriers may include limited language access assistance, online-only engagement, and minimal proactive notification of opportunities to provide comment.

Do feedback mechanisms for customers, beneficiaries, and communities affected by Government programs exist to inform policy research and evaluation processes? If so, are these feedback mechanisms accessible to underserved communities? If not, what are best practices that agencies should consider?

What tools could agencies develop for expanding stakeholder input into programmatic and regulatory changes to minimize barriers and burden? How may existing processes (e.g., notice and comment on information collections) be enhanced to improve accessibility by stakeholders?

What tools can agency offices, including communications, civic engagement, enforcement, and policymaking offices, use to better engage or reach underserved communities?

What are some of the barriers or factors that challenge underserved communities’ interactions with Federal agencies and programs?

What practices should agencies put in place to reach underserved communities in rural areas or underserved communities that otherwise are not able to visit Washington, DC, to engage with policymakers?

Shalanda Young,
Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget.
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BILLING CODE 3110–01–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

30-Day Notice for the “NEA Panelist Profile Data”

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Arts.

ACTION: Notice of proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the NEA is soliciting comments concerning the proposed information collection for the NEA Panelist Profile Data. Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by visiting www.Reginfo.gov.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments within 30 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the National Endowment for the Arts, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is particularly interested in comments which: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Could help minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of electronic submission of responses through Grants.gov.

Agency: National Endowment for the Arts.

Title: NEA Panelist Profile Data Collection.

OMB Number: 3135–0098.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 600.

Total burden hours: 100 hours.

Total annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

The NEA’s mission is “to strengthen the creative capacity of our communities by providing all Americans with diverse opportunities for arts participation.” With the advice of the National Council on the Arts and advisory panels, the Chairman establishes eligibility requirements and criteria for the review of applications for funding. Section 950(c) of the NEA’s enabling legislation, as amended, directs
the Chairman to utilize advisory panels to review applications and to make recommendations to the National Council on the Arts, which in turn makes recommendations to the Chairman.

The legislation requires the Chairman “(1) to ensure that all panels are composed, to the extent practicable, of individuals reflecting a wide geographic, ethnic, and minority representation as well as to (2) ensure that all panels include representation of lay individuals who are knowledgeable about the arts . . . . ” These panels are considered to be committees under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), which also requires that committees be balanced geographically and ethnically. In addition, the membership of each panel must change substantially from year to year and each individual is ineligible to serve on a panel for more than three consecutive years. To assist with efforts to meet these legislated mandates regarding representation on advisory panels, the NEA has established a database of names, addresses, areas of expertise and other basic information on individuals who are qualified to serve as panelists for the NEA.

The Panelist Profile Data Collection, for which clearance is requested, is used to gather basic information from qualified individuals recommended by the arts community; arts organizations; Members of Congress; the general public; local, state and regional arts organizations; NEA staff, and others. Dated: April 30, 2021.

Daniel Beattie,
Director, Office of Guidelines and Panel Operations, Administrative Services National Endowment for the Arts.
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BILLING CODE 7537–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2021–78; Order No. 5880]
Transfer of Bound Print Matter Parcels

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is extending the comment deadline in this docket.

DATES: Comments are due: May 17, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: On March 30, 2021, the Commission established Docket No. MC2021–78 to consider the Postal Service’s request to transfer Bound Printed Matter Parcels from the market dominant product list to the competitive product list. Since the

1 Notice and Order Concerning Transfer of Bound Printed Matter Parcels to the Competitive Product Conti...