

You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) directs the Secretary of the Interior to involve the public in planning and issues related to management of lands administered by the BLM. Section 309 of FLPMA (43 U.S.C. 1739) directs the Secretary to establish 10- to 15-member citizen-based advisory councils that are consistent with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). As required by FACA, RAC membership must be balanced and representative of the various interests concerned with the management of the public lands. The rules governing RACs are found at 43 CFR subpart 1784. The RACs include the following three membership categories:

Category One—Holders of Federal grazing permits or leases within the area for which the RAC is organized; represent interests associated with transportation or rights-of-way; represent developed outdoor recreation, off-highway vehicle users, or commercial recreation activities; represent the commercial timber industry; or represent energy and mineral development.

Category Two—Representatives of nationally or regionally recognized environmental organizations; dispersed recreational activities; archaeological and historical interests; or nationally or regionally recognized wild horse and burro interest groups.

Category Three—Hold State, county, or local elected office; are employed by a State agency responsible for the management of natural resources, land, or water; represent Indian tribes within or adjacent to the area for which the RAC is organized; are employed as academicians in natural resource management or the natural sciences; or represent the affected public at large.

Individuals may nominate themselves or others. Nominees must be residents of the State of New Mexico. The BLM will evaluate nominees based on their education, training, experience, and knowledge of the geographic area of the RAC. Nominees should demonstrate a commitment to collaborative resource decision-making.

The following must accompany all nominations:

—A completed RAC application, which can either be obtained through your local BLM office or online at: https://www.blm.gov/sites/blm.gov/files/1120-019_0.pdf

—Letters of reference from represented interests or organizations; and

—Any other information that addresses the nominee's qualifications.

Simultaneous with this notice, BLM State Offices will issue press releases providing additional information for submitting nominations.

Before including any address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in the application, nominees should be aware this information may be made publicly available at any time. While the nominee can ask to withhold the personal identifying information from public review, the BLM cannot guarantee that it will be able to do so.

Nominations and completed applications for RACs should be sent to the appropriate BLM offices listed below:

New Mexico

Southern New Mexico RAC

Glen Garnand, BLM Roswell Field Office, 2909 West Second Street, Roswell, NM 88201; Phone: (575) 627-0209.

Northern New Mexico RAC

Jillian Aragon, BLM Farmington Field Office, 6251 College Boulevard, Suite A, Farmington, NM 87402; Phone: (505) 564-7722.

(Authority: 43 CFR 1784.4-1)

Steven R. Wells,

Acting New Mexico State Director.

[FR Doc. 2021-08882 Filed 4-28-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FB-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection of eComments Requested; New Information Collection; Financial History Questionnaire—ATF Form 8620.28

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), Department of Justice (DOJ), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection

(IC) is also being published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until June 28, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, regarding the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact: Lakisha Gregory, Chief, Personnel Security Division either by mail at 99 New York Ave, NE, Washington, DC 20226, by email at Lakisha.Gregory@atf.gov, or by telephone at 202-648-9260.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection (check justification or form 83):* New collection.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Financial History Questionnaire.

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form number (if applicable): ATF Form 8620.28.

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Individuals or households.

Other (if applicable): None.

Abstract: The Financial History Questionnaire—ATF Form 8620.28 will be used to determine if a candidate for Federal or contractor employment at the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), satisfies all just financial obligations.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 2,000 respondents will use the form annually, and it will take each respondent approximately 10 minutes to complete their responses.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 333 hours, which is equal to 2,000 (# of respondents) * .166667 (20 minutes).

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 26, 2021.

Melody Braswell,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2021-08940 Filed 4-28-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Intuit Inc., et al.; **Response to Public Comments**

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby publishes below the Response to Public Comments on the Proposed Final Judgment in *United States v. Intuit Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-03441-ABJ, which was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on April 23, 2021, together with a copy of the one comment received by the United States.

A copy of the comment and the United States' response to the comment is available at <https://www.justice.gov/atr/case/us-v-intuit-inc-and-credit-karma-inc>. A copy of the comment and the United States' response are available for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for

the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may also be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics, Antitrust Division.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, *Plaintiff*, v. Intuit Inc., and Credit Karma, Inc., *Defendants*.
Civil Action No.: 1:20-cv-03441-ABJ

Response of Plaintiff United States to Public Comment on the Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (the “APPA” or “Tunney Act”), 15 U.S.C. 16, the United States hereby responds to the one public comment received regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case. After careful consideration of the submitted comment, the United States continues to believe that the divestiture required by the proposed Final Judgment provides an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violation alleged in the Complaint and is therefore in the public interest. The United States will move the Court for entry of the Amended Proposed Final Judgment after the public comment and this response have been published as required by 15 U.S.C. 16(d).

I. Procedural History

On February 24, 2020, Intuit Inc. (“Intuit”) agreed to acquire Credit Karma, Inc. (“Credit Karma”) (collectively, “Defendants”) for approximately \$7.1 billion. After a thorough and comprehensive investigation, the United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint against Defendants on November 25, 2020, seeking to enjoin the proposed transaction because it would likely substantially lessen competition for the development, provision, operation, and support of digital do-it-yourself (“DDIY”) tax preparation products that help individuals file U.S. federal and state income tax returns (“DDIY tax preparation products”), in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. *See* Dkt. No. 1.

At the same time the Complaint was filed, the United States filed a proposed Final Judgment and an Asset Preservation and Hold Separate Stipulation and Order (“Stipulation and Order”) in which the United States and Defendants consent to entry of the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the requirements of the

APPA. *See* Dkt. Nos. 2–2, 2–1. On December 1, 2020, the Court entered the Stipulation and Order. *See* Dkt. No. 3. On December 8, 2020, the divestiture contemplated by the proposed Final Judgment was effectuated to Square, Inc. (“Square”). Pursuant to requirements under the APPA, the United States filed the Competitive Impact Statement on December 10, 2020, describing the transaction and the proposed Final Judgment. *See* Dkt. Nos. 3, 10. On December 16, 2020, the United States published the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement in the **Federal Register**, *see* 85 FR 81501 (Dec. 16, 2020), and caused notice regarding the same, together with directions for the submission of written comments relating to the proposed Final Judgment, to be published in *The Washington Post* from December 15, 2020, through December 21, 2020. The 60-day period for public comment ended on February 19, 2020. The United States received one comment concerning the allegations in the Complaint, attached as Exhibit 1. On March 9, 2021, the United States filed a Joint Notice of Amended Proposed Final Judgment (the “Joint Notice”), attaching an Amended Proposed Final Judgment as Exhibit 1. *See* Dkt. Nos. 13, 13–1. As stated in the Joint Notice, the Amended Proposed Final Judgment addresses a technical clarification to the original proposed Final Judgment to allow Intuit to comply with its obligations under its Memorandum of Understanding with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in connection with Intuit’s participation in the IRS Free File program. *See* Dkt. No. 13 at pp. 1, 3. The Amended Proposed Final Judgment is identical in all respects to the original proposed Final Judgment except for the change to Paragraph IV(O)(2), which has been made for the limited purpose of permitting Intuit to comply with obligations to the IRS. *See* Dkt. 13 at p. 4.

II. The Complaint and the Amended Proposed Final Judgment

The Complaint alleges that Intuit’s proposed acquisition of Credit Karma would likely eliminate existing head-to-head competition between Intuit’s DDIY tax preparation business, TurboTax, and Credit Karma’s DDIY tax preparation business, Credit Karma Tax (“CKT”). Specifically, CKT has been an important competitive constraint on Intuit’s TurboTax, and such head-to-head competition has led to lower prices and increased quality for DDIY tax preparation products. The Complaint also alleges that, absent the merger, the competition between TurboTax and