

respond: An estimated 500 respondents will use the form annually, and it will take each respondent approximately 6 minutes to complete their responses.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection*: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 50 hours, which is equal to 500 (# of respondents) * .1 (6 minutes).

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 26, 2021.

Melody Braswell,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2021-06586 Filed 3-30-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-14-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0068]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection of eComments Requested; Extension Without Change of a Currently Approved Collection; Police Check Inquiry—ATF F 8620.42

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), Department of Justice (DOJ) will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until April 30, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning

the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection*: Extension, without change, of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection*: Police Check Inquiry.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection*:
Form number: ATF Form 8620.42.
Component: Bureau of Alcohol,

Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract*:

Primary: Individuals or households.

Other: None.

Abstract: The Police Check Inquiry—ATF Form 8620.42 is used to collect personally identifiable information (PII) to determine if non-ATF personnel meet the basic requirements for escorted access to ATF facilities, non-sensitive information and/or construction sites.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond*: An estimated 1,000 respondents will use the form annually, and it will take each respondent approximately 4.98 minutes to complete their responses.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection*: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 83 hours, which is equal to 1,000 (# of respondents) * .083 (4.98 minutes).

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 26, 2021.

Melody Braswell,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2021-06609 Filed 3-30-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-14-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 17-45]

Ester Mark, M.D.; Decision and Order

On July 7, 2017, a former Assistant Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government), issued an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) to Ester Mark, M.D., (hereinafter, Respondent) of Newport Beach, California.

Administrative Law Judge Exhibit 1, (OSC) at 1. The OSC proposed to revoke her DEA Certificate of Registration (hereinafter, COR) No. BM5370123, and deny her pending application COR No. W15069021C pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(4) for the reason that Respondent’s “continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest.” *Id.*

In response to the OSC, Respondent timely requested a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. ALJ-2. The hearing in this matter was held in Santa Ana, California, on January 23–24, 2018. On April 5, 2018, Administrative Law Judge Charles Wm. Dorman (hereinafter, ALJ) issued Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision (hereinafter, Recommended Decision or RD), and on May 9, 2018, the Respondent filed exceptions (hereinafter, Resp Exceptions) to the Recommended Decision. The Government did not file any exceptions to the Recommended Decision or a response to Respondent’s exceptions. Having reviewed the entire record, I find the Respondent’s Exceptions without merit and I adopt the ALJ’s rulings, findings of fact, as modified, conclusions of law and recommended sanction with minor modifications, where noted herein.*A

*A I have made minor modifications to the RD. I have substituted initials or titles for the names of witnesses and patients to protect their privacy and I have made minor, nonsubstantive, grammatical