radionuclides with very short half-lives will decay to levels not detectable with common radiation detectors. During the same time frame, radionuclides with very long half-lives will undergo no appreciable radioactive decay and will therefore be at the same activity levels. The NRC staff did not identify activities that would result in a significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents involving the licensed material as a result of the proposed action. The NRC staff considered the potential for any contamination to spread or migrate off the permitted site during the additional 3-years that would be allowed for decommissioning and termination. For sites without any potential groundwater contamination, the NRC staff did not identify any reasonable scenarios for contamination to migrate off-site given the AF’s ability to maintain institutional controls over the permitted sites. For sites with the potential for groundwater contamination, the NRC staff determined that it did not have sufficient information to complete a generic analysis of these permitted sites at this time. Consistent with the condition imposed on the amendment to sites without groundwater contamination, the NRC staff did not perform additional environmental analysis of these type of sites.

As a Federal agency, the AF or a successor agency would continue to be able to maintain institutional controls over the permitted sites and perform the necessary decommission and termination consistent with its appropriations. Therefore, the short extension from a 2-year period to the 5-year period is unlikely to result in abandonment of a site or affect the AF’s ability to perform decommissioning.

Based on the previously noted analysis, the NRC staff finds that the environmental impacts of the proposed action are not significant.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered the no-action alternative in which the NRC staff would deny the extension request. Denial of the request would require the AF to request separate extensions for most, if not all, of its permittees. This would place an undue burden on the AF and the NRC staff associated with the licensing actions required for such separate extension requests as the criteria to be considered by the NRC for approval would be the same or similar as those for the proposed action. In addition, the AF would not be able to comply with the timeliness requirements of 10 CFR 30.36(b)(1) and (2) due to the appropriation process. Therefore, the no-action alternative was not further considered.

Consultations

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (Act) [16 U.S.C. 1531 et seg.] outlines the procedures for Federal interagency cooperation to conserve Federally listed species and designated critical habitats. Section 7(a)(2) states that each Federal agency shall, in consultation with the Secretary, “insure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat.” The NRC staff has determined that a Section 7 consultation is not required because the proposed action is administrative/procedural in nature and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not a type of activity that have potential to cause effects on historic properties because they are administrative/procedural actions. Therefore, no additional consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Conclusion

Based on its review, the NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action complies with 10 CFR part 30. NRC has prepared this EA in support of the proposed license amendment to approve an alternative decommissioning schedule. On the basis of the EA, NRC has concluded that the environmental impacts from the proposed action are expected to be insignificant and has determined that preparation of an environmental impact statement for the proposed action is not required.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the EA, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.


For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Patricia K. Holahan,
Director, Division of Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery and Waste Programs, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.
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POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2021–74 and CP2021–77]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission’s consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: March 29, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–769–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction
II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance...
with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)


This Notice will be published in the Federal Register.

Erica A. Barker, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–06204 Filed 3–24–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE
Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

DATES: Date of required notice: March 25, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Robinson, 202–268–8405.


Sean Robinson, Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2021–06131 Filed 3–24–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE
Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

DATES: Date of required notice: March 25, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Robinson, 202–268–8405.


Sean Robinson, Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2021–06130 Filed 3–24–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Securities Act of 1933; Securities Exchange Act of 1934; Amendment To Order Approving Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Budget and Annual Accounting Support Fee for Calendar Year 2021

On December 16, 2020, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) issued an Order (the “Order”) approving the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB”) budget and annual accounting support fee for calendar year 2021, pursuant to Section 109 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”), that Order stated, among other things, that the PCAOB should submit its 2020 annual report (“2020 Annual Report”) to the Commission by March 31, 2021. The PCAOB has informed the Commission staff that, due to a change in auditors in January 2021, the 2020 Annual Report, including the audit report, may not be completed by March 31, 2021.

The Commission is amending the Order to permit the PCAOB to submit its 2020 Annual Report to the Commission by April 30, 2021.

Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 109 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, that the PCAOB should submit its 2020 Annual Report to the Commission by April 30, 2021.
