

innersprings, foam, other resilient filling, or a combination of these materials. Mattresses may also contain: (1) “upholstery,” the material between the core and the top panel of the ticking on a single-sided mattress; or between the core and the top and bottom panel of the ticking on a double-sided mattress; and/or (2) “ticking,” the outermost layer of fabric or other material (e.g., vinyl) that encloses the core and any upholstery, also known as a cover.

The scope of this investigation is restricted to only “adult mattresses” and “youth mattresses.” “Adult mattresses” are frequently described as “twin,” “extra-long twin,” “full,” “queen,” “king,” or “California king” mattresses. “Youth mattresses” are typically described as “crib,” “toddler,” or “youth” mattresses. All adult and youth mattresses are included regardless of size and size description.

The scope encompasses all types of “innerspring mattresses,” “non-innerspring mattresses,” and “hybrid mattresses.” “Innerspring mattresses” contain innersprings, a series of metal springs joined together in sizes that correspond to the dimensions of mattresses. Mattresses that contain innersprings are referred to as “innerspring mattresses” or “hybrid mattresses.” “Hybrid mattresses” contain two or more support systems as the core, such as layers of both memory foam and innerspring units.

“Non-innerspring mattresses” are those that do not contain any innerspring units. They are generally produced from foams (e.g., polyurethane, memory (viscoelastic), latex foam, gel-infused viscoelastic (gel foam), thermobonded polyester, polyethylene) or other resilient filling.

Mattresses covered by the scope of this investigation may be imported independently, as part of furniture or furniture mechanisms (e.g., convertible sofa bed mattresses, sofa bed mattresses imported with sofa bed mechanisms, corner group mattresses, day-bed mattresses, roll-away bed mattresses, high risers, trundle bed mattresses, crib mattresses), or as part of a set in combination with a “mattress foundation.” “Mattress foundations” are any base or support for a mattress. Mattress foundations are commonly referred to as “foundations,” “boxsprings,” “platforms,” and/or “bases.” Bases can be static, foldable, or adjustable. Only the mattress is covered by the scope if imported as part of furniture, with furniture mechanisms, or as part of a set in combination with a mattress foundation.

Excluded from the scope of this investigation are “futon” mattresses. A “futon” is a bi-fold frame made of wood, metal, or plastic material, or any combination thereof, that functions as both seating furniture (such as a couch, love seat, or sofa) and a bed. A “futon mattress” is a tufted mattress, where the top covering is secured to the bottom with thread that goes completely through the mattress from the top through to the bottom, and it does not contain innersprings or foam. A futon mattress is both the bed and seating surface for the futon.

Also excluded from the scope are airbeds (including inflatable mattresses) and

waterbeds, which consist of air- or liquid-filled bladders as the core or main support system of the mattress.

Also excluded is certain multifunctional furniture that is convertible from seating to sleeping, regardless of filler material or components, where that filler material or components are upholstered, integrated into the design and construction of, and inseparable from, the furniture framing, and the outermost layer of the multifunctional furniture converts into the sleeping surface. Such furniture may, and without limitation, be commonly referred to as “convertible sofas,” “sofabeds,” “sofa chaise sleepers,” “futons,” “ottoman sleepers” or a like description.

Also excluded from the scope of this investigation are any products covered by the existing antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from China or Vietnam. See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order*, 74 FR 7661 (February 19, 2009); *Antidumping Duty Order: Uncovered Innerspring Units from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 73 FR 75391 (December 11, 2008).

Also excluded from the scope of this investigation are bassinet pads with a nominal length of less than 39 inches, a nominal width less than 25 inches, and a nominal depth of less than 2 inches.

Additionally, also excluded from the scope of this investigation are “mattress toppers.” A “mattress topper” is a removable bedding accessory that supplements a mattress by providing an additional layer that is placed on top of a mattress. Excluded mattress toppers have a height of four inches or less.

The products subject to this investigation are currently properly classifiable under HTSUS subheadings: 9404.21.0010, 9404.21.0013, 9404.29.1005, 9404.29.1013, 9404.29.9085, and 9404.29.9087. Products subject to this investigation may also enter under HTSUS subheadings: 9404.21.0095, 9404.29.1095, 9404.29.9095, 9401.40.0000, and 9401.90.5081. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2021-06187 Filed 3-24-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results, Preliminary Rescission, and Final Rescission, In Part, of the 25th Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting the 25th

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People’s Republic of China (China). The period of review (POR) for the administrative review is November 1, 2018, through October 31, 2019. Commerce preliminarily determines that the only mandatory respondent for which a request for review remains, Shijiazhuang Goodman Trading Co., Ltd. (Goodman), failed to establish its eligibility for a separate rate and therefore is part of the China-wide entity. We also preliminarily find that the review request made by The Roots Farm Inc. (Roots Farm) was not valid, and accordingly have preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to the other mandatory respondent, Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd. (Harmoni). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable March 25, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leo Ayala or Alex Cipolla, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3945 or (202) 482-4956.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 17, 2020, Commerce initiated the twenty-fifth administrative review of fresh garlic from China with respect to eleven companies.¹ On April 24, 2020, and July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days and 60 days, respectively, thereby extending the deadline for these preliminary results to November 19, 2020.² On October 20, 2020, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review.³ The revised deadline for the preliminary results is now March 19, 2021.

Scope of the Order

The products subject to the antidumping duty order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 3014 (January 17, 2020).

² See Memoranda, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19,” dated April 24, 2020; and “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,” dated July 21, 2020.

³ See Memorandum, “Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China—25th Administrative Review (2018–2019): Extension of Deadline for the Preliminary Results of the Review,” dated October 20, 2020.

constituent cloves. Fresh garlic that are subject to the order are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) 0703.20.0000, 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0015, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0050, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, 0711.90.6500, 2005.90.9500, 2005.90.9700, and 2005.99.9700. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive. For a full description of the scope of this order, please see “Scope of the Order” in the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, hereby adopted by this notice.⁴

Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

On April 15, 2020, the sole review requests were timely withdrawn for five companies.⁵ Commerce is, therefore, partially rescinding this administrative review with respect to the companies listed in Appendix I, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Preliminary Rescission of Administrative Review

In addition, as discussed at “Preliminary Rescission of Administrative Review” in the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, Commerce has preliminarily determined that the review request from Roots Farm was invalid, and is preliminarily rescinding the administrative review with respect to one mandatory respondent, Harmoni.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.214.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized

⁴ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results, Preliminary Rescission, and Final Rescission, In Part, of the 2018–2019 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See Petitioners’ Letter, “25th Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China—Petitioners’ Partial Withdrawal of Review Request,” dated April 15, 2020.

Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix IV to this notice.

China-Wide Entity

Commerce’s policy regarding conditional review of the China-wide entity applies to this administrative review.⁶ Under this policy, the China-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review and the entity’s rate (*i.e.*, \$4.71/kg) is not subject to change. Aside from the companies for which the review is being rescinded or preliminarily rescinded, Commerce considers all other companies⁷ for which a review was requested, and which did not preliminarily qualify for a separate rate, to be part of the China-wide entity. For additional information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination of Separate Rates for Non-Selected Companies

In accordance with section 777A(c)(2)(B) of the Act, Commerce employed a limited examination methodology, as it determined that it would not be practicable to examine individually all companies for which a review request was made.⁸ There were two exporters of subject merchandise from China that have demonstrated their eligibility for a separate rate but were not selected for individual examination in this review. These two exporters are listed in Appendix III.

Neither the Act nor Commerce’s regulations address the establishment of the rate applied to individual companies not selected for examination where Commerce limited its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the

⁶ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

⁷ The companies that are part of the China-wide entity are Shijiazhuang Goodman Trading Co., Ltd.; Qingdao Maycarrier Import & Export Co., Ltd.; and Weifang Hongqiao International Logistics Co., Ltd.

⁸ See Memorandum, “2018–2019 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China: Selection of Respondents for Individual Examination,” dated February 20, 2020.

Act. Commerce’s practice in cases involving limited selection based on exporters accounting for the largest volume of imports has been to look to section 735(c)(5) of the Act for guidance, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act instructs Commerce to use rates established for individually investigated producers and exporters, excluding any rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available in investigations. In this administrative review, neither reviewed respondent received a weighted-average dumping margin. Therefore, for the preliminary results, Commerce has preliminarily determined to assign the separate-rate from the prior review,⁹ which was Goodman’s calculated rate, to the non-selected separate-rate companies.

Preliminary Results of Administrative Review

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the administrative review covering the period November 1, 2018, through October 31, 2019:

Exporter	Weighted-average margin (dollars per kilogram)
Shandong Happy Foods Co., Ltd	4.34
Jining Alpha Food Co., Ltd ...	4.34
China-Wide Entity ¹⁰	4.71

Public Comment and Opportunity to Request a Hearing

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), case briefs or other written comments may be submitted within thirty days after the date on which this notice is published in the **Federal Register**, and rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.¹¹ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief

⁹ See *Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission, of the 24th Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 85 FR 71049 (November 6, 2020).

¹⁰ The companies that are part of the China-wide entity in this review are Shijiazhuang Goodman Trading Co., Ltd.; Qingdao Maycarrier Import & Export Co., Ltd.; and Weifang Hongqiao International Logistics Co., Ltd.

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. All electronically filed documents must be received successfully and timely in their entirety by Commerce's electronic records system, ACCESS.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). For the companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce will direct CBP to assess rates based on the per-unit (*i.e.*, per kilogram) amount on each entry of the subject merchandise during the POR. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 35 days after the publication date of the final results of review.

Commerce announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for merchandise that was not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter (*i.e.*, at the individually-examined exporter's cash deposit rate), Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if Commerce determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*,

at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the China-wide rate.¹²

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in these final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the China-wide rate of 4.71 U.S. dollars per kilogram; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

¹² For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

Dated: March 18, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Companies for Which Administrative Reviews Have Been Rescinded

1. China Jiangsu International Economic Technical Cooperation Corporation
2. Hebei Holy Flame International
3. Jinxiang Qingtian Garlic Industries
4. Qingdao Ritai Food Co., Ltd.
5. Yingxin (Wuqiang) International Trade

Appendix II

Companies for Which Administrative Review Has Been Preliminarily Rescinded

1. Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd.

Appendix III

Non-Selected Separate Rate Companies

1. Jining Alpha Food Co., Ltd.
2. Shandong Happy Foods Co., Ltd.

Appendix IV

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
- V. Discussion of Methodology
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-06182 Filed 3-24-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-140]

Certain Mobile Access Equipment and Subassemblies Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable March 18, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Copyak, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3642.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On February 26, 2021, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) received a countervailing duty (CVD) petition concerning imports of certain mobile access equipment and