Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received, we made changes for these final results which are explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period May 1, 2018, through April 30, 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer or exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garg Tube Export LLP and Garg Tube Limited ..................</td>
<td>13.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results to parties in this proceeding within five days after public announcement of the final results, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For Garg Tube, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), the entries by that importer will be liquidated without reference to antidumping duties. For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Garg Tube for which it did not know the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to continue to be 7.08 percent, the all-

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the Federal Register of this notice for all shipments of pipe and tube from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Garg Tube will be 13.90 percent. (2) The cash deposit rate for Garg Tube will be 13.90 percent for entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the all-

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the Federal Register of this notice for all shipments of pipe and tube from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Garg Tube will be 13.90 percent. (2) The cash deposit rate for Garg Tube will be 13.90 percent for entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the all-

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).


Christian Marsh,
 Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
V. Discussion of the Issues
   Comment 1: Particular Market Situation and Quantifying an Adjustment
   Comment 2: Partial Adverse Facts Available for Non-Cooperative Unaffiliated Suppliers’ Costs
VI. Recommendation
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SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines
that diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People’s Republic of China (China) were sold at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) November 1, 2018, through October 31, 2019. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 17, 2020, Commerce initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China.1 The administrative review covers two mandatory respondents, Chengdu Huifeng New Material Technology Co., Ltd. (Chengdu Huifeng) and Wuhan Wanbang Laser Diamond Tools Co., Ltd. (Wuhan Wanbang).

On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days, thereby tolling the deadline for the preliminary results of review.2 On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days, thereby tolling the deadline for the preliminary results of review until November 19, 2020.3 On October 19, 2020, Commerce extended the time limit for issuing the preliminary results of the review by 120 days, to no later than March 19, 2021.4

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is diamond sawblades and parts thereof, which is typically imported under heading 8202.39.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). When packaged together as a set for retail sale with an item that is separately classified under headings 8202 to 8205 of the HTSUS, diamond sawblades or parts thereof may be imported under heading 8206.00.00.00 of the HTSUS. On October 11, 2020, Commerce included the 6804.21.00.00 HTSUS classification number to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Pursuant to requests by CBP, Commerce included to the customs case reference file the following HTSUS classification numbers: 8202.39.0040 and 8202.39.0070 on January 22, 2015, and 6804.21.0010 and 6804.21.0080 on January 26, 2015.

While the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.5

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Three companies that received a separate rate in previous segments of the proceeding and are subject to this review reported that they did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.6 We requested that CBP report any contrary information.7 To date, we have not received any contrary information from either CBP in response to our inquiry or any other sources that these companies had any shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.8 Further, consistent with our practice, we find that it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to these companies, but rather to complete the review and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of review.9

7 See CBP message numbers 0094406, 0094409, and 0094410, dated April 3, 2020 [ACCESS barcodes 3962143–01, 3962145–01, and 3962146–01].
8 See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 3–4.
9 See, e.g., Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and

Recission of Review in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. The request for an administrative review of Husqvarna (Hebei) Co., Ltd. was withdrawn within 90 days of the date of publication of the Initiation Notice.10 As a result, Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to this company in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Separate Rates

Commerce preliminarily determines that four respondents are eligible to receive separate rates in this review.11

Separate Rate for Eligible Non-Selected Respondents

Commerce preliminarily determines that the respondents not selected for individual examination, the Jiangsu Fengtai Single Entity,12 and Zhejiang Wanli Tools Group Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang Wanli), are eligible to receive a separate rate in the administrative review.13

China-Wide Entity

Under Commerce’s policy regarding the conditional review of the China-wide entity,15 the China-wide entity


13 For more details, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum at “Separate Rates” section.
14 Id.
15 See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent
will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review, and the entity’s rate (i.e., 82.05 percent) is not subject to change.16 Aside from the non-shipping and separate rate companies discussed above, Commerce considers all other companies for which a review was requested (which did not file a separate rate application) to be part of the China-wide entity.17

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772(c) of the Act. Because China is a non-market economy within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Methodology background information until further notice.22

Disclosure

We intend to disclose calculations performed in these preliminary results to parties within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results.19

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.20 Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.21 Commerce modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information until further notice.22 Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each brief: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.24

Unless the deadline is extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of these reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(b).

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.25 If a respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis (i.e., 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer’s examined sales and, where possible, the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).26 Where an importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.27

17 See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 85 FR 3014, 3019–20 (January 17, 2020) (“All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate rate application or certification, as described below”); see also Appendix II of this notice for a list of companies that are subject to this administrative review that are considered to be part of the China-wide entity.
18 This rate is based on the simple average of the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review as described in “Separate Rate for Eligible Non-Selected Respondents,” above.
19 See 19 CFR 351.224(b).
20 See 19 CFR 351.309(c).
21 See 19 CFR 351.309(d).
23 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2) and 19 CFR 351.303 for general filing requirements.
24 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
27 See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).
For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by exporters individually examined during this review, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate. If Commerce determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the China-wide rate.28

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the Federal Register. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of these reviews for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the subject merchandise exported by the companies listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of review (except, if the rates are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on AFA, then the cash deposit to be required will be the simple average of the rates we determine for the final results); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the China-wide entity; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.422(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these PORs. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing the preliminary results of this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B), 751(a)(3) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.221(b)(4).


Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
V. Discussion of the Methodology
VI. Currency Conversion
VII. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies Preliminarily Not Eligible for a Separate Rate and Treated as Part of the China-Wide Entity

1. ASHINE Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.
2. Danyang City Ou Di Ma Tools Co., Ltd.
3. Danyang Hantronic Import & Export Co., Ltd.
5. Danyang Like Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
7. Danyang Tsunda Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.
8. Guilin Tebon Superhard Material Co., Ltd.
9. Hangzhou Deer King Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd.
10. Hangzhou Kingburg Import & Export Co., Ltd.
11. Hebei XMF Tools Group Co., Ltd.
14. Hong Kong Hao Xin International Group Limited
15. Hebei Changjiang Precision Engineering Materials Technology Co., Ltd.
17. Huzhou Gu’s Import & Export Co., Ltd.
19. Jiangsu Inter-China Group Corporation
20. Jiangsu Youhe Tool Manufacturer Co., Ltd.
21. Orient Gain International Limited
22. Pantos Logistics (HK) Company Limited
23. Pujiang Talent Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.
24. Qingdao Hysong Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.
25. Qingyuan Shangtai Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.
26. Qingdao Shinhai Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd.
27. Quanzhou Zhongzhi Diamond Tool Co., Ltd.
28. Rizhao Hein Saw Co., Ltd.
29. Saint-Gobain Abrasives (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
30. Shanghai Jingquan Industrial Trade Co., Ltd.
31. Shanghai Starcraft Tools Co., Ltd.
32. Sino Tools Co., Ltd.
33. Wuhan Baiyi Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.
34. Wuhan Sadia Trading Co., Ltd.
35. Wuhan ZhaoHua Technology Co., Ltd.
36. Xiamen ZL Diamond Technology Co., Ltd.
37. ZL Diamond Technology Co., Ltd.
38. ZL Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.
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ACTION: Notice; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Chief of the National Institute of Standards and Technology’s (NIST) National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) may approve modifications to a specific Laboratory Accreditation Program (LAP) when a request to modify the LAP is received. Modifications may include addition of tests, types of tests or standards that are directly relevant to the LAP. NVLAP has received a request.