ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 147


State of Michigan Underground Injection Control (UIC) Class II Program; Primacy Approval

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) is proposing to approve the State of Michigan’s Underground Injection Control Class II (UIC) Program for primacy. EPA determined that the State’s program is consistent with the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) at Section 1425 to prevent underground injection activities that endanger underground sources of drinking water. The Agency’s approval allows Michigan to implement and enforce its state regulations for UIC Class II injection wells located within the State. Michigan’s authority includes the regulation of injection well Classes I, III, IV, V and VI, and all wells in Indian country, as required by rule under the SDWA. The Agency requests public comment on this proposed rule and supporting documentation. In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this Federal Register, the Agency published EPA’s approval of the State’s program as a direct final rule without a prior proposed rule. If the Agency receives no adverse comment on the direct final rule, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule.

DATES: Written comments must be received by April 19, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OW–2020–0595, through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: https://www.regulations.gov/. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received may be posted without change to https://www.regulations.gov/, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Public Participation” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document. Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Docket Center and Reading Room are closed to the public, with limited exceptions, to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID–19. Our Docket Center staff will continue to provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. We encourage the public to submit comments via https://www.regulations.gov/ or email, as there may be a delay in processing mail. Hand deliveries and couriers may be received by scheduled appointment only. For further information on EPA Docket Center services and the current status, please visit us online at: https://www.epa.gov/dockets. EPA is offering one virtual public hearing so that interested parties may also provide oral comments on the proposed rulemaking. For more information on the virtual public hearing and to register to attend, please visit: https://www.epa.gov/npdese/. Refer to the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below for additional information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kyle Carey, Drinking Water Protection Division, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4606M), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–2322; fax number: (202) 564–3754; email address: carey.kyle@epa.gov, or Anna Miller, UIC Section, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604; telephone number: (312) 886–7060; email address: miller.anna@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This supplementary information section is organized as follows:

I. Public Participation

Submit your written comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OW–2020–0595, at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from the docket. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Contact EPA if you want to submit CBI; see FOR INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

EPA continues to carefully and continuously monitor information from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), local area health departments, and our federal partners so that we can respond rapidly as conditions change regarding COVID–19.

II. The Proposed Rule

EPA proposes to approve Michigan’s UIC Program primacy application for Class II injection wells located within the State (except all wells in Indian country), because it meets all requirements under SDWA for such programs. The proposed rule would grant Michigan primary enforcement authority to prevent Class II (oil and gas-related) underground injection activities that endanger underground sources of drinking water. Accordingly, the Agency proposes to codify the State’s program in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 40 CFR part 147. EPA will continue to administer the UIC Program for injection well Classes I, III, IV, V and VI, and for all wells in Indian country.

EPA has published a direct final rule in the “Rules and Regulations” section of the Federal Register, approving the State’s program because EPA views this approval as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comment. For the Agency’s rationale for approval and additional supplementary information, please see the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comment on the direct final rule, the Agency will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comment on the direct final rule, the Agency will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. The Agency would then consider and address all public comments in any subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The Agency does not intend to institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.
For further information, please contact the persons listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Jane Nishida,
Acting Administrator.
[FR Doc. 2021–05436 Filed 3–18–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 64

[CG Docket Nos. 03–123, 10–51, 13–24; FCC 20–161; FRS 17375]

TRS Fund Contributions

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) proposes to expand the telecommunications relay services (TRS) Fund contribution base for support of video relay service (VRS) and internet Protocol (IP) Relay to include intrastate, as well as interstate, end-user revenues from providers of telecommunications and Voice over IP (VoIP) services.

DATES: Comments are due April 19, 2021. Reply comments are due May 3, 2021.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by CG Docket Nos. 03–123, 10–51, and 12–38, by either of the following methods:

- Federal Communications Commission’s website: https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filings. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see document FCC 20–161 at https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-20-161A1.pdf.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael Scott, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, at: (202) 418–1264, or email Michael.Scott@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), document FCC 20–161, adopted on November 18, 2020, released on November 20, 2020 in CG Docket Nos. 03–123, 10–51, and 12–38. The full text of document FCC 20–161 is available for public inspection and copying via the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530. This proceeding shall be treated as a “permit-but-disclose” proceeding in accordance with the Commission’s ex parte rules. 47 CFR 1.1200 et seq. Persons making ex parte presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the ex parte presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter’s written comments, memoranda, or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during ex parte meetings are deemed to be written ex parte presentations and must be filed consistent with § 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by § 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written ex parte presentations and memoranda summarizing oral ex parte presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission’s ex parte rules.

Initial Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis

The NPRM seeks comment on proposed rule amendments that may result in modified information collection requirements. If the Commission adopts any modified information collection requirements, the Commission will publish another notice in the Federal Register inviting the public to comment on the requirements, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. Public Law 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520. In addition, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, the Commission seeks comment on how it might further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. Public Law 107–198; 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

Synopsis

1. In the NPRM, document FCC 20–161, the Commission proposes to complete the process of updating the mechanism for the funding of internet-based TRS. When the Commission first authorized use of the internet to provide TRS, it decided as an interim measure that all of the costs of providing internet-based TRS should be paid by contributors to the TRS Fund, based only on their interstate telecommunications revenue. In the IP CTS Contributions Order, published at 85 FR 462, January 6, 2020, the Commission recognized that this “interim” funding mechanism, which disproportionately burdens providers and users of interstate services, was no longer justifiable as a means of supporting one internet-based form of TRS—internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service (IP CTS), and expanded the contribution base for that service to include intrastate as well as interstate end-user revenues. The Commission now proposes to expand the TRS Fund contribution base for the other two forms of internet-based TRS—video relay service (VRS) and internet Protocol Relay Service (IP Relay)—so that providers of intrastate voice communications must contribute to the TRS Fund for the support of these services as well.

2. To conform the funding of VRS and IP Relay to the requirements of section 225 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), and to harmonize cost recovery for these services with the cost recovery plan adopted for IP CTS, the Commission proposes to expand the