

at www.bea.gov/efile. Copies of the survey form and instructions, which contain complete information on reporting procedures and definitions, can be downloaded from www.bea.gov/fdi and submitted through mail or fax. Form BE-605 inquiries can be made by phone to BEA at (301) 278-9422 or by sending an email to be605@bea.gov.

When To Report: Reports are due to BEA 30 days after the close of each calendar or fiscal quarter, or 45 days if the report is for the final quarter of the financial reporting year.

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

This data collection has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act and assigned control number 0608-0009. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid control number assigned by OMB. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response. Additional information regarding this burden estimate may be viewed at www.reginfo.gov; under the Information Collection Review tab, click on "Search" and use the above OMB control number to search for the current survey instrument. Send comments regarding this burden estimate to Jessica Hanson, Chief, Direct Transactions and Positions Branch (BE-49), via email at Jessica.Hanson@bea.gov; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project 0608-0009, via email at OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

(Authority: 22 U.S.C. 3101-3108)

Paul W. Farello,

Associate Director for International Economics, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2021-05602 Filed 3-17-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Application for NATO International Bidding

The Department of Commerce will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, on or after the date of publication

of this notice. We invite the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. Public comments were previously requested via the **Federal Register** on January 12, 2021, during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments.

Agency: Bureau of Industry and Security.

Title: Application for NATO International Bidding.

OMB Control Number: 0694-XXXX.

Form Number(s): BIS-4023P.

Type of Request: Regular submission. New information collection.

Number of Respondents: 50.

Average Hours per Response: 1.

Burden Hours: 50.

Needs and Uses: This new proposed information collection replaces previously approved generic collection 0694-0128. All U.S. firms desiring to participate in the NATO International Competitive Bidding (ICB) process under the NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP) must be certified as technically, financially and professionally competent. The U.S. Department of Commerce provides the Declaration of Eligibility that certifies these firms. Any such firm seeking certification is required to submit a completed Form BIS-4023P along with a current annual financial report and a resume of past projects in order to become certified and placed on the Consolidated List of Eligible Bidders.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Section 401 (10) of Executive Order 12656 (November 18, 1988), 15 U.S.C. Section 1512P.

This information collection request may be viewed at www.reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to view the Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or

by using the search function and entering the title of the collection.

Sheleen Dumas,

Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2021-05634 Filed 3-17-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-068]

Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Both-Well (Taizhou) Steel Fittings, Co., Ltd. (Both-Well), a producer and/or exporter of forged steel fittings from the People's Republic of China (China), received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) March 14, 2018, through December 31, 2018.

DATES: Applicable March 18, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Janae Martin or Katherine Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0238 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review in the **Federal Register** on November 13, 2020.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.

On December 18, 2020, we received a case brief from Both-Well. On December 23, 2020, we received a rebuttal brief from Bonney Forge Corporation and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO, CLC (collectively, the petitioners). For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*,

¹ See *Forged Steel Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 2018*, 85 FR 72627 (November 13, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

Scope of the Order³

The product covered by the *Order* is forged steel fittings from China. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the parties' briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed is attached to this notice at Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the case and rebuttal briefs and the evidence on the record, we made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁴ The Issues and Decision Memorandum contains a full description of the methodology underlying Commerce's conclusions, including any determination that relied upon the use of adverse facts available pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2018 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Forged Steel Fitting from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Forged Steel Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 83 FR 60396 (November 26, 2018) (*Order*).

⁴ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

Final Results of Administrative Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we calculated a final countervailable subsidy rate for Both-Well, the sole mandatory respondent in this review. For the companies subject to this review which were not selected for individual examination, we used the subsidy rate calculated for Both-Well. We find the countervailable subsidy rates for the producers/exporters under review to be as follows:

| Producer/exporter | Subsidy rate (percent) |
|--|------------------------|
| Both-Well (Taizhou) Steel Fittings, Co., Ltd. | 25.90 |
| Non-Selected Companies Under Review ⁵ | 25.90 |

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review, for the above-listed companies at the applicable *ad valorem* assessment rates listed. Consistent with its recent notice,⁶ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Instructions

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown for Both-Well on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit

⁵ See Appendix II.

⁶ See *Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

requirements, effective upon publication of these final results, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 10, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Period of Review
- V. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VI. Use of Facts Otherwise Available
- VII. Analysis of Programs
- VIII. Analysis of Comments
 - Comment 1: Export Buyer's Credit (EBC) Program
 - Comment 2: Appropriate Adverse Facts Available Rate for the EBC Program
- IX. Recommendation

Appendix II—Non-Selected Companies Under Review

1. Apco Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
2. Cixi Baicheng Hardware Tools, Ltd.
3. Dalian Guangming Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
4. Eaton Hydraulics (Luzhou) Co., Ltd.
5. Eaton Hydraulics (Ningbo) Co., Ltd.
6. Feiting Hi-Tech Piping Zhejiang Co., Ltd.
7. Hebei Haiyuan Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
8. Hebei Xinyue High Pressure Flange And Pipe Fitting Co., Ltd.
9. Jiangsu Forged Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
10. Jiangsu Haida Pipe Fittings Group Co., Ltd.
11. Jiangyin Tianning Metal Pipe Fitting Co., Ltd.
12. Jiangyin Yangzi Fitting Co., Ltd.
13. Jinan Mech Piping Technology Co., Ltd.
14. Jining Dingguan Precision Parts Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
15. Lianfa Stainless Steel Pipes & Valves (Qingyun) Co., Ltd.
16. Luzhou City Chengrun Mechanics Co., Ltd.
17. Ningbo HongTe Industrial Co., Ltd.
18. Ningbo Long Teng Metal Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
19. Ningbo Save Technology Co., Ltd.

20. Ningbo Zhongan Forging Co., Ltd.
 21. Q.C. Witness International Co., Ltd.
 22. Qingdao Bestflow Industrial Co., Ltd.
 23. Shanghai Lon Au Stainless Steel Materials Co., Ltd.
 24. Shanghai Longnai High Pressure Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
 25. Shanghai Tongyang Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
 26. Shanghai Yochoic Pipefittings Co., Ltd.
 27. Witness International Co., Ltd.
 28. Xin Yi International Trade Co., Limited
 29. Yancheng Boyue Tube Co., Ltd.
 30. Yancheng Haohui Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
 31. Yancheng Jiuwei Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
 32. Yancheng Manda Pipe Industry Co., Ltd.
 33. Yingkou Guangming Pipeline Industry Co., Ltd.
 34. Yingkou Liaohe Machinery & Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
 35. Yuyao Wanlei Pipe Fitting Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2021-05587 Filed 3-17-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of the Third Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of the Third Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 3, 2021, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment sustaining the Department of Commerce's (Commerce) corrected final results of remand redetermination pursuant to court order. Commerce is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with Commerce's final results in the third administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on multilayered wood flooring (MLWF) from the People's Republic of China (China), covering the period of review from December 1, 2013, through November 30, 2014, and that Commerce is amending its calculation of the rate applicable to separate rate respondents.

DATES: March 13, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aleksandras Nakutis, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue

NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3147.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce individually examined Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited (Fine Furniture) and the collapsed entity Dalian Penghong Floor Products Co., Ltd./Dalian Shumaike Floor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (collectively, Dalian Penghong) as mandatory respondents in the third administrative review of the AD order on MLWF from China,¹ covering the period of review from December 1, 2013, through November 30, 2014.² As identified in the *Final Results*, the calculated margins for Fine furniture and Dalian Penghong were 17.37 percent and 0.00 percent, respectively.³ Commerce assigned Fine Furniture's 17.37 percent margin to the companies eligible for a separate rate.⁴

Fine Furniture and certain separate rate companies (collectively, plaintiffs) challenged Commerce's *Final Results*. The CIT sustained Commerce's *Final Results*,⁵ and select plaintiffs appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit).⁶ The Federal Circuit ordered that the case be stayed pending the outcome of *Changzhou Hawd Flooring Co., v. United States*, Appeal Nos. 2018-2335, -2337.⁷

Following the Federal Circuit's final opinion in *Changzhou Hawd* that held that Fine Furniture was excluded from the *Order*,⁸ the Federal Circuit lifted the stay of the appeal of the *Final Results* and remanded the case to the CIT to oversee Commerce's recalculation of the margin for the companies eligible for a separate rate.⁹ Commerce then requested a voluntary remand from the

¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 76690 (December 8, 2011) (*Order*).

² See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014*, 81 FR 46899 (July 19, 2016), as amended in *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Correction to the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 81 FR 53120 (August 11, 2016) (collectively, *Final Results*).

³ See *Final Results*, 81 FR at 46901.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al., v. United States*, 353 F. Supp. 3d 1323 (CIT 2018).

⁶ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al. v. United States*, Appeal Nos. 2019-1499, -1500, -1501, -1502, -1503 (*Fine Furniture Federal Circuit*).

⁷ *Id.*, ECF No. 40 (March 28, 2019).

⁸ See *Changzhou Hawd Flooring Co., Ltd. v. United States*, 947 F.3d 781, 793-94 (Fed. Cir. 2020) (*Changzhou Hawd*).

⁹ See *Fine Furniture Federal Circuit*, ECF No. 56 (August 4, 2020).

CIT to recalculate the margin to be applied to the separate rate companies, given Fine Furnitures's exclusion from the *Order*, and the CIT granted Commerce's request.¹⁰

On September 23, 2020, Commerce issued its Remand Results, in which Commerce recalculated the rate applicable to the plaintiff separate rate respondents and assigned the remaining mandatory respondent Dalian Penghong's weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent to the respondents not selected for individual examination that were granted a separate rate in the *Final Results* and were party to the litigation.¹¹ On November 27, 2020, after obtaining leave from the CIT, Commerce issued its Corrected Remand Results, correcting several clerical errors made in its Remand Results.¹²

On March 3, 2021, the CIT sustained Commerce's Corrected Remand Results.¹³

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,¹⁴ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,¹⁵ the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's March 3, 2021, judgment constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, Commerce will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to this case, Commerce is amending its *Final Results*

¹⁰ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al., v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 16-00145 (August 25, 2020).

¹¹ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order at 4* (September 23, 2020) (Remand Results).

¹² See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order* (November 27, 2020) (Corrected Remand Results).

¹³ See *Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited, et al., v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 16-00145, Slip Op. 21-27.

¹⁴ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d. 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

¹⁵ See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d. 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).