Subpart G—Penalties

§ 597.701 [Amended]

47. In § 597.701, in paragraph (b)(3), remove "$81,283" and add in its place "$82,244".

PART 598—FOREIGN NARCOTICS KINGPIN SANCTIONS REGULATIONS

48. The authority citation for part 598 is revised to read as follows:


Subpart G—Penalties

§ 598.701 [Amended]

49. In § 598.701, in paragraph (a)(4), remove "$1,529,991" and add in its place "$1,548,075".

Dated: March 12, 2021.
Bradley T. Smith,
Acting Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

[FR Doc. 2021–05506 Filed 3–16–21; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810–AL–P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 230

Rules Governing Compliance With Subpoenas, Summons, and Court Orders by the Office of Inspector General

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is amending the Code of Federal Regulations to state rules that govern compliance with subpoenas, summonses, and court orders served on employees of the Office of Inspector General where neither the Postal Service, the United States, nor any other Federal agency is a party.

DATES: Effective March 17, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew C. Glover, Director, Legal Services, Office of Inspector General, at (703) 248–4584.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 3, 2003, the Postal Service published rules governing compliance with subpoenas, summonses, and court orders served on employees of the Office of Inspector General. Those rules appear in subpart B of part 230. This publication amends and updates the existing rules.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 230

Administrative practice and procedure.
(ii) Relevant legal standards for disclosure of nonpublic information and documents;
(iii) Office of Inspector General rules and regulations;
(iv) The public interest;
(v) Minimizing or preventing expenditures of Office of Inspector General time and resources solely for private purposes;
(vi) Minimizing the appearance of improperly favoring one litigant over another;
(vii) Minimizing the possibility that the public will misconstrue variances between personal opinions of Office of Inspector General employees and agency policy; and
(viii) Preserving the integrity of the administrative process.
(2) Where, on the basis of its investigation, the Office of Inspector General has requested or recommended that a State or local prosecuting authority pursue a criminal prosecution or has otherwise approved such a prosecution, that prosecuting authority will be deemed to have made a proper request for information to be used in connection with that prosecution. With respect to other criminal matters pursued in state or local courts, the consideration shall also be given to the additional factors in paragraphs (d)(2)(i) through (iv) of this section:
(i) The seriousness of the violation or crime involved;
(ii) The past history or criminal record of the violator or accused;
(iii) The importance of the legal issues presented; and
(iv) The relatedness of the crime to the Office of Inspector General’s mission.
(3) The following records described in paragraphs (d)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section will not be released:
(i) Records required to remain confidential by the Freedom of Information Act, the Privacy Act, this part, and part 262 of this chapter,
(ii) Records containing information relating to an employee’s security or loyalty; and
(iii) Original records.
(4)(i) The following records and testimony may only be produced under the circumstances described in paragraphs (d)(4)(i)(A) through (C) of this section:
(A) The Office of Inspector General Manual and other operating instructions issued to employees when specifically authorized after consultation with the General Counsel to the Inspector General; and
(B) Office of Inspector General criminal investigatory reports when specifically authorized after consulting with the General Counsel to the Inspector General; and
(C) Information relating to confidential investigative techniques, confidential sources of information, and information that must be kept confidential under the Inspector General Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. app. 3. Where the authorizing official determines this information would otherwise be appropriate for release under this part, the Office of Inspector General may request an in camera review to determine the necessity for its release.
(ii) The specific limitations on the production of certain categories of information set forth in paragraphs (d)(4)(i)(A) through (C) of this section do not require or imply that other documents or testimony will be authorized without limitation.
(5) When authorizing testimony or the release of documents, the authorizing official will establish the extent of the Office of Inspector General’s response.
(i) Permission to testify or to release documents in all cases will be limited to matters outlined in the affidavit or declaration described in paragraph (c)(3) of this section, or to such matters as deemed appropriate by the authorizing official.
(ii) If the authorizing official allows the production of documents or testimony, arrangements shall be made for the taking of testimony or receipt of documents by the method least disruptive to the employee’s official duties. Testimony may, for example, be provided by affidavits, answers to interrogatories, written depositions, or depositions transcribed, recorded, or preserved by any other means allowable by law.
(iii) The Inspector General, authorizing official, or a delegate may designate an employee other than the employee identified in the request to respond to a request for an appearance.
(6) Upon the authorizing official’s issuance of a final determination not to authorize testimony or release records, the party making the request may consult or negotiate with the authorizing official to refine and limit the demand.
(7) Notwithstanding the Office of Inspector General’s general commitment to offer all possible assistance to the courts, the disclosure of information falling within the scope of this part is a matter of discretion, resting with the authorizing official. If, in the opinion of the authorizing official requested documents should not be released or testimony should not be provided, that determination will be final.
(e) Representation of an employee in any appearance. At the option of the authorizing official, an Office of Inspector General authorizing such an appearance may request the assistance of the U.S. Department of Justice.
(f) Representation of an employee in any appearance. At the option of the authorizing official, an Office of Inspector General attorney may make an appearance on behalf of the Office of Inspector General and assist an employee whose appearance has been requested and authorized. The authorizing official may also request assistance from the U.S. Department of Justice.
(g) Costs and fees. (1) The Office of Inspector General is authorized to charge reasonable fees to parties demanding documents or information. Unless determined by 28 U.S.C. 1821 or other applicable statute, the costs of responding to a request for documents or testimony shall be borne by the requesting party. As determined by the Office of Inspector General, costs calculated to reimburse the Office for the cost of responding to a demand may include:
(i) The costs of time expended by employees to process and respond to the demand;
(ii) Attorney time for reviewing, responding to, or processing the demand and for legal work in connection with the demand;
(iii) Expenses generated by equipment used to search for, produce, and copy the requested information;
(iv) Expenses attendant upon an employee’s absence from his or her official duties in connection with the case or matter, including the employee’s salary and applicable overhead charges;
(v) Travel costs of any employees who testify or are requested to testify and any agency attorney or other representative who travels in connection with a request for testimony, including lodging and per diem, assessed, as applicable, at the rates and in the manner specified in 39 CFR 265.9; and
(vi) Other costs of providing testimony, including the cost of transcripts.
(2) At the discretion of the Office of Inspector General where appropriate, fees and costs may be estimated and collected before testimony is given.
(h) Definitions. The following definitions apply to this section. Authorizing official means the Inspector General or an official designated by the Inspector General to

Definitions.
authorize release of documents or employee testimony.  

Case or matter means any civil or criminal proceeding before a court of law, administrative board, hearing officer, or other body conducting a judicial or administrative proceeding. The term also includes proceedings in legislative bodies other than the Congress of the United States. 

Demand means any request, order, or subpoena for testimony or the production of documents. 

Document includes all information falling within the scope of the terms “documents” and “electronically stored information” in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a) and any analogous rules applicable to the case or matter in which a demand is made. 

Employee includes all current and former employees of the Office of Inspector General (whether temporary or permanent, part-time or full-time), employees of the Postal Service assigned or detailed to the Office of Inspector General, student interns, student cooperatives, contractors, and employees of contractors who have or had access to Office of Inspector General information and records. 

Nonpublic means all documents or information not subject to mandatory public disclosure under 39 CFR 265.6(b) or that must be kept confidential under the Inspector General Act of 1978 as amended, 5 U.S.C. app. 3. 

Testify or testimony include in-person oral statements before any body conducting a judicial, administrative, or legislative proceeding, statements made in depositions, answers to interrogatories, declarations, affidavits, or other similar documents. 

Ruth Stevenson, 
Chief Counsel, Federal Compliance. 

[FR Doc. 2021–04210 Filed 3–16–21; 8:45 am] 

BILLING CODE P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 

40 CFR Part 52 

Air Plan Approval; Missouri; Removal of Control of Emissions From Solvent Cleanup Operations 

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 

ACTION: Final rule. 

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Missouri on January 15, 2019, and supplemented by letter on June 14, 2019. Missouri requests that the EPA remove a rule related to control of emissions from the solvent cleanup operations in the Kansas City, Missouri area from its SIP. This removal does not have an adverse effect on air quality. The EPA’s approval of this rule revision is in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and will ensure consistency between state and federally-approved rules. 

DATES: This final rule is effective on April 16, 2021. 

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2020–0620. All documents in the docket are listed on the https://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through https://www.regulations.gov or please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section for additional information. 

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: 
William Stone, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Office, Air Quality Planning Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219; telephone number: (913) 551–7714; email address: stone.william@epa.gov. 

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA. 

Table of Contents 

I. What is being addressed in this document? 

II. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met? 

III. What action is the EPA taking? 

IV. Incorporation by Reference 

I. What is being addressed in this document? 

The EPA is approving the removal of 10 Code of State Regulations (CSR) 10–2.215, Control of Emissions from Solvent Cleanup Operations, from the Missouri SIP. 

At the time that the rule was approved into the SIP, 10 CSR 10–2.215 applied to any person in Clay, Jackson and Platte Counties in Missouri that performs or allows the performance of any cleaning operation involving the use of a volatile organic compound (VOC) solvent or solvent solution that emitted over 500 pounds per day of VOCs. According to the June 14, 2019 letter from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, available in the docket for this action, Missouri rescinded the rule because there are no sources subject to the rule, and the rule is no longer necessary for attainment and maintenance of the 1979, 1997, or 2008 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for Ozone. 

As explained in detail in the EPA’s proposed rule, Missouri has demonstrated that removal of 10 CSR 10–2.215 will not interfere with attainment of the NAAQS, reasonable further progress 1 or any other applicable requirement of the CAA because there are no existing sources that are subject to the rule, and therefore removal of the rule will not cause VOC emissions to increase. 85 FR 82995, December 21, 2020. 

The EPA solicited comments on the proposed revision to Missouri’s SIP, and did not receive any comments. Therefore, the EPA is finalizing its proposal to remove 10 CSR 10–2.215 from the SIP. 

II. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met? 

The State submission has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submission also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. The State provided public notice on this SIP revision from February 28, 2018, to April 5, 2018 and received five comments from the EPA that related to Missouri’s lack of an adequate demonstration that the rule could be removed from the SIP in accordance with section 110(l) of the CAA. Missouri’s June 14, 2019 letter addressed the EPA’s comments. In addition, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations. 

III. What action is the EPA taking? 

The EPA is taking final action to approve Missouri’s request to remove 10 CSR 2.215 from the SIP. 

IV. Incorporation by Reference 

In this document, the EPA is amending regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. As described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below, the EPA is removing provisions of the EPA-Approved Missouri Regulation from the Missouri

1RFP is not applicable to the Kansas City Area because the area is in attainment of all applicable ozone standards.