

proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice on or before May 10, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Nora Kincaid, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue NE, Washington, DC 20212. Written comments also may be transmitted by email to *BLS\_PRA\_Public@bls.gov*.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nora Kincaid, BLS Clearance Officer, at 202-691-7628 (this is not a toll free number). (See **ADDRESSES** section.)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Survey of Respirator Use and Practices (SRUP) is a nationwide survey that the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) will conduct at the request of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Data collection for the SRUP will start in early 2022.

In 2001, NIOSH partnered with BLS to conduct the first voluntary Survey of Respirator Use and Practices. This survey revealed important insights into respiratory use and hazards in the U.S. used by researchers, policy advisors, and regulators to further the mission of protecting U.S. workers from airborne hazards. Since then, there have been major shifts in the U.S. economy representing the potential for a drastic change in how respirators are used and the workers who may be at risk for occupational respiratory disease across a vast array of industrial settings. This calls for a contemporary understanding of the types of establishments, industries, and occupations that use respirators, why they use them, and how they manage them.

In a 2007 assessment of the survey conducted by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM), both NIOSH and BLS were applauded “for undertaking this pioneering data collection in order to improve understanding of respirator use in industry.” The NASEM assessment further suggested that the survey fulfilled a necessary function of NIOSH’s surveillance efforts. The committee recommended that NIOSH continue to address data needs to evaluate and improve NIOSH’s respirator approval program by periodically updating the data to ensure that a current understanding of

respirator use in the U.S. is maintained to inform accurate decision making in this area. This survey thereby follows the National Academies’ research committee recommendations to provide a current understanding of respirator use in industry.

##### II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for the Survey of Respirator Use and Practices.

NIOSH has a continuing need for more in-depth usage data to evaluate the approval of respiratory protective devices under 42 CFR 84 CFR to pinpoint areas of non-conformance and where education and training efforts are needed. The data collected from the survey of Respirator use and Practices will be used by NIOSH to (1) establish prevalence of exposure to hazardous atmospheres, (2) determine the prevalence of respirator use, (3) determine the type of respirators being used and what types of contaminants they are being used to mitigate, (4) determine how respirators are used in the workplace, (5) whether employers optimally manage use for maximum worker protection, and (6) determine opportunities for improving respirator technologies. The survey also has the additional, newly added objective of determining the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on respirator use in the workplace.

Twenty years after the initial survey, NIOSH again is collaborating with BLS to administer a voluntary Survey of Respirator Use and Practices, thereby following the National Academies’ research committee recommendations to provide a current understanding of respirator use in industry. By establishing the current state of practice, high yield endeavors designed to reduce fatalities, injuries and illnesses related to occupational respiratory hazards in the U.S. may be targeted for policy, funding, outreach, and education by NIOSH’s respirator approval program, the programs of occupational safety and health regulatory bodies within the U.S. (OSHA and MSHA), U.S. employers, and respiratory protection research and development.

##### III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

*Title of Collection:* Survey of Respirator Use and Practices.

*OMB Number:* 1220-0171.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement, with change.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector, Business or other for-profits, Not-for-profit institutions, Farms.

*Total Respondents:* 90,000.

*Frequency:* One time collection.

*Total Responses:* 90,000.

*Average Time per Response:* 28.5 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 42,750 hours.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, on March 4, 2021.

**Mark Staniorski,**

*Chief, Division of Management Systems.*

[FR Doc. 2021-05043 Filed 3-10-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 70-1151; NRC-2021-0062]

**Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC; Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility; and US Ecology, Inc.; Idaho Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Subtitle C Hazardous Disposal Facility Located Near Grand View, Idaho**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing an environmental assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI) related to a request for alternate

disposal, exemptions, and associated license amendment for the disposition of waste containing byproduct material and special nuclear material (SNM) from the Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC's (WEC) Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility (CFFF) in Hopkins, South Carolina, under License Number SNM–1107. The material will be transported to and disposed consistent with a previously granted exemption granted to WEC and the US Ecology, Inc. (USEI) disposal facility, located near Grand View, Idaho, which is a Subtitle C Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous waste disposal facility permitted by the State of Idaho to receive low-level radioactive waste. The NRC is also considering the related action of approving a corresponding exemption to USEI. Approval of the alternate disposal request from WEC and the exemptions requested by WEC and USEI would allow WEC to transfer the specific waste from CFFF for disposal at USEI.

**DATES:** The EA and FONSI referenced in this document are available on March 11, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2021–0062 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking website:** Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2021–0062. Address questions about Docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301–415–0624; email: *Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov*. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- **NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov*. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the “Availability of Documents” section.

- **Attention:** The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of public documents, is currently closed. You may submit your request to the PDR via email at *PDR.Resource@nrc.gov* or call

1–800–397–4209 or 301–415–4737, between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Tiktinsky, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–8740, email: *David.Tiktinsky@nrc.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

By letter dated February 8, 2021, WEC requested an exemption and associated license amendment to License SNM–1107, issued for the operation of CFFF located in Hopkins, South Carolina pursuant to section 20.2002 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR). By letter dated February 25, 2021, USEI incorporated the WEC application in its request for a corresponding exemption. The requests are for NRC authorization for an alternate disposal of specified NRC-licensed byproduct and SNM from the CFFF. As required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC conducted an EA. Based on the results of the EA that follows, the NRC has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.31, preparation of an environmental impact statement for the exemption request is not required and pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, issuance of a FONSI is appropriate.

Westinghouse had previously requested and received an exemption and license amendment, dated December 9, 2020 to, among other things, transfer approximately 1428 m<sup>3</sup> (50,400 ft<sup>3</sup>) of solid contaminated Calcium Fluoride (CaF<sub>2</sub>) sludge to the USEI RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste disposal facility near Grand View, Idaho that was dredged from the Calcium Fluoride Lagoons and subsequently placed in a storage pile. USEI was granted a corresponding exemption to receive and dispose of this material on December 9, 2020.

After Westinghouse moved the CaF<sub>2</sub> sludge dredged from the Calcium Fluoride Lagoons, WEC discovered that the actual volume was less than the 1428 m<sup>3</sup> (50,400 ft<sup>3</sup>) previously estimated. The actual volume was 694 m<sup>3</sup> (24,500 ft<sup>3</sup>). In its subsequent application, WEC stated its intent to use other, similar CaF<sub>2</sub> material in order to aggregate the waste for disposal as requested and approved in the December 9, 2020 authorization. In its February 8, 2021 letter, WEC requested an exemption and license amendment to dispose of 733 m<sup>3</sup> (25,900 ft<sup>3</sup>) of similar CaF<sub>2</sub> from another source, the “Operations” pile. The total amount of

CaF<sub>2</sub> material (from the previous approval and that under consideration here) would not exceed the previously approved volume of 1428 m<sup>3</sup> (50,400 ft<sup>3</sup>), nor would it exceed the parameters of the radiological characterization that formed the basis of the NRC staff’s previous approvals, as documented in the Safety Evaluation Report (SER).

## II. Environmental Assessment

### Description of the Proposed Action

WEC and USEI requested NRC approval for a 10 CFR 20.2002 alternate disposal request, exemptions to 10 CFR part 70.3 and 10 CFR 30.3, and a conforming WEC license amendment to allow WEC to transfer specific waste from CFFF for disposal at the USEI disposal facility.

Waste being considered in this request includes approximately 708 m<sup>3</sup> (25,900 ft<sup>3</sup>) of previously dredged CaF<sub>2</sub> sludge being stored on the operations pile. WEC proposes to mix this material with other waste and Portland cement to stabilize the material for shipping. WEC proposes to transport this aggregated waste stream to USEI using a combination of trucks and railcars. The combined volume of CaF<sub>2</sub> sludge disposed of under the December 9, 2020 authorization and that under consideration here would not exceed the previously approved volume of 1,428 m<sup>3</sup> (50,400 ft<sup>3</sup>) of CaF<sub>2</sub>.

The waste streams would be transported from CFFF in South Carolina to the USEI facility, Grand View, Idaho in the Owyhee Desert. The USEI facility is an RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste disposal facility permitted by the State of Idaho. The USEI site has both natural and engineered features that limit the transport of radioactive material. The natural features include a low precipitation rate [*i.e.*, 18.4 cm/year (7.4 in./year)] and a long vertical distance to groundwater (*i.e.*, 61-meter (203-ft) thick on average unsaturated zone below the disposal zone). The engineered features include an engineered cover, liners, and leachate monitoring systems. Because the USEI facility is not licensed by the NRC, this proposed action requires the NRC to exempt USEI from the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and NRC licensing requirements with respect to USEI’s requested receipt and disposal of this material.

### Need for the Proposed Action

The need for the proposed action is to authorize a safe and appropriate method of disposal for the subject waste material generated during day-to-day activities and currently being stored at

the CFFF. Specifically, the East Lagoon is in the process of being closed in accordance with a consent agreement and regulations set by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC). Thus, material associated with the East Lagoon must be removed from the site in order to comply with regulatory requirements. As was the case with the May 8, 2020 request, the subject CaF<sub>2</sub> material and Portland cement would be used to stabilize this material for transport and disposal. The proposed alternate disposal would also conserve low-level radioactive waste disposal capacity at licensed low-level radioactive disposal sites while ensuring that the material being considered is disposed of safely in a regulated facility.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The NRC staff reviewed the information provided by WEC to support their 10 CFR 20.2002 alternate disposal request and for the specific exemptions from 10 CFR 30.3 and 10 CFR 70.3 in order to dispose of the CaF<sub>2</sub> waste. Under the 10 CFR 20.2002 criteria, a licensee may seek NRC authorization to dispose of licensed material using procedures not otherwise authorized by NRC regulations. The licensee's supporting analysis must show that the radiological doses arising from the proposed 10 CFR 20.2002 disposal will be as low as reasonably achievable and within the 10 CFR part 20 dose limits.

WEC previously performed a radiological assessment in consultation with USEI. Based on this assessment, WEC concluded that potential doses to members of the public, including transportation workers and USEI workers involved in processing and disposing of the waste upon its arrival at USEI, are less than 1 mrem/y, well within the "few mrem" criteria that the NRC established (see NUREG-1757, Volume 1, Revision 2).

As documented in the SER, the NRC staff reviewed scenarios and related input parameters considered by WEC and USEI and found that they are appropriate for the scenarios considered. The NRC staff also reviewed the projected doses from the post-closure and intruder scenarios at USEI and found them acceptable. NRC staff did note that the inadvertent intruder construction scenario had potential doses that were larger than the other inadvertent intruder scenarios evaluated, but the NRC does not consider this scenario to be feasible due to the configuration of the disposal cells and USEI's waste disposal practices. NRC staff also notes that the proposed disposals are also subject to regulation under RCRA. These conclusions are consistent with the NRC's findings in its SER for the previous request, reflective of the similar radiological characteristics of the material from the operations pile and that described in the May 8, 2020 request.

Based upon its the previously noted evaluation and its assessment of the potential impacts of the proposed action, in addition to focusing on the potential radiological impacts as previously discussed, this EA next considers potential environmental impacts from non-radiological materials. With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the NRC staff concludes that approval of the proposed request to dispose of material with small amounts of radioactive material would not have significant environmental impacts, including effects on non-radiological effluents, air quality, or noise. In addition, approval of the proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents as well as occupational and public radiation exposure because of the quantities and forms of material involved, as further evaluated in the NRC's SER.

Therefore, due to the very small amounts of radioactive material

involved, the evaluation noted earlier, and the NRC staff's analysis in the SER and its SER for the May 8, 2020 request, the NRC staff finds that the environmental impacts of the proposed action are not significant.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered the no-action alternative in which the NRC staff would deny the disposal request. Denial of the request would require WEC to find another disposal pathway for this material, and would ultimately only change the location of the disposal site. All other factors would remain the same or similar. Therefore, the no-action alternative was not further considered. NRC staff also notes that pursuing the no-action alternative would result in the licensee potentially violating the SCDHEC requirements to remove the material from the East Lagoon so that it can be remediated while it identifies another disposal option.

#### **III. Finding of No Significant Impact**

The proposed action consists of NRC approval of (a) WEC's and USEI's alternate disposal requests under 10 CFR 20.2002, (b) WEC and USEI's exemption request under 10 CFR 30.11(a) and 10 CFR 70.11(a), and (c) the issuance of a conforming license amendment to WEC. Based on this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action. Therefore, the NRC has determined, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.31, that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required for the proposed action and a FONSI is appropriate.

#### **IV. Availability of Documents**

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated.

Document	ADAMS accession No.
Request for Alternate Disposal Approval and dated February 8, 2021.	ML21039A719.
Request for Exemptions under 10 CFR 30.11 and 10 CFR 70.17 for Alternate Disposal of Wastes from Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility under 10 CFR 20.2002, dated February 25, 2021.	ML21061A273.
Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC—Amendment 25 to Material License SNM-1107, Exemption for Alternate Disposal of Specific Waste (Enterprise Project Identifier L-2020-LLL-0009), dated December 9, 2020.	ML20302A084.
Exemption for Alternate Disposal of Specific Waste from the Westinghouse Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility under 10 CFR 20.2002, 10 CFR 30.11 and 10 CFR 70.17, dated December 9, 2020.	ML20304A341.
Request for Alternate Disposal Approval and Exemption for Specific Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility Waste (License No. SNM-1197, Docket No. 70-1151), dated May 8, 2020.	ML20129J934 (Package).
Request for Exemptions under 10 CFR 30.11 and 10 CFR 70.17 for Alternate Disposal of Wastes from Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility under 10 CFR 20.2002, dated May 11, 2020.	ML20280A601.

Document	ADAMS accession No.
Response to Request for Additional Information—Alternate Disposal Approval and Exemptions for Specific Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility Waste (License No. SNM–1107, Docket No. 70–1151), dated October 13, 2020.	ML20287A545.
Response to Request for Additional Information—Alternate Disposal Approval and Exemptions for Specific Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility Waste (License No. SNM–1107, Docket No. 70–1151), dated September 22, 2020.	ML20266G551.
Safety Evaluation Report .....	ML20302A085.
NUREG–1757, Volume 1, Revision 2, “Consolidated Decommissioning Guidance” .....	ML063000243.

Dated: March 8, 2021.  
 For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Jacob I. Zimmerman,**  
*Chief, Fuel Facility Licensing Branch,  
 Division of Fuel Management, Office of  
 Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*  
 [FR Doc. 2021–05094 Filed 3–10–21; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
 COMMISSION**  
**[NRC–2021–0001]**

**Sunshine Act Meetings**

**TIME AND DATE:** Weeks of March 15, 22, 29, April 5, 12, 19, 2021.

**PLACE:** Commissioners’ Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

**STATUS:** Public.

**Week of March 15, 2021**

*Monday, March 15, 2021*

10:45 a.m. Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (Tentative)

Southern Nuclear Operating Co., Inc. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 3); Motion to Reopen (Tentative)  
 (Contact: Wesley Held: 301–287–3591)

*Additional Information:* Due to COVID–19, there will be no physical public attendance. The public is invited to attend the Commission’s meeting live; via teleconference. Details for joining the teleconference in listen only mode may be found at <https://www.nrc.gov/pmns/mtg>.

**Week of March 22, 2021—Tentative**

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 22, 2021.

**Week of March 29, 2021—Tentative**

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 29, 2021.

**Week of April 5, 2021—Tentative**

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 5, 2021.

**Week of April 12, 2021—Tentative**

*Tuesday, April 13, 2021*

9:00 a.m. Briefing on Advanced Reactor Preparedness Through Regulatory

Engagement and Research Cooperation (Public Meeting)  
 (Contact: Marilyn Diaz Maldonado: 301–415–7110)  
*Additional Information:* Due to COVID–19, there will be no physical public attendance. The public is invited to attend the Commission’s meeting live by webcast at the Web address—<https://video.nrc.gov/>.

**Week of April 19, 2021—Tentative**

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 19, 2021.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** For more information or to verify the status of meetings, contact Wesley Held at 301–287–3591 or via email at [Wesley.Held@nrc.gov](mailto:Wesley.Held@nrc.gov). The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the internet at: <https://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html>.

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify Anne Silk, NRC Disability Program Specialist, at 301–287–0745, by videophone at 240–428–3217, or by email at [Anne.Silk@nrc.gov](mailto:Anne.Silk@nrc.gov). Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Members of the public may request to receive this information electronically. If you would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555, at 301–415–1969, or by email at [Tyesha.Bush@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyesha.Bush@nrc.gov).

The NRC is holding the meetings under the authority of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Dated: March 8, 2021.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Wesley W. Held,**  
*Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.*  
 [FR Doc. 2021–05129 Filed 3–9–21; 11:15 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

**POSTAL SERVICE**

**Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement**

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** Date of required notice: March 11, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
 Sean Robinson, 202–268–8405.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on March 2, 2021, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 688 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2021–71, CP2021–74.

**Sean Robinson,**  
*Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.*  
 [FR Doc. 2021–05011 Filed 3–10–21; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7710–12–P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
 COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34–91260; File No. SR–CboeEDGX–2021–013]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Fee Schedule**

March 5, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the