Notification to Interested Parties

This determination and this notice are issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: March 1, 2021.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are common alloy aluminum sheet, which is a flat rolled aluminum product having a thickness of 6.3 mm or less, but greater than 0.2 mm, in coils or cut-to-length, regardless of width. Common alloy sheet within the scope of this investigation includes both clad aluminum sheet, as well as multi-alloy, clad aluminum sheet. With respect to not clad aluminum sheet, common alloy sheet is manufactured from a 1XXX-, 3XXX-, or 5XXX-series alloy as designated by the Aluminum Association. With respect to multi-alloy, clad aluminum sheet, common alloy sheet is produced from a 3XXX-series core, to which cladding layers are applied to either one or both sides of the core. The use of a proprietary alloy or non-proprietary alloy that is not specifically registered by the Aluminum Association as a discrete 1XXX-, 3XXX-, or 5XXX-series alloy, but that otherwise has a chemistry that is consistent with these designations, does not remove an otherwise in-scope product from the scope. Common alloy sheet may be made to ASTM specification B209–14 but can also be made to other specifications. Regardless of specification, however, all common alloy sheet meeting the scope description is included in the scope. Subject merchandise includes common alloy sheet that has been further processed in a third country, including but not limited to annealing, tempering, painting, varnishing, trimming, cutting, punching, and/or slitting, or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of this investigation if performed in the country of manufacture of the common alloy sheet.

Excluded from the scope of this investigation is aluminum can stock, which is suitable for use in the manufacture of aluminum beverage cans, lids of such cans, or tabs used to open such cans. Aluminum can stock is produced to gauges that range from 0.200 mm to 0.292 mm, and has an H–19, H–41, H–48, H–39, or H–391 temper. In addition, aluminum can stock has a lubricant applied to the flat surfaces of the can stock to facilitate its movement through machines used in the manufacture of beverage cans. Aluminum can stock is properly classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7606.12.3045 and 7606.12.3055, where the nominal and actual measurements vary, a product is within the scope if application of either the nominal or actual measurement would place it within the scope based on the definitions set for the above.

Common alloy sheet is currently classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 7606.11.3060, 7606.11.6000, 7606.12.3096, 7606.12.6000, 7606.91.3095, 7606.91.6095, 7606.92.3035, and 7606.92.6095. Further, merchandise that falls within the scope of this investigation may also be entered into the United States under HTSUS subheadings 7606.11.3030, 7606.12.3015, 7606.12.3025, 7606.12.3035, 7606.12.3091, 7606.91.3055, 7606.91.6055, 7606.92.3025, 7606.92.6055, 7607.11.9090. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Changes Since the Preliminary Determination
IV. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: U.S. and Home Market Packing Expenses
Comment 2: Whether to Rely on Hulamin Operations’ Actual Base Metal Costs
V. Recommendation
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–351–855]
Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Brazil: Final Negative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies are not being provided to producers and exporters of common alloy aluminum sheet (aluminum sheet) from Brazil.

DATES: Applicable March 8, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Hall-Eastman or Samuel Brummitt, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1468 or (202) 482–7851, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 14, 2020, Commerce published its Preliminary Determination.1 On December 22, 2020, Commerce released its Post-Preliminary Decision.2 In addition to the Government of Brazil, the mandatory respondents in this investigation are Companhia Brasileira de Aluminio (CBA) and Novelis do Brasil Ltda. (Novelis Brasil). In the Preliminary Determination, and in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), Commerce aligned the final counterviable duty (CVD) determination with the final antidumping duty determination.3

For a complete description of the events that followed the Preliminary Determination, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.4 The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are aluminum sheet from Brazil. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation Commerce received scope comments from interested parties. Commerce issued a Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum to address these comments.5 We received comments.

1 See Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Brazil: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Determination with Final Antidumping Duty Determination, 85 FR 49634 (August 14, 2020) (Preliminary Determination), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.
3 See Preliminary Determination, 85 FR at 49634. 4 See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Negative Determination of the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Brazil,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).
from interested parties on the Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum, which we address in the Final Scope Decision Memorandum.\(^6\) Commerce is not modifying the scope language as it appeared in the Preliminary Determination. See Appendix I for the final scope of the investigation.

**Verification**

Commerce was unable to conduct on-site verification of the information relied upon in making its final determination in this investigation. However, we took additional steps in lieu of an on-site verification to verify the information relied upon in making this final determination, in accordance with section 782(f) of the Act.\(^7\)

**Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received**

The subsidy programs under investigation and the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of the issues raised by interested parties and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, see Appendix II to this notice.

**Methodology**

Commerce conducted this investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, Commerce determines that there is a subsidy, i.e., a financial contribution by an “authority” that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.\(^8\) For a full description of the methodology underlying our final determination, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

\(^6\) See Memorandum, “Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain, Brazil, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, Greece, India, Indonesia, Italy, Korea, Oman, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan, and Turkey: Scope Comments Final Decision Memorandum,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Final Scope Decision Memorandum).


\(^8\) See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

In making this final determination, Commerce relied, in part, on facts available pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act. Additionally, as discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, because one or more respondents did not act to the best of their ability in responding to our requests for information, we drew adverse inferences, where appropriate, in selecting from among the facts otherwise available, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act. For further information, see the section “Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences” in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Determination**

Based on our review and analysis of the comments received from parties, we made certain changes to the subsidy rate calculations for CBA. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

**Final Determination**

Commerce determines that the following estimated countervailable subsidy rates exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Subsidy rate (ad valorem)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Companhia Brasileira de Aluminio(^9)</td>
<td>0.22 percent (de minimis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelis do Brasil Ltda(^10)</td>
<td>0.75 percent (de minimis)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commerce has not calculated an all-others rate because it has not reached an affirmative final determination.

**Disclosure**

Commerce intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations and analysis performed in this final determination within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of the publication of this notice in proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Suspension of Liquidation**

As a result of our Preliminary Determination, and pursuant to sections 703(d)(1)(B) and (d)(2) of the Act, we instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise as described in the scope of the investigation section entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on August 14, 2020, the date of publication of the Preliminary Determination in the Federal Register.

In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, we issued instructions to CBP to discontinue the suspension of liquidation of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after December 12, 2020, but to continue the suspension of liquidation of all entries from August 14, 2020, through December 11, 2020 for CBA and all producers/exporters not individually examined. Because Commerce has made a final negative determination of countervailing subsidies with regard to the subject merchandise, Commerce will direct CBP to refund or cancel all estimated duties deposited or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation.

**International Trade Commission Notification**

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the International Trade Commission of our final determination. As our final determination is negative, this proceeding is terminated in accordance with section 735(c)(2) of the Act.

**Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders**

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 705(d) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

\(^9\) Commerce has found the following companies to be cross-owned with CBA: Votorantim S.A. and its holding company; Votorantim Comercializadora de Energia Ltda.; CBA Machadinho Geração de Energia Ltda.; and CBA Energia Participações S.A.

\(^10\) Commerce has found the following company to be cross-owned with Novelis: Novelis Inc.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–898]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that Heze Huayi Chemical Co., Ltd. (Heze Huayi) and Juancheng Kangtai Chemical Co., Ltd. (Kangtai), the only two companies subject to review, did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). Commerce also determined that subject merchandise was not tied to non-subject merchandise.

DATE: Applicable March 8, 2021.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 6, 2020, Commerce initiated the administrative review of the AD order on chlorinated isocyanurates (chlorinated isos) from China covering the period June 1, 2019, through May 31, 2020. The petitioners in this review are Bio-Lab, Inc., Clearon Corp., and Occidental Chemical Corp. (collectively, the petitioners). This review covers two producers/exporters: Heze Huayi and Kangtai. On September 8, 2020, Heze Huayi and Kangtai both certified that their respective companies had no entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Based on our review of U.S. Customs and Border Protection data, we preliminarily found that Heze Huayi and Kangtai had no entries of subject merchandise originating from China, that were subject to antidumping duties during the POR.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).

The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are chlorinated isos, which are derivatives of cyanuric acid, described as chlorinated s-triazine triones. For a full description of the scope of the order, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.


4 See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2019–2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

5 Id.